arXiv:1212.2052v4 [math.DG] 18 Feb 2013

RICCI CURVATURE AND L^p-CONVERGENCE

SHOUHEI HONDA

ABSTRACT. We give the definition of L^p-convergence of tensor fields with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology and several fundamental properties of the convergence. We apply this to establish a Bochner-type inequality which keeps the term of Hessian on the Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound and to give a geometric explicit formula for the Dirichlet Laplacian on a limit space defined by Cheeger-Colding. We also prove a continuity of the first eigenvalues of the p-Laplacian with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and let $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ be the Gromov-Hausdorff limit metric measure space of a sequence of renormalized pointed complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq K(n-1)$ and $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$, where $\underline{\mathrm{vol}} := \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i)$.

In [10] Cheeger-Colding showed that the cotangent bundle $\pi_1^0 : T^*M_\infty \to M_\infty$ of M_∞ exists in some sense. It is a fundamental property of the cotangent bundle that every Lipschitz function f on a Borel subset A of M_∞ has the canonical section $df(x) \in T^*_xM_\infty$ (called the *differential* of f) for a.e. $x \in A$. We also define the tangent bundle $\pi_0^1 : TM_\infty \to M_\infty$ of M_∞ by the dual vector bundle of T^*M_∞ and denote the dual section of df by $\nabla f : A \to TM_\infty$. For $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\pi^r_s : T^r_sM_\infty := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r TM_\infty \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} T^*M_\infty \to M_\infty$. For $A \subset M_\infty$, we put $T^r_sA := (\pi^r_s)^{-1}(A)$. We will denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the canonical metric on $T^r_sM_\infty$ (defined by the *Riemannian metric* g_{M_∞} *of* M_∞) for brevity and by $L^p(T^r_sA)$ the space of L^p-sections of T^r_sA over A. Note $g_{M_\infty} \in L^\infty(T^0_2M_\infty)$.

Let $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}, R > 0, 1 < p < \infty$ and $T_i \in L^p(T^r_sB_R(m_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$ with $\sup_{i\leq\infty} ||T_i||_{L^p} < \infty$, where $B_R(m_i) := \{x_i \in M_i; \overline{x_i, m_i} < R\}$ and $\overline{x_i, m_i}$ is the distance between x_i and m_i.

The main purpose of this paper is to give the following two definitions and applications:

(W) T_i *L^p-converges weakly to* T_∞.
(S) T_i *L^p-converges strongly to* T_∞.

Note that in [40] Kuwae-Shioya gave the definitions above for the case of $r = s = 0$ (i.e., each T_i is a function) and showed several important properties. A difficulty to give the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, Ricci curvature, and Laplacian.

definitions above for tensor fields is that we can NOT consider the difference '$T_i - T_\infty$' canonically because it would be hard to compare between $T^r_s M_i$ and $T^r_s M_\infty$. We first give equivalent versions of the definitions:

Definition 1.1 (Definitions 3.42, 3.58 and Proposition 3.66).

- **(W)** We say that T_i *L^p-converges weakly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$* if for every $x_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$, every $\{z_i\}_{1 \le i \le r+s} \subset M_\infty$ and every $r > 0$ with $B_r(x_\infty) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$ we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{B_r(x_j)} \left\langle T_j, \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} \nabla r_{z_{i,j}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{z_{i,j}} \right\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_r(x_\infty)} \left\langle T_\infty, \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} \nabla r_{z_i} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{z_i} \right\rangle d\upsilon,$$

  where $x_j \to x_\infty$, $z_{i,j} \to z_i$ as $j \to \infty$ and r_z is the distance function from z.
- **(S)** We say that T_i *L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$* if T_i L^p-converges weakly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and $\limsup_{i \to \infty} ||T_i||_{L^p(B_R(m_i))} \le ||T_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(m_\infty))}$.

Compare with the definition of the convergence of the differentials of Lipschitz functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology [30, Definition 4.4]. It is important that if $(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}}) \equiv (M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ holds for every i, then T_i L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ in the sense of **(S)** if and only if $||T_i - T_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(m_\infty))} \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$.

As an important example we first observe about L^p-convergence of Riemannian metrics g_{M_i} of M_i with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology:

Theorem 1.2. *We see that g_{M_i} $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges weakly to g_{M_∞} on $B_{\hat{R}}(m_\infty)$ for every $\hat{R} > 0$ and every $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$. Moreover, g_{M_i} $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to g_{M_∞} on $B_{\hat{R}}(m_\infty)$ for some (or every) $\hat{R} > 0$ and some (or every) $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$ if and only if (M_∞, m_∞) is the noncollapsed limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ (i.e., the Hausdorff dimension of M_∞ is equal to n).*

Roughly speaking, this theorem says that *a Gromov-Hausdorff convergence always yields L^p-weak convergence of the Riemannian metrics.*

Let us denote by $H_{1,p}(U)$ the $H_{1,p}$-Sobolev space on an open subset U of M_∞. Note that every $f \in H_{1,p}(U)$ also has the canonical section $df(x) \in T^*_x M_\infty$ for a.e. $x \in U$ with $||f||_{H_{1,p}} = ||f||_{L^p} + ||df||_{L^p}$.

In [10] Cheeger-Colding defined the Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^2(M_\infty)$ as the self adjoint operator by the closable bilinear form

$$\int_{M_\infty} \langle df, dg \rangle d\upsilon$$

if M_∞ is compact. They also showed continuities of eigenvalues and of eigenfunctions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology which solve a conjecture given by Fukaya in [20]. Kuwae-Shioya proved the existence of the Dirichlet Laplacian on $L^2(B_R(m_\infty))$ and similar continuities for noncompact case in [38].

In this paper we use the following notation: For every open subset U of M_∞, let $\mathcal{D}^2(\Delta^v, U)$ be the space of $f \in H_{1,2}(U)$ satisfying that there exists $h \in L^2(U)$ such that

$$\int_U \langle df, dg\rangle dv = \int_U hg dv$$

holds for every Lipschitz function g on U with compact support. Since h is unique, we denote h by $\Delta^v f$.

On the other hand in [31] we knew that M_∞ has a *second order differential structure* in some weak sense. More precisely, by taking a subsequence in advance without loss of generality we can assume that there is such a second order differential structure *associated with* $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$ in some sense. See subsection 2.5.7 for the precise definition. We will always consider such structure.

It was also proved in [31] that the Riemannian metric g_{M_∞} is differentiable at a.e. $x \in M_\infty$ with respect to the structure, in particular we knew that there exists the *Levi-Civita connection.* It is important that these facts allow us to define a *weakly twice differentiable function* and the *Hessian* of a weakly twice differentiable function naturally.

We will apply several fundamental properties of **(W)** and of **(S)** to the study of the second order differential structure on M_∞. In this section we introduce the following four applications only. The first application is about L^2-weak convergence of Hessians:

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $f_i \in L^2(B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$. Assume that $f_i \in C^2(B_R(m_i))$ holds for every $i < \infty$, $\sup_{i<\infty}(||f_i||_{H_{1,2}(B_R(m_i))} + ||\Delta f_i||_{L^2(B_R(m_i))}) < \infty$ and that f_i L^2-converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then there exists $p_1 := p_1(n, K, R) > 1$ depending only on n, R such that the following hold:*

1. *f_i L^2-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*
2. *$f_\infty \in \mathcal{D}^2(\Delta^v, B_R(m_\infty)) \cap H_{1,2p_1}(B_r(m_\infty))$ for every $r < R$.*
3. *$f_i, \nabla f_i$ L^{2p_1}-converge strongly to $f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$ for every $r < R$, respectively.*
4. *$|\nabla f_\infty|^2 \in H_{1,p_1}(B_r(m_\infty))$ for every $r < R$.*
5. *$\nabla|\nabla f_i|^2$ L^{p_1}-converges weakly to $\nabla|\nabla f_\infty|^2$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$ for every $r < R$.*
6. *Δf_i L^2-converges weakly to $\Delta^v f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*
7. *f_∞ is a weakly twice differentiable function on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*
8. *The Hessian Hess_{f_∞} of f_∞ is in $L^2(T^0_2 B_r(m_\infty))$ for every $r < R$.*
9. *Hess_{f_i} L^2-converges weakly to Hess_{f_∞} on $B_r(m_\infty)$ for every $r < R$.*

The second application is the following *Bochner-type inequality* on M_∞ which keeps the term of Hessian:

THEOREM 1.4. *Let* $\{f_i\}_{i\le\infty}$ *be as in Theorem 1.3. Moreover assume that* Δf_i L^2-*converges strongly to* $\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$ *on* $B_r(m_\infty)$ *for every* $r<R$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle d\phi_\infty, d|df_\infty|^2\rangle d\upsilon \ge &\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}|^2 d\upsilon\\ &+\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\left(-\phi_\infty(\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty)^2+\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty\langle d\phi_\infty, df_\infty\rangle\right)d\upsilon\\ &+K(n-1)\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon\end{aligned}$$

holds for every nonnegatively valued Lipschitz function ϕ_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *with compact support.*

Note that the Bochner-type inequality holds on a dense subspace in $L^2(B_R(m_\infty))$ and that this is stronger than Γ_2-*condition*. See Remarks 4.21 and 4.28. It is worth pointing out that recently Zhang-Zhu proved a similar result on an Alexandrov space in [61].

On the other hand in [31] the author defined the (geometric) Laplacian $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f$ for a weakly twice differentiable function f by taking the trace of $-\mathrm{Hess}_f$:

$$\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f := \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ab})}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{k}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(g^{ij}\sqrt{\det(g_{ab})}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

on each *k*-*dimensional rectifiable coordinate patch* (U,ϕ), where $\phi(p)=(x_1(p),x_2(p),\ldots,x_k(p))\in\mathbf{R}^k$, $g_{ij}=g_{M_\infty}(\partial/\partial x_i,\partial/\partial x_j)$ and $(g^{ij})_{ij}=(g_{ij})^{-1}_{ij}$.

We now consider the following question:

Question: When does $\Delta^{\upsilon} f=\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f$ hold?

For example if M_∞ is a k-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold and υ is the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure, then $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f=\Delta^{\upsilon} f$ holds for every $f\in C^2(B_R(m_\infty))$ with $\Delta f\in L^2(B_R(m_\infty))$. This is a direct consequence of the divergence formula on a manifold. Note that in general $\Delta^{\upsilon} f\neq\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f$. See Remark 4.31 for an example.

The third application is to give a sufficient condition for f in order to satisfy $\Delta^{\upsilon} f=\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f$:

THEOREM 1.5. *Let* $\{f_i\}_{i\le\infty}$ *be as in Theorem 1.3. Then we have the following:*

1. *If* (M_∞,m_∞) *is the noncollapsed limit space of* $\{(M_i,m_i)\}_i$, *then* $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f_\infty=\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$.
2. *If* Hess_{f_i} L^2-*converges strongly to* Hess_{f_∞} *on* $B_r(m_\infty)$ *for every* $r<R$, *then* $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f_\infty=\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *and* Δf_i L^2-*converges strongly to* $\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$ *on* $B_r(m_\infty)$ *for every* $r<R$.

In particular we see that an answer of the question above for noncollapsing case is POSITIVE on a dense subspace in $L^2(B_R(m_\infty))$. Note that in [37] Kuwae-Machigashira-Shioya showed a similar result about an explicit formula for the Dirichlet Laplacian on an Alexandrov space.

For $d > 0$, let $\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)$ be the space of n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds (M, Vol) with $\mathrm{diam}\, M \le d$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_M \ge K(n-1)$, where $\mathrm{Vol} := \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, M$. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)}$ the measured Gromov-Hausdorff compactification of $\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)$. For $(X, \nu) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)}$, we define *the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian* by

$$\lambda_{1,p}(X) := \inf \left\{ \frac{||df||^p_{L^p(X)}}{||f||^p_{L^p(X)}}; f \in H_{1,p}(X), f \not\equiv 0, \int_X |f|^{p-2} f d\nu = 0 \right\} > 0$$

if X is not a single point, $\lambda_{1,p}(X) := \infty$ if X is a single point. It is well-known that $\lambda_{1,p}(M)$ coincides the smallest positive eigenvalue of the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem on $(M, \mathrm{Vol}) \in \mathcal{M}(n, d, K)$:

$$\Delta_p \phi = \lambda |\phi|^{p-2} \phi,$$

where $\Delta_p \phi := -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla \phi|^{p-2} \nabla \phi)$. The fourth application is the following:

THEOREM 1.6. *The function $\lambda_{1,p} : \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)} \to (0, \infty]$ is continuous.*

This theorem is a generalization of the result about continuity of the eigenvalues of the Laplacian with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology proved by Cheeger-Colding in [10] to the case of the first eigenvalues of the p-Laplacian.

The organization of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2 we will fix several notation and recall fundamental properties of metric measure spaces and of limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds.

In Section 3 we will discuss L^p-convergence with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. More precisely in subsection 3.1 we will give the definitions of **(W)** and of **(S)** for the case of functions by a somewhat different way from Kuwae-Shioya given in [40] and their fundamental properties. We will also show that this formulation is equivalent to that by Kuwae-Shioya. In particular we will extend a compactness result about L^2-weak convergence given by Kuwae-Shioya to L^p-case. See Proposition 3.19, Corollary 3.34 and Proposition 3.39. In subsection 3.2 we will give the original definitions of **(W)** and of **(S)** for tensor fields. Roughly speaking their fundamental properties include the following:

1. Every L^p-bounded sequence has an L^p-weak convergent subsequence.
2. L^p-norms are lower semicontinuous with respect to the L^p-weak convergence.
3. L^p-strong (or L^p-weak) convergence is stable for every contraction under a suitable assumption.

It is worth pointing out that a key notion to give the definitions of **(W)** and of **(S)** is the *angle* $\angle xyz \in [0, \pi]$ given in [31]. See subsection 2.5.5 for the precise definition.

In Section 4 we will apply several results given in Section 3 to prove theorems introduced in this section. Moreover we will show a compactness result about Sobolev functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology which is a generalization of a Kuwae-Shioya's result about L^2-energy functionals given in [38, 40] to L^p-case. See Theorem 4.9 and

Remark 4.11. As an application of it, we will prove Theorem 1.6. We will also discuss a Bochner-type *formula* and the scalar curvature of a limit space. See Theorem 4.32 and Corollary 4.36.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express my appreciation to Professors Kazumasa Kuwada, Kazuhiro Kuwae, Shin-ichi Ohta and Takashi Shioya for helpful comments. He was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 24740046.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Fundamental notation.**

For $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

$$a = b \pm \epsilon \iff |a-b| < \epsilon.$$

Let us denote by $\Psi(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_k; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l)$ some positive valued function on $\mathbf{R}^k_{>0} \times \mathbf{R}^l$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_k \to 0} \Psi(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_k; c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l) = 0$$

for fixed real numbers $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l$. We often denote by $C(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l)$ some positive constant depending only on fixed real numbers $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_l$.

Let X be a metric space and $x \in X$. For $r > 0$ and $A \subset X$, put $B_r(x) := \{w \in X; \overline{x, w} < r\}$, $\overline{B}_r(x) := \{w \in X; \overline{x, w} \le r\}$ and $B_r(A) := \{w \in X; \overline{w, A} < r\}$. We say that X *is proper* if every bounded closed subset of X is compact. We also say that X *is a geodesic space* if for every $p, q \in X$ there exists an isometric embedding $\gamma : [0, \overline{p, q}] \to X$ such that $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(\overline{p, q}) = q$ (we call γ *a minimal geodesic from p to q*).

Let υ be a Borel measure on X, Y a metric space and f a Borel map from X to Y. We say that f is *weakly Lipschitz* (or *differentiable at a.e. $x \in X$*) if there exists a countable collection $\{A_i\}_i$ of Borel subsets A_i of X such that $\upsilon(X \setminus \bigcup_i A_i) = 0$ and that each $f|_{A_i}$ is a Lipschitz map.

Let V be an n-dimensional real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $v \in V$ and $1 < p < \infty$. We often use the following notation: $v^{(p-1)} := |v|^{p-2}v$ if $v \neq 0$, $v^{(p-1)} := 0$ if $v = 0$. For $k \le n$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le k} \subset V$, we say that $\{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}$ *is an ϵ-orthogonal collection on V* if $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \pm \epsilon$ holds for every i, j. Moreover, if $k = n$, then we say that $\{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ *is an ϵ-orthogonal basis on V*. It is easy to check the following:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $L > 0$, $r \ge 1$, $T \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^r V^*$ with $|T| \le L$, and let $\{e_i\}_{1 \le i \le k}$ be an ϵ-orthogonal collection on V. Then we have the following:*

1. *If $k = n$, then $|T|^2 = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} (T(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_r}))^2 \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, r, L)$.*
2. *If $r = 2$ and $|T|^2 = \sum_{i,j}(T(e_i, e_j))^2 \pm \epsilon$, then $\mathrm{Tr}\, T = \sum_{i=1}^k T(e_i, e_i) \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, L)$, where $\mathrm{Tr}\, T$ is the trace of T.*

Let $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and $T^r_s(V) := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r V \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} V^*$. We also denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the canonical inner product on $T^r_s(V)$ for brevity. For $1 \le l \le r$ and $r+1 \le k \le r+s$, let $C^l_k : T^r_s(V) \to$

$T^{r-1}_{s-1}(V)$ be the contraction defined by $C^l_k(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} v_i^*) := v_k^*(v_l) \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=l+1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{k-1} v_i^* \otimes \bigotimes_{i=k+1}^{r+s} v_i^*$. For $1 \le l < k \le r$, let $C^l_k : T^r_s(V) \to T^{r-2}_s(V)$ be the linear map defined by $C^l_k(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} v_i^*) := \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=l+1}^{k-1} v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=k+1}^{r} v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} v_i^*$. Similarly we define $C^l_k : T^r_s(V) \to T^r_{s-2}(V)$ for $r+1 \le l < k \le r+s$. For $l \le r, k \le s$, let $C^{l,r}_{k,s} : T^r_s(V) \times T^l_k(V) \to T^{r-l}_{s-k}(V)$ be the linear map defined by $C^{l,r}_{k,s}(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} v_i^*, \bigotimes_{i=1}^l w_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=l+1}^{l+k} w_i^*) := \prod_{i=1}^l \langle v_i, w_i \rangle \prod_{i=r+1}^{r+k} \langle v_i^*, w_{i-r+l}^* \rangle \bigotimes_{i=l+1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+k+1}^{r+s} v_i^*$. To simplify notation, we write $T(S)$ instead of $C^{l,r}_{k,s}(T, S)$. Note $|T(S)| \le |T||S|$. For $\hat{r}, \hat{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\ge 0}$, define the bilinear map $f : T^r_s(V) \times T^{\hat{r}}_{\hat{s}}(V) \to T^{r+\hat{r}}_{s+\hat{s}}(V)$ by $f(\bigotimes_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} v_i^*, \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\hat{r}} w_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=\hat{r}+1}^{\hat{r}+\hat{s}} w_i^*) := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\hat{r}} w_i \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} v_i^* \otimes \bigotimes_{i=\hat{r}+1}^{\hat{r}+\hat{s}} w_i^*$. For simplicity of notation, we write $v \otimes w$ instead of $f(v, w)$.

2.2. **Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on a Borel subset of Euclidean space.** Let A be a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$, f a Lipschitz function on A and $y \in \operatorname{Leb} A := \{a \in A; \lim_{r \to 0} H^k(A \cap B_r(a))/H^k(B_r(a)) = 1\}$, where H^k is the k-dimensional spherical Hausdorff measure. Then we say that f *is differentiable at* y if there exists a Lipschitz function $\hat{f}$ on $\mathbf{R}^k$ such that $\hat{f}|_A \equiv f$ and that $\hat{f}$ is differentiable at y. Note that if f is differentiable at y, then a vector $(\partial \hat{f}/\partial x_1(y), \ldots, \partial \hat{f}/\partial x_n(y))$ does not depend on the choice of such $\hat{f}$. Thus we denote the vector by $J(f)(y) = (\partial f/\partial x_1(y), \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n(y))$. Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ be a Lipschitz map from A to $\mathbf{R}^m$. We say that F *is differentiable at* y if every f_i is differentiable at y. Note that by Rademacher's theorem [52], F is differentiable at a.e. $x \in A$. Let us denote by $J(F)(x) = (\partial f_i/\partial x_j(x))_{ij}$ the Jacobi matrix of F at x if F is differentiable at $x \in \operatorname{Leb} A$. We also say that a Borel map G from A to $\mathbf{R}^m$ is *weakly twice differentiable on* A if G is weakly Lipschitz on A and if $J(G)$ is weakly Lipschitz on A.

Let $X = \sum_{a \in \Lambda} X_a \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \nabla x_{a(i)} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dx_{a(i)}$ be a tensor field of type (r, s) on A, where $\Lambda := \operatorname{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\})$. We say that X is a *Borel tensor field on* A if every X_a is a Borel function. We also say that X is *weakly Lipschitz on* A (or *differentiable at a.e.* $x \in A$) if every X_a is weakly Lipschitz on A.

For two Borel tensor fields $\{X_i\}_{i=1,2}$ of type (r, s) on A, we say that X_1 *is equivalent to* X_2 *on* A if $X_1(x) = X_2(x)$ holds for a.e. $x \in A$. Let us denote by $[X]$ the equivalent class of X, by $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s A)$ the set of equivalent classes, and by $\Gamma_1(T^r_s A)$ the set of equivalent classes represented by a weakly Lipschitz tensor field of type (r, s). We often write $X = [X]$ for brevity. See subsection 3.1 in [31] for the details of this subsection.

2.3. **Rectifiable metric measure spaces.** Let X be a proper geodesic space and υ a Borel measure on X. In this paper we say that (X, υ) is a *metric measure space* if $\upsilon(B_r(x)) > 0$ holds for every $x \in X$ and every $r > 0$. We now recall the notion of *rectifiability* for metric measure spaces given by Cheeger-Colding in [10]:

Definition 2.2 (Cheeger-Colding, [10]). Let (X, υ) be a metric measure space. We say that X *is* υ*-rectifiable* if there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$, collections $\{C_i^l\}_{1 \le l \le m, i \in \mathbf{N}}$ of Borel subsets

C_i^l of X, and of bi-Lipschitz embedding maps $\{\phi_i^l : C_i^l \to \mathbf{R}^l\}_{l,i}$ such that the following three conditions hold:

1. $\upsilon(X \setminus \bigcup_{l,i} C_i^l) = 0$.
2. For every i and every l, υ is Ahlfors l-regular at every $x \in C_i^l$, i.e., there exist $C \geq 1$ and $r > 0$ such that $C^{-1} \leq \upsilon(B_t(x))/t^l \leq C$ holds for every $0 < t < r$.
3. For every l, every $x \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} C_i^l$ and every $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists i such that $x \in C_i^l$ and that the map ϕ_i^l is $(1 \pm \delta)$-bi-Lipschitz to the image $\phi_i^l(C_i^l)$.

See [10, Definition 5.3] and the condition *iii)* of page 60 in [10]. In this paper we say that a family $\mathcal{A} := \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ as in Definition 2.2 is a *rectifiable coordinate system (or structure) of* (X, υ) and that each (C_i^l, ϕ_i^l) is an *l-dimensional rectifiable coordinate patch.* It is important that the cotangent bundle on a rectifiable metric measure space exists in some sense. We first give several fundamental properties of the cotangent bundle:

THEOREM 2.3 (Cheeger, Cheeger-Colding, [5, 10]). *Let (X, υ) be a rectifiable metric measure space. Then there exist a topological space T^*X and a Borel map $\pi_1^0 : T^*X \to X$ with the following properties:*

1. $\upsilon(X \setminus \pi_1^0(T^*X)) = 0$.
2. *For every $w \in \pi_1^0(T^*X)$, $(\pi_1^0)^{-1}(w)(= T_w^*X)$ is a finite dimensional real Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w$. Let $|v|(w) := \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_w}$.*
3. *For every Lipschitz function f on X, there exist a Borel subset V of X, and a Borel map df from V to T^*X such that $\upsilon(X \setminus V) = 0$, $\pi_1^0 \circ df \equiv id_V$ and that $|df|(w) = \mathrm{Lip} f(w) = Lipf(w)$ holds for every $w \in V$, where*
   - (a) $\mathrm{Lip} f(x) = \lim_{r \to 0}(\sup_{y \in B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}}(|f(x) - f(y)|/\overline{x,y}))$ *and*
   - (b) $Lipf(x) = \liminf_{r \to 0}(\sup_{y \in \partial B_r(x)}(|f(x) - f(y)|/\overline{x,y}))$.

Assume that (X, υ) is a rectifiable metric measure space. We now give a short review of the construction of the cotangent bundle T^*X as in Theorem 2.3: Let $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ be a rectifiable coordinate system of (X, υ). By Rademacher's theorem and Definition 2.2, without loss of generality we can assume that the following hold:

1. Every $\phi_i^l \circ (\phi_j^l)^{-1} : \phi_j^l(C_i^l \cap C_j^l) \to \phi_i^l(C_i^l \cap C_j^l)$ is differentiable at every $w \in \phi_j^l(C_i^l \cap C_j^l)$.
2. For every i, l, $x \in C_i^l$ and every $(a_1, \ldots, a_l), (b_1, \ldots, b_l) \in \mathbf{R}^l$, we have the following:
   - (a) $\mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j a_j \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x) = Lip\left(\sum_j a_j \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x)$.
   - (b) $\mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j a_j \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x) = 0$ holds if and only if $(a_1, \ldots, a_l) = 0$ holds.
   - (c) $\mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j (a_j + b_j) \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x)^2 + \mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j (a_j - b_j) \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x)^2 = 2\mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j a_j \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x)^2 + 2\mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j b_j \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x)^2$.
3. For every Lipschitz function f on X, we see that $\mathrm{Lip} f(x) = Lipf(x)$ holds for a.e. $x \in X$.

Let $\sim$ be the equivalent relation on $\bigsqcup_{i,l}(\phi_i^l(C_i^l) \times \mathbf{R}^l)$ defined by $(x,u) \sim (y,v)$ if $x = \phi_i^l \circ (\phi_j^l)^{-1}(y)$ and $u = J(\phi_i^l \circ (\phi_j^l)^{-1})(y)^t v$ for some i, j, l. Put $T^*X := \left(\bigsqcup_{i,l}(\phi_i^l(C_i^l) \times \mathbf{R}^l)\right) / \sim$ and define the map $\pi_1^0 : T^*X \to X$ by $\pi(x,u) := (\phi_i^l)^{-1}(x)$ if $x \in \phi_i^l(C_i^l)$. The condition (b) above yields that for every $x \in \pi_1^0(T^*X)$ with $x \in C_i^l$, we see that $|a|_x = \mathrm{Lip}\left(\sum_j a_j \phi_{i,j}^l\right)(x)$ is a norm on $\mathbf{R}^l$. The condition (c) above yields that the norm comes from an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ on $\mathbf{R}^l$. Then it is easy to check that $(T^*X, \pi_1^0, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x)$ satisfies the desired conditions as in Theorem 2.3. See Section 6 in [10] and page $458 - 459$ of [5] for the details.

Note that similarly, we can define the (L^∞-)vector bundle: $\pi_s^r : \bigotimes_{i=1}^r TX \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^s T^*X \to X$ for every $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let A be a Borel subset of X and $T_s^r A$ (or $\bigotimes_{i=1}^r TA \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^s T^*A) := (\pi_s^r)^{-1}(A)$. For two Borel tensor fields T_1, T_2 of type (r,s) on A, we say that T_1 *is equivalent to* T_2 *on* A if $T_1(x) = T_2(x)$ holds for a.e. $x \in A$. Let $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T_s^r A)$ be the space of equivalent classes of Borel sections of $T_s^r A$ over A. Note that for every $T \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T_s^r A)$, each restriction $T|_{C_i^l \cap A}$ of T to $C_i \cap A$ can be regarded as in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T_s^r \phi_i^l(C_i^l \cap A))$ and that every weakly Lipschitz function f on A has the canonical section $df \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A)$.

We also denote the canonical metric on each fiber of $T_s^r X$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for short. In particular we call the canonical metric on TX the *Riemannian metric of* (X, υ) and denote it by g_X. For every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $L^p(T_s^r A) := \{T \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T_s^r A); |T| \in L^p(A)\}$. Note that $L^p(T_s^r A)$ with the L^p-norm is a Banach space and that $g_X \in L^\infty(T_2^0 X)$. For every weakly Lipschitz function f on A and every $V \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$, let $\nabla^{g_X} f := (df)^* \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and $V(f) := \langle V, \nabla f \rangle$, where * is the canonical isometry $T_x^* X \cong T_x X$ by the Riemannian metric g_X. See subsection 3.3 in [31] for the detail.

Let U be an open subset of X. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, U)$ the set of $T \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(TU) := \{S \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TU); |S| \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(U)\}$ satisfying that there exists a unique $h \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(U)$ such that

$$-\int_U fh d\upsilon = \int_U \langle \nabla f, T \rangle d\upsilon$$

holds for every Lipschitz function f on U with compact support. Write $\mathrm{div}^\upsilon T = h$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, let $\mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, U)$ be the set of $T \in \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, U)$ satisfying that $T \in L^p(TU)$ and $\mathrm{div}^\upsilon T \in L^p(U)$ hold. Note that for $\mathcal{D}^2(\Delta^\upsilon, U)$ defined as in Section 1, we see that $f \in \mathcal{D}^2(\Delta^\upsilon, U)$ holds if and only if $f \in H_{1,2}(U)$ and $\nabla f \in \mathcal{D}^2(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, U)$ hold.

2.4. **Weakly second order differential structure on rectifiable metric measure spaces.** In this subsection we recall the definition of a weakly second order differential structure on a rectifiable metric measure space and their fundamental properties given in [31].

Let (X, υ) be a metric measure space and $\mathcal{A} := \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{i,l}$ a rectifiable coordinate system of (X, υ). We say that $\mathcal{A}$ *is a weakly second order differential structure (or system) on* (X, υ) if each map $\phi_i^l \circ (\phi_j^l)^{-1}$ is weakly twice differentiable on $\phi_j^l(C_i^l \cap C_j^l)$.

Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a weakly second order differential structure on (X, υ). Let A be a Borel subset of X. We say that $T \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s A)$ *is weakly Lipschitz* if each $X|_{C_i^l \cap A}$ (which can be regarded as in $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s \phi_i^l(C_i^l \cap A)))$ is a weakly Lipschitz tensor field of type (r, s) on $\phi_i^l(C_i \cap A)$. Let us denote by $\Gamma_1(T^r_s A; \mathcal{A})$ the set of equivalent classes of Borel tensor fields of type (r, s) on A represented by a weakly Lipschitz tensor field. We often write $\Gamma_1(T^r_s A) := \Gamma_1(T^r_s A; \mathcal{A})$ for brevity. Recall that it was proved in [31] that for $U, V \in \Gamma_1(TA)$, the Lie bracket $[U, V] \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ is well-defined in the ordinary way.

Let f be a Borel function on A. We say that f *is weakly twice differentiable on A (with respect to $\mathcal{A}$)* if f is weakly Lipschitz on A and if $df \in \Gamma_1(T^*A)$. The following theorem is a main result of [31]:

Theorem 2.4. [31, Theorem 3.25] *Assume $g_X \in \Gamma_1(T^0_2 X)$. Then there exists the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{g_X} on X uniquely in the following sense:*

1. *∇^{g_X} is a map from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX) \times \Gamma_1(TX)$ to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$. Let $\nabla^{g_X}_U V := \nabla^{g_X}(U, V)$.*
2. *$\nabla^{g_X}_U (V + W) = \nabla^{g}_U V + \nabla^{g_X}_U W$ holds for every $U \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$ and every $V, W \in \Gamma_1(TX)$.*
3. *$\nabla^{g_X}_{fU+hV} W = f\nabla^{g_X}_U W + h\nabla^{g_X}_V W$ holds for every $U, V \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$, every $W \in \Gamma_1(TX)$ and every Borel functions f, h on X.*
4. *$\nabla^{g_X}_U (fV) = U(f)V + f\nabla^{g_X}_U V$ holds for every $U \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$, every $V \in \Gamma_1(TX)$ and every weakly Lipschitz function f on X.*
5. *$\nabla^{g_X}_U V - \nabla^{g_X}_V U = [U, V]$ holds for every $U, V \in \Gamma_1(TX)$.*
6. *$Ug(V, W) = g_X(\nabla^{g_X}_U V, W) + g(V, \nabla^{g_X}_U W)$ holds for every $U \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$ and every $V, W \in \Gamma_1(TX)$.*

Remark 2.5. ∇^{g_X} is *local*, i.e., for every Borel subset A of X, the Levi-Civita connection induces the map $\nabla^{g_X}|_A : \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA) \times \Gamma_1(TA) \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ by letting $\nabla^{g_X}|_A(U, V) := \nabla^{g_X}_{1_A U}(1_A V)$. Thus we use the same notation: $\nabla^{g_X} = \nabla^{g_X}|_A$ in this paper for brevity. See Section 3 in [31] for the detail.

The Levi-Civita connection above allows us to give the definitions of the Hessian of a weakly twice differentiable function, and of the divergence of a weakly Lipschitz vector field in the ordinary way of Riemannian geometry. We only give several fundamental properties of them:

Proposition 2.6. [31, Theorem 3.26] *Assume $g_X \in \Gamma_1(T^0_2 X)$. Let A be a Borel subset of X, f a weakly twice differentiable function on A, $\omega \in \Gamma_1(T^*A)$ and $Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. Then there exist uniquely*

1. *$\nabla^{g_X}\omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^0_2 A)$ such that $\nabla^{g_X}\omega(U, V) = g_X(\nabla_V \omega^*, U)$ holds for every $U, V \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$,*
2. *the Hessian $\mathrm{Hess}^{g_X}_f := \nabla^{g_X} df \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^0_2 A)$,*
3. *a Borel function $\mathrm{div}^{g_X} Y := \mathrm{tr}(\nabla^{g_X} Y^*)$ on A,*

(4) *a Borel function* $\Delta^{g_X} f := -\mathrm{div}^{g_X}(\nabla^{g_X} f) = -\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}_f^{g_X})$ *on* A.

Moreover we have the following:

(a) $\mathrm{Hess}_f^{g_X}(x)$ *is symmetric for a.e.* $x \in A$.

(b) $\mathrm{div}^{g_X}(hY) = h\mathrm{div}^{g_X} Y + g_X(\nabla^{g_X} h, Y)$ *holds for every weakly Lipschitz function* h *on* A.

(c) $\Delta^{g_X}(fh) = h\Delta^{g_X} f - 2g_X(\nabla^{g_X} f, \nabla^{g_X} h) + f\Delta^{g_X} h$ *holds for every weakly twice differentiable function* h *on* A.

Remark 2.7. We can define the *covariant derivative of tensor fields* $\nabla^{g_X} : \Gamma_1(T^r_s A) \to \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_{s+1} A)$ in the ordinary way of Riemannian geometry. Then it is easy to check the *torsion free condition*: $\nabla^{g_X} g_X \equiv 0$.

Definition 2.8. Let $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be a weakly second order differential structure on (X, υ). We say that $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ *are compatible* if so is $\mathcal{A} \cup \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

It is trivial that if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ are compatible, then the notions introduced here are compatible, i.e., for instance we see that a function f on a Borel subset A of X is weakly twice differentiable on A with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if so is f with respect to $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, $\Gamma_1(T^r_s A; \mathcal{A}) = \Gamma_1(T^r_s A; \hat{\mathcal{A}})$ and so on.

Remark 2.9. It is known that similar results given here hold on Alexandrov spaces. See for instance [4, 37, 48, 49, 50, 51].

2.5. **Limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds.**

In this subsection we recall several fundamental properties about limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds.

2.5.1. *Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.* Let $\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{1 \le i \le \infty}$ be a sequence of pointed proper geodesic spaces. We say that (X_i, x_i) *Gromov-Hausdorff converges to* (X_∞, x_∞) if there exist sequences of positive numbers $\epsilon_i \to 0$, $R_i \to \infty$ and of maps $\psi_i : B_{R_i}(x_i) \to B_{R_i}(x_\infty)$ (called ϵ_i*-almost isometries*) with $|\overline{x, y} - \overline{\psi_i(x), \psi_i(y)}| < \epsilon_i$ for every $x, y \in B_{R_i}(x_i)$, $B_{R_i}(x_\infty) \subset B_{\epsilon_i}(\mathrm{Image}(\psi_i))$, and $\psi_i(x_i) \to x_\infty$ (then we denote it by $x_i \to x_\infty$ for short). See [26]. We denote it by $(X_i, x_i) \overset{(\psi_i, \epsilon_i, R_i)}{\to} (X_\infty, x_\infty)$ or $(X_i, x_i) \to (X_\infty, x_\infty)$ for short.

Assume $(X_i, x_i) \overset{(\psi_i, \epsilon_i, R_i)}{\to} (X_\infty, x_\infty)$. For a sequence $\{A_i\}_i$ of compact subsets A_i of $B_{R_i}(x_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$, we say that A_i *Gromov-Hausdorff converges to* A_∞ *with respect to the convergence* $(X_i, x_i) \to (X_\infty, x_\infty)$ if $\psi_i(A_i)$ Hausdorff converges to A_∞. Then we often denote A_∞ by $\lim_{i \to \infty} A_i$. Moreover, for a sequence $\{\upsilon_i\}_{1 \le i \le \infty}$ of Borel measures υ_i on X_i, we say that υ_∞ *is the limit measure of* $\{\upsilon_i\}_i$ if $\upsilon_i(B_r(y_i)) \to \upsilon_\infty(B_r(y_\infty))$ holds for every $r > 0$ and every $y_i \to y_\infty$. See [8, 20]. Then we denote it by $(X_i, x_i, \upsilon_i) \overset{(\psi_i, \epsilon_i, R_i)}{\to} (X_\infty, x_\infty, \upsilon_\infty)$ or $(X_i, x_i, \upsilon_i) \to (X_\infty, x_\infty, \upsilon_\infty)$ for brevity.

2.5.2. *Ricci limit spaces.* Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and let (M_∞, m_∞) be a pointed proper geodesic space. We say that (M_∞, m_∞) *is an* (n,K)*-Ricci limit space (of* $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$*)* if there exist sequences of real numbers $K_i \to K$ and of pointed complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq K_i(n-1)$ such that $(M_i, m_i) \to (M_\infty, m_\infty)$. We call an $(n,-1)$-Ricci limit space a *Ricci limit space* for brevity. Moreover we say that a Radon measure υ on M_∞ is the *limit measure of* $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ if υ is the limit measure of $\{\mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i)\}_i$. Then we say that $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ *is the Ricci limit space of* $\{(M_i, m_i, \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i))\}_i$. Throughout this paper we use the notation: $\underline{\mathrm{vol}} := \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i)$ for brevity.

2.5.3. *Poincaré inequality and Sobolev spaces.* Let $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ be the Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$ with $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$ (we will use the same notation in the subsections later). Then it is known that the following hold:

1. (M_∞, m_∞) satisfies a weak Poincaré inequality of type $(1,p)$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$.
2. For every $1 < p < \infty$ and every open subset $U \subset M_\infty$, $(1,p)$-Sobolev space $H_{1,p}(U)$ is well-defined.
3. For every $1 < p < \infty$ and every $f \in H_{1,p}(U)$, f is weakly Lipschitz on U and $||f||_{H_{1,p}} = ||f||_{L^p} + ||df||_{L^p}$.
4. The space of locally Lipschitz functions on $B_R(x_\infty)(\subset M_\infty)$ in $H_{1,p}(B_R(x_\infty))$ is dense in $H_{1,p}(B_R(x_\infty))$ for every $1 < p < \infty$.

See [5, Corollary 2.25, (4.3), Theorems 4.14 and 4.47] and [10, (1.6) and Theorem 2.15] for the details.

2.5.4. *Regular set.* A pointed proper metric space (X, x) is said to be a *tangent cone of* M_∞ *at* $z_\infty \in M_\infty$ if there exists $r_i \to 0$ such that $(M_\infty, z_\infty, r_i^{-1} d_{M_\infty}) \to (X, x)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_k := \{z_\infty \in M_\infty;$ Every tangent cone at z_∞ of M_∞ is isometric to $(\mathbf{R}^k, 0_k).\}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{R}_i$. We call $\mathcal{R}_k$ *the* k*-dimensional regular set of* M_∞ and $\mathcal{R}$ *the regular set of* M_∞. Cheeger-Colding showed that $\upsilon(M_\infty \setminus \mathcal{R}) = 0$ [8, Theorem 2.1]. On the other hand, recently, Colding-Naber proved that there exists a unique k such that $\upsilon(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}_k) = 0$ [16, Theorem 1.12] . We call k *the dimension of* M_∞ and denote it by $\dim M_\infty$. Note that an argument similar to the proof of [30, Lemma 3.5] yields that for every rectifiable coordinate system $\mathcal{A}$ on (M_∞, m_∞) there exists a subrectifiable coordinate system $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that each patch of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is k-dimensional, where we say that a rectifiable coordinate system $\hat{A}$ on (M_∞, m_∞) is a *subrectifiable coordinate system of* $\mathcal{A}$ if for every $(\hat{C}_i^k, \hat{\phi}_i^k) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists $(C_j^k, \phi_j^k) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\hat{C}_i^k \subset C_j^k$ and $\phi_j^k|_{\hat{C}_i^k} \equiv \hat{\phi}_i^k$.

2.5.5. *Angles.* We introduce a key notion *angles* to give the definitions of **(W)** and of **(S)** as in Section 1. Let C_x be *the cut locus of* $x \in M_\infty$ defined by $C_x := \{y \in M_\infty; \overline{x,y} + \overline{y,z} > \overline{x,z}$ holds for every $z \in M_\infty$ with $z \neq y.\}$. It is known $\upsilon(C_x) = 0$ [29, Theorem 3.2]. Let

$p, x, q \in M_\infty$ with $x \notin C_p \cup C_q$. Then there exists a unique $\angle pxq \in [0, \pi]$ such that

$$\cos \angle pxq = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2t^2 - \overline{\gamma_p(t), \gamma_q(t)}^2}{2t^2}$$

holds for every minimal geodesics γ_p from x to p and every γ_q from x to q. Note that for every $p, q \in M_\infty$ we see that $\langle dr_p, dr_q \rangle (x) = \cos \angle pxq$ holds for a.e. $x \in M_\infty$. See [31, Theorem 1.2] for the details, and see [17, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3] for a very interesting example.

2.5.6. *Rectifiability.* Cheeger-Colding proved that (M_∞, υ) is rectifiable by harmonic functions. More precisely, by combining with Colding-Naber's result [16, Theorem 1.12], we have:

THEOREM 2.10. [10, Theorems 3.3, 5.5 and 5.7] *There exists a rectifiable coordinate system* $\mathcal{A}_h := \{(C_i, \phi_i)\}_i (\phi_i = (\phi_{i,1}, \ldots, \phi_{i,k}) : C_i \to \mathbf{R}^k)$ *of* (M_∞, υ) *such that the following holds: There exists a subsequence* $\{i(j)\}_j$ *such that for every* l*, there exist* $r > 0$*, sequences* $\{x_{i(j)}\}_{j \le \infty}$ *of* $x_{i(j)} \in M_{i(j)}$ *with* $x_{i(j)} \to x_\infty$*, and* $\{f_{i(j),s}\}_{j \le \infty, s \le k}$ *of* $C(n)$*-Lipschitz harmonic functions* $f_{i(j),s}$ *on* $B_r(x_{i(j)})$ *such that* $C_l \subset B_r(x_\infty)$*,* $f_{\infty,s}|_{C_l} \equiv \phi_{l,s}$ $f_{i(j),s} \to f_{\infty,s}$ *on* $B_r(x_\infty)$ *as* $j \to \infty$ *for every* s*.*

See subsection 3.1.1 for the definition of the pointwise convergence of C^0-functions: $f_i \to f_\infty$ with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. On the other hand, the author proved that (M_∞, υ) is rectifiable by distance functions:

THEOREM 2.11. [30, Theorem 3.1] *There exists a rectifiable coordinate system* $\mathcal{A}_d := \{(C_i, \phi_i)\}_{i < \infty}$ *of* (M_∞, υ) *such that every* $\phi_{i,s}$ *is the distance function from a point in* M_∞*.*

REMARK 2.12. Moreover it was shown in [30] that for every dense subset A of M_∞, there exists a rectifiable coordinate system $\mathcal{A}_d = \{(C_i, \phi_i)\}_{i < \infty}$ such that every $\phi_{i,s}$ is the distance function from a point in A.

Note that Theorems 2.10 and 2.11 perform crucial roles in Section 3 and 4.

DEFINITION 2.13. Let $\mathcal{A} := \{(C_i, \phi_i)\}_i$ be a rectifiable coordinate system on (M_∞, υ). We say that $\mathcal{A}$ *is a rectifiable coordinate system associated with* $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i < \infty}$ if for every $i < \infty$ there exists a sequence $\{\phi_{i,l,j}\}_{1 \le l \le k, j \le \infty}$ of Lipschitz functions $\phi_{i,l,j}$ on M_j such that $\sup_{1 \le l \le k, j \le \infty} \mathbf{Lip} \phi_{i,l,j} < \infty$, $\phi_{i,l,\infty}|_{C_i} \equiv \phi_{i,l}$ and that $(\phi_{i,l,j}, d\phi_{i,l,j}) \to (\phi_{i,l,\infty}, d\phi_{i,l,\infty})$ holds on C_i as $j \to \infty$ for every l, where $\mathbf{Lip} \phi_{i,l,j}$ is the Lipschitz constant of $\phi_{i,l,j}$: $\mathbf{Lip} \phi_{i,l,j} := \sup_{x \neq y} |\phi_{i,l,j}(x) - \phi_{i,l,j}(y)| / \overline{x, y}$.

See [30, Definition 4.4] (or Definition 3.43) for the definition of a pointwise convergence $df_i \to df_\infty$ of the differentials of Lipschitz functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Note that by [30, Corollary 4.5] (or Proposition 3.47) and [30, Proposition 4.8] (or Proposition 3.44), for $\mathcal{A}_h$ and $\mathcal{A}_d$ as in Theorems 2.10 and 2.11, respectively, we have the following:

(1) $\mathcal{A}_h$ is a rectifiable coordinate system of (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_{i(j)}, m_{i(j)}, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{j<\infty}$.
(2) $\mathcal{A}_d$ is a rectifiable coordinate system of (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i<\infty}$.

2.5.7. *Weakly second order differential structure.* In [31] it was proved that (M_∞, υ) has a weakly second order differential structure. More precisely, we have:

THEOREM 2.14. [31, Theorem 4.13] *Let* $\mathcal{A}_{2nd} := \{(C_i, \phi_i)\}_{i<\infty}$ *be a rectifiable coordinate system on* M_∞. *Assume that for every* i *there exist* $r > 0$, *sequences* $\{x_j\}_{j\le\infty}$ *of* $x_j \in M_j$ *and* $\{\phi_{i,l,j}\}_{1\le l\le k, j\le\infty}$ *of Lipschitz functions* $\phi_{i,l,j}$ *on* $B_r(x_j)$ *such that* $\sup_{1\le l\le k, j\le\infty} \mathbf{Lip}\phi_{i,l,j} < \infty$, $x_j \to x_\infty$, $C_i \subset B_r(x_\infty)$, $\phi_{i,l,\infty}|_{C_i} \equiv \phi_{i,l}$, $\phi_{i,l,j} \in C^2(B_r(x_j))$ *holds for every* $j < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{1\le l\le k, j<\infty} ||\Delta\phi_{i,l,j}||_{L^2(B_r(x_j))} < \infty$, *and that* $\phi_{i,l,j} \to \phi_{i,l,\infty}$ *on* $B_r(x_\infty)$ *as* $j \to \infty$. *Then we see that* $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ *is a weakly second order differential structure on* M_∞, *and that the Riemannian metric* g_{M_∞} *is weakly Lipschitz with respect to* $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$.

We say that $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ *as in Theorem 2.14 is a weakly second order differential structure on* (M_∞, υ) *associated with* $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$. Note that Theorem 2.10 and [30, Corollary 4.5] yield the following:

(1) There exist a subsequence $\{(M_{i(j)}, m_{i(j)}, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_j$ and a weakly second order differential structure $\mathcal{A}$ on (M_∞, υ) such that $\mathcal{A}$ is associated with $\{(M_{i(j)}, m_{i(j)}, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_j$.
(2) Let $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ be a weakly second order differential structure on (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$.
    (a) $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ is a rectifiable coordinate system of (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$.
    (b) Let $\{i(j)\}_j$ be a subsequence of $\mathbf{N}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{2nd}$ a weakly second order differential structure on (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_{i(j)}, m_{i(j)}, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_j$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{2nd}$ are compatible.

3. L^p-CONVERGENCE

3.1. **Functions.** In this subsection we will discuss several convergences of functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Throughout this subsection we will always consider the following setting: Let $\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{1\le i\le\infty}$ be a sequence of pointed proper geodesic spaces, $R > 0$ and υ_i a Radon measure on X_i for every $i \le \infty$ satisfying the following:

(1) $(X_i, x_i, \upsilon_i) \overset{(\psi_i, \epsilon_i, R_i)}{\to} (X_\infty, x_\infty, \upsilon_\infty)$ and $X_\infty \neq \{x_\infty\}$.
(2) For every $\hat{R} > 0$ there exists $\kappa = \kappa(\hat{R}) \ge 0$ such that $\upsilon_i(B_{2r}(z_i)) \le 2^\kappa \upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))$ holds for every $i \le \infty$, every $r < \hat{R}$ and every $z_i \in X_i$.
(3) $\upsilon_i(B_1(x_i)) = 1$ for every $i \le \infty$.

3.1.1. *C^0-functions.* We first give the definition of a pointwise convergence of continuous functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology:

Definition 3.1. Let $f_i \in C^0(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$. We say that f_i *converges to* f_∞ *at* $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ if $f_i(z_i) \to f_\infty(z_\infty)$ holds for every $z_i \to z_\infty$. Then we denote it by $f_i \to f_\infty$ at z_∞.

We also say that f_i *converges to* f_∞ *on a subset* A *of* $B_R(x_\infty)$ if f_i converges to f_∞ at every $z_\infty \in A$ (then we denote it by $f_i \to f_\infty$ on A).

Definition 3.2. Let $f_i \in C^0(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i < \infty$. We say that $\{f_i\}_i$ *is asymptotically uniformly equicontinuous on* $B_R(x_\infty)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist i_0 and $\delta > 0$ such that $|f_j(\alpha_j) - f_j(\beta_j)| < \epsilon$ holds for every $j \geq i_0$ and every $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in B_R(x_j)$ with $\overline{\alpha_j, \beta_j} < \delta$.

The following compactness result performs a crucial role in the next subsection:

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $f_i \in C^0(B_R(x_i))$ *for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i<\infty} ||f_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$. *Assume that* $\{f_i\}_{i<\infty}$ *is asymptotically uniformly equicontinuous on* $B_R(x_\infty)$. *Then there exist* $f_\infty \in C^0(B_R(x_\infty))$ *and a subsequence* $\{f_{i(j)}\}_j$ *of* $\{f_i\}_i$ *such that* $f_{i(j)} \to f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(x_\infty)$.

Proof. Let $\{z_i\}_i$ be a countable dense subset of $B_R(x_\infty)$. Since $\sup_{i<\infty} ||f_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$, there exists a subsequence $\{i(j)\}_j$ such that $\{f_{i(j)}(z_k)\}_j$ is a convergent sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ for every k. Let us denote by $a(x_k)$ the limit. The assumption yields that the function $a : \{z_k\}_k \to \mathbf{R}$ is uniformly continuous. Therefore there exists a unique $f_\infty \in C^0(B_R(x_\infty))$ such that $f_\infty(x_k) = a(x_k)$ holds for every k. Then it is not difficult to check that $f_{i(j)} \to f_\infty$ on $B_R(x_\infty)$. □

3.1.2. L^1_{loc}*-functions and* L^∞*-functions.* Let $f_i \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$. We start this subsection by giving the definition of a pointwise convergence of **(W)** as in Section 1 for L^1_{loc}-functions:

Definition 3.4. We say that $\{f_i\}_i$ *is weakly upper semicontinuous at* $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ if

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty - \limsup_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))} \int_{B_r(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \right) \geq 0$$

holds for every $z_i \to z_\infty$. We say that $\{f_i\}_i$ *is weakly lower semicontinuous at* $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ if

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} \left(\liminf_{i \to \infty} \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))} \int_{B_r(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right) \geq 0$$

holds for every $z_i \to z_\infty$. We say that f_i *converges weakly to* f_∞ *at* z_∞ if $\{f_i\}_i$ is weakly upper and lower semicontinuous at z_∞.

We first give a fundamental property of the lower semicontinuity:

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that $f_i \geq 0$ holds for every $i \leq \infty$, and that $\{f_i\}_i$ is weakly lower semicontinuous at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$. Then*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \geq \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $\sup_{i<\infty} ||f_i||_{L^1(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$. There exists $K \subset B_R(x_\infty)$ with $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty) \setminus K) = 0$ such that for every $z_\infty \in K$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r = r(z_\infty, \epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))} \int_{B_t(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \geq \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))} \int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty - \epsilon$$

holds for every $t < r$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. A standard covering argument (c.f. [30, Proposiiton 2.2]) yields that there exists a countable pairwise disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i)\}_i$ such that $\overline{B}_{5r_i}(w_i) \subset B_R(x_\infty)$, $w_i \in K$, $5r_i < r(w_i, \epsilon)$ and that $K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=N+1}^\infty \overline{B}_{5r_i}(w_i)$ holds for every N. Let N_0 with $\sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty \upsilon_\infty(B_{5r_i}(w_i)) < \epsilon$ and $K^\epsilon = K \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} \overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i)$. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{K^\epsilon} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \int_{B_{r_i}(w_i)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \left(\int_{B_{r_i}(w_{i,j})} f_j \upsilon_j + \epsilon \upsilon_j(B_{r_i}(w_{i,j})) \right) \\ &\leq \int_{B_R(x_j)} f_j d\upsilon_j + \epsilon \upsilon_j(B_R(x_j))\end{aligned}$$

holds for every sufficiently large j, where $w_{i,j} \to w_i$ as $j \to \infty$. Since $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty) \setminus K^\epsilon) < \epsilon$, by letting $j \to \infty$ and $\epsilon \to 0$, the dominated convergence theorem yields the assertion. $\Box$

Corollary 3.6. *Assume that f_i converges weakly to f_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$. Then* $\liminf_{i\to\infty} ||f_i||_{L^1(B_R(x_i))} \geq ||f_\infty||_{L^1(B_R(x_\infty))}$.

Proof. Lebesgue's differentiation theorem yields that there exists $K_\infty \subset B_R(x_\infty)$ such that $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty) \setminus K_\infty) = 0$ and that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \left| \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right| = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} |f_\infty| d\upsilon_\infty$$

holds for every $z_\infty \in K_\infty$. Thus for a.e. $z_\infty \in K_\infty$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that for every $t < r$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))} \int_{B_t(z_\infty)} |f_\infty| d\upsilon_\infty &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))} \int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right| + \epsilon \\ &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))} \int_{B_t(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \right| + 2\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))} \int_{B_t(z_i)} |f_i| d\upsilon_i + 2\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

holds for every sufficiently large i, i.e., $\{|f_i|\}_i$ is weakly lower semicontinuous at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$. Thus the assertion follows directly from Proposition 3.5. $\Box$

Note that in general the weak convergence of $f_i \to f_\infty$ does NOT imply the weak convergence of $|f_i| \to |f_\infty|$. See for instance Remark 3.10. We will give more fundamental properties about the weak convergence in the next subsection.

We now give the definition of a strong convergence of L^1_{loc}-functions:

Definition 3.7. We say that f_i *converges strongly to f_∞ at z_∞* if

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\left(\limsup_{i\to\infty}\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)}\left|f_i-\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right|d\upsilon_i\right)=0$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\left(\limsup_{i\to\infty}\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left|f_\infty-\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)}f_i d\upsilon_i\right|d\upsilon_\infty\right)=0$$

hold for every $z_i \to z_\infty$.

Remark 3.8. Let $g_i \in C^0(B_R(x_i))$ and $z_i \in B_R(x_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $z_i \to z_\infty$. Assume that there exists $r > 0$ such that $\{g_i|_{B_r(z_i)}\}_{i<\infty}$ is asymptotically uniformly equicontinuous at z_∞. Then it is not difficult to check that g_i converges strongly g_∞ at z_∞ if and only if g_i converges weakly to g_∞ at z_∞ if and only if $g_i \to g_\infty$ at z_∞.

Remark 3.9. It is easy to check that if f_i converges strongly to f_∞ at z_∞, then the following hold:

1. f_i converges weakly to f_∞ at z_∞.
2. $|f_i|$ converges strongly to $|f_\infty|$ at z_∞.

Remark 3.10. Let g_n be a smooth function on $\mathbf{R}$ satisfying that

$$g_n(x)=(-1)^i\left(\frac{2n^2-2}{n-2}x-\frac{(n^2-1)(2i+1)}{n(n-2)}\right)$$

holds for every $x \in [i/n+1/n^2,(i+1)/n-1/n^2]$ and every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, and that

$$|g_n(x)-(-1)^{i-1}| \le \frac{100}{n^2}$$

holds for every $x \in [i/n-1/n^2, i/n+1/n^2]$ and every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that $g_n((i+1)/n-1/n^2) = (-1)^i(1-1/n^2) = -g_n(i/n+1/n^2)$ holds for every $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then it is easy to check that under the canonical convergence $(\mathbf{R},0,H^1) \overset{(id_{\mathbf{R}},\epsilon_i,R_i)}{\to} (\mathbf{R},0,H^1)$, for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and every $p > 0$, we have the following:

1. g_n converges weakly to 0 at t.
2. g_n does NOT converges strongly to 0 at t.
3. $|g_n|^p$ converges weakly to $1/(p+1)$ at t.
4. $|g_n|^p$ does NOT converges strongly to $1/(p+1)$ at t.

We now recall a fundamental property of the strong convergence for L^∞-functions given in [30]:

Proposition 3.11. [30, Proposition 4.1] *Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $R > 0$, $w_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$, $\{F_i\}_{1 \le i \le \infty} \subset C^0(\mathbf{R}^k)$ and let $\{f_i^l\}_{1 \le l \le k, i \le \infty}$ be a sequence of L^∞-functions f_i^l on $B_R(x_i)$ with $\sup_{i,l} ||f_i^l||_{L^\infty(B_R(x_i))} < \infty$. Assume that f_i^l converges strongly to f_∞^l at w_∞ for every l, and that F_i converges to F_∞ with respect to the compact uniform topology. Then $F_i(f_i^1, \ldots, f_i^k)$ converges strongly to $F_\infty(f_\infty^1, \ldots, f_\infty^k)$ at w_∞.*

For L^2_{loc}-functions we have the following:

Proposition 3.12. *Let $A \subset B_R(x_\infty)$. Assume that $f_i \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_R(x_i))$ holds for every $i \le \infty$, f_i converges weakly to f_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in A$, and that $\{(f_i)^2\}_i$ is weakly upper semicontinuous at a.e. $z_\infty \in A$. Then f_i converges strongly to f_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in A$.*

Proof. Lebesgue's differentiation theorem yields that the following holds for a.e. $z_\infty \in A$: For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that for every $t < r$ there exists $i_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)} \left| f_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right|^2 d\upsilon_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)} |f_i|^2 d\upsilon_i - 2\left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i\right)\left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right)^2$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} |f_\infty|^2 d\upsilon_\infty - 2\left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right)\left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right)^2 + \epsilon < 2\epsilon$$

holds for every $i \ge i_0$. Similarly, for every $i \ge i_0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)} \left| f_\infty - \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \right|^2 d\upsilon_\infty < 2\epsilon.$$

Thus the assertion follows from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. □

Remark 3.13. Propositions 3.11 and 3.12 yield that if $f_i \in L^\infty(B_R(x_i))$ holds for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||f_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$, then the assumptions of Proposition 3.12 hold if and only if f_i converges strongly to f_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in A$.

The following proposition performs a crucial rule in Section 4. Note that it was proved essentially in [30, 31]:

Proposition 3.14. *Assume that there exist $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $K \in \mathbf{R}$ such that every (X_i, x_i, υ_i) is an (n, K)-Ricci limit space. Let $p_i, q_i \in X_i$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $p_i \to p_\infty$ and $q_i \to q_\infty$, and put $h_i(x) := \cos \angle p_i x q_i$ for every $x \notin C_{p_i} \cup C_{q_i}$. Then h_i converges strongly to h_∞ on $X_\infty \setminus (C_{p_\infty} \cup C_{q_\infty})$.*

PROOF. Since $\langle dr_{p_i}, dr_{q_i}\rangle(x) = \cos \angle p_i x q_i$ holds for a.e. $x \in X_i$, the assertion follows from [30, Proposition 4.3]. $\Box$

3.1.3. *L^p-functions.* Let $1 < p \le \infty$, $x_i \in X_i$, $f_i \in L^p(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $L := \sup_{i \le \infty} ||f_i||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} < \infty$ and let q be the conjugate exponent of p (i.e., $p^{-1}+q^{-1} = 1$ holds).

PROPOSITION 3.15. *Let $z_i, \hat{z}_i \in B_R(x_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $z_i \to z_\infty$, $\hat{z}_i \to \hat{z}_\infty$ and $z_\infty = \hat{z}_\infty$. Then*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))} \int_{B_r(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(\hat{z}_i))} \int_{B_r(\hat{z}_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \right| = 0$$

holds for every $r > 0$ with $B_r(z_\infty) \subset B_R(x_\infty)$.

PROOF. [15, Lemma 3.3] yields $\lim_{i\to\infty} \upsilon_i(B_r(z_i)\Delta B_r(\hat{z}_i)) = 0$, where $A\Delta B := (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$. Thus the Hölder inequality yields

$$\left| \int_{B_r(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i - \int_{B_r(\hat{z}_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i \right| \le \left(\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i)\Delta B_r(\hat{z}_i))\right)^{1/q} ||f_i||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} \to 0$$

as $i \to \infty$. $\Box$

It is easy to check the following proposition. Compare with [30, Proposition 4.5]:

PROPOSITION 3.16. *Let $g_i \in L^\infty(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i\le\infty} ||g_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Assume that g_i converges strongly to g_∞ at $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ and that f_i converges weakly to f_∞ at z_∞. Then $g_i f_i$ converges weakly to $g_\infty f_\infty$ at z_∞.*

The next proposition is a fundamental result of the weak convergence. Compare with Corollary 3.6:

PROPOSITION 3.17. *Assume that*

$$\liminf_{r\to 0} \left(\limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))} \int_{B_r(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right| \right) = 0$$

holds for a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$, where $z_i \to z_\infty$. Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i = \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 3.15, there exists $K_\infty \subset B_R(x_\infty)$ such that $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty)\setminus K_\infty) = 0$ and that for every $z_\infty \in K_\infty$, every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $\delta > 0$ there exists $r := r(z_\infty, \epsilon, \delta) > 0$ with $r < \delta$ such that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))} \int_{B_r(z_i)} f_i d\upsilon_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right| < \epsilon$$

holds for every $z_i \to z_\infty$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Applying a standard covering argument to $\mathcal{B} := \{\overline{B}_{r(z_\infty,\epsilon,1/k)}(z_\infty)\}_{z_\infty\in K_\infty, k\in\mathbf{N}}$ yields that there exists a countable pairwise disjoint collection

$\{\overline{B}_{r_i}(z_i)\}_i \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $K_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(z_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=N+1}^\infty \overline{B}_{5r_i}(z_i) \subset B_R(x_\infty)$ holds for every N. Fix N_0 with $\sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty \upsilon_\infty(B_{5r_i}(z_i)) < \epsilon$. Then the Hölder inequality yields that

$$\int_{B_R(x_j)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} B_{r_i}(z_{i,j})} |f_j| d\upsilon_j \le ||f_j||_{L^p(B_R(x_j))} \left(\upsilon_j\left(B_R(x_j)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} B_{r_i}(z_{i,j})\right)\right)^{1/q} \le L\epsilon^{1/q}$$

holds for every sufficiently large $j \le \infty$, where $z_{i,j} \to z_i$ as $j \to \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_0}\int_{B_{r_i}(z_i)} f_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \pm \Psi(\epsilon; L, p)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_0}\int_{B_{r_i}(z_{i,j})} f_j d\upsilon_j \pm \Psi(\epsilon; L, p, \upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty)))\\ &= \int_{B_R(x_j)} f_j d\upsilon_j \pm \Psi(\epsilon; L, p, \upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty)))\end{aligned}$$

holds for every sufficiently large j. Therefore we have the assertion. □

The next corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.17.

Corollary 3.18. *If f_i converges weakly to f_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$, then f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*

We now give a compactness result for the weak convergence:

Proposition 3.19. *Let $g_i \in L^p(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} ||g_i||_{L^p} < \infty$. Then there exist $g_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{g_{i(j)}\}_j$ of $\{g_i\}_i$ such that $g_{i(j)}$ converges weakly to g_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*

Proof. We only give a proof of the case $p < \infty$ because the proof of the case $p = \infty$ is similar. Define $g_i(w) \equiv 0$ on $M_i \setminus B_R(x_i)$. By a decomposition $g \equiv g_+ - g_-$, where $g_+ := \max\{g, 0\}$ and $g_- := \max\{-g, 0\}$, without loss of generality we can assume that $g_i \ge 0$ holds for every $i < \infty$. For every $r > 0$ and every $i < \infty$, we define a function g_i^r on $B_R(m_i)$ by

$$g_i^r(z) := \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z))}\int_{B_r(z)} g_i d\upsilon_i.$$

By [15, Lemma 3.3] and the Hölder inequality, for every $r > 0$ it is easy to check that $\{g_i^r\}_i$ is asymptotically uniformly equicontinuous on $B_R(x_\infty)$ and that $\sup_{i<\infty} ||g_i^r||_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Thus Proposition 3.3 yields that there exist $\{g_\infty^r\}_{r\in\mathbf{Q}_{>0}} \subset C^0(B_R(x_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{i(j)\}_j$ such that $g_{i(j)}^r \to g_\infty^r$ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ for every $r \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$. Let $g_\infty(z_\infty) := \liminf_{r\to 0} g_\infty^r(z_\infty)$. The Hölder inequality, Fubini's theorem, Remark 3.8, Proposition

3.11 and 3.17 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x_\infty)}|g^r_\infty|^p d\upsilon_\infty &= \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(x_{i(j)})}|g^r_{i(j)}|^p d\upsilon_{i(j)}\\ &\le \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{X_{i(j)}}\int_{X_{i(j)}}\frac{1_{B_r(z)}(x)}{\upsilon_{i(j)}(B_r(z))}|g_{i(j)}|^p(x)d\upsilon_{i(j)}(x)d\upsilon_{i(j)}(z)\\ &= \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{X_{i(j)}}\int_{X_{i(j)}}\frac{1_{B_r(z)}(x)}{\upsilon_{i(j)}(B_r(z))}|g_{i(j)}|^p(x)d\upsilon_{i(j)}(z)d\upsilon_{i(j)}(x)\\ &= \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{X_{i(j)}}|g_{i(j)}|^p(x)\int_{X_{i(j)}}\frac{1_{B_r(z)}(x)}{\upsilon_{i(j)}(B_r(z))}d\upsilon_{i(j)}(z)d\upsilon_{i(j)}(x)\\ &\le \liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{X_{i(j)}}|g_{i(j)}|^p(x)\int_{X_{i(j)}}\frac{2^{2\kappa}1_{B_r(x)}(z)}{\upsilon_{i(j)}(B_r(x))}d\upsilon_{i(j)}(z)d\upsilon_{i(j)}(x)\\ &\le 2^{2\kappa}\liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{X_{i(j)}}|g_{i(j)}|^p d\upsilon_{i(j)},\end{aligned}$$

holds for every $r \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ with $r < 1$, where $\kappa = \kappa(1)$. Therefore Fatou's lemma yields $g_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$. Since it is easy to check that a sequence $\{g_{i(j)}\}_{j\le\infty}$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 3.17, the assertion follows directly from Proposition 3.17. □

Corollary 3.20. *Let $g_i \in L^p(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i < \infty$ and $g_\infty \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_R(x_\infty))$. Assume that $\sup_{i<\infty}||g_i||_{L^p} < \infty$ and that for a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$, we see that*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\left(\limsup_{i\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_t(z_i))}\int_{B_t(z_i)}g_i d\upsilon_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right|\right) = 0$$

holds for every $z_i \to z_\infty$. Then $g_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$.

Proof. Proposition 3.19 yields that there exist $\hat{g}_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{g_{i(j)}\}_j$ of $\{g_i\}_i$ such that $g_{i(j)}$ converges weakly to $\hat{g}_\infty$ on $B_R(x_\infty)$. The assumption and Lebesgue's differentiation theorem yield that $\hat{g}_\infty(z_\infty) = g_\infty(z_\infty)$ holds for a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$. Therefore we have the assertion. □

Definition 3.21. Assume $p < \infty$. Let $f_{i,j} \in L^\infty(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ and every $j < \infty$. We say that $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ *is an L^p-approximate sequence of f_∞* if the following three conditions hold:

1. $\sup_{i\le\infty}||f_{i,j}||_{L^\infty} < \infty$ for every j.
2. $f_{i,j}$ converges strongly to $f_{\infty,j}$ at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ as $i \to \infty$ for every j.
3. $||f_\infty - f_{\infty,j}||_{L^p} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

Proposition 3.22. *Assume $p < \infty$. Then for every $g_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ there exists an L^p-approximate sequence of g_∞.*

Proof. Since $L^\infty(B_R(x_\infty))$ is dense in $L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$, without loss of generality we can assume $g_\infty \in L^\infty$. Lebesgue's differentiation theorem yields that there exists $K_\infty \subset$

$B_R(x_\infty)$ such that $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty) \setminus K_\infty) = 0$ and that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} \left| g_\infty - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right|^p d\upsilon_\infty = 0$$

holds for every $z_\infty \in K_\infty$. Fix j. A standard covering argument yields that there exists a pairwise disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{r_i}(z_i)\}_i$ such that $z_i \in K_\infty$, $\overline{B}_{5r_i}(z_i) \subset B_R(x_\infty)$,

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_{r_i}(z_i))} \int_{B_{r_i}(z_i)} \left| g_\infty - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_{r_i}(z_i))} \int_{B_{r_i}(z_i)} g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty \right|^p d\upsilon_\infty < j^{-1}$$

and that $K_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(z_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=N+1}^\infty \overline{B}_{5r_i}(z_i)$ holds for every N. Fix N_0 with $\sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty \upsilon_\infty(B_{5r_i}(z_i)) < j^{-1}$. Let

$$g_{i,j} := \sum_{k=1}^{N_0} \frac{1_{B_{r_i}(z_{i,j})}}{\upsilon_\infty(B_{r_i}(z_i))} \int_{B_{r_i}(z_i)} g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty$$

where $z_{i,j} \to z_i$. Then by the Hölder inequality, it is easy to check that $\{g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ is an L^p-approximate sequence of g_∞. $\square$

Remark 3.23. By the proof of Proposition 3.22 and using suitable cutoff functions, it is easy to check that there exists an L^p-approximate sequence $\{g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ of g_∞ such that $g_{i,j} \in C^0(B_R(x_i))$ holds for every i, j and that $\{g_{i,j}\}_i$ is asymptotically uniformly equicontinuous on $B_R(x_\infty)$ for every j.

The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.11. It means that roughly speaking, the L^p-approximate sequence is 'unique':

Proposition 3.24. *Assume $p < \infty$. Let $g_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ and $\{g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}, \{\hat{g}_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be L^p-approximate sequences of g_∞. Then*

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\limsup_{i \to \infty} ||g_{i,j} - \hat{g}_{i,j}||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} \right) = 0.$$

We are now in a position to give the definition of **(S)** as in Section 1 for functions:

Definition 3.25. We say that f_i *L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on* $B_R(x_\infty)$ if

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\limsup_{i \to \infty} ||f_i - f_{i,j}||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} \right) = 0$$

holds for every (or some) L^p-approximate sequence $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ of f_∞.

Corollary 3.26. *Let $g_i \in L^p(B_R(x_i))$ and $a_i, b_i \in L^\infty(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i \le \infty}(||g_i||_{L^p} + ||a_i||_{L^\infty} + ||b_i||_{L^\infty}) < \infty$. Assume that $p < \infty$, f_i, g_i L^p-converge strongly to f_∞, g_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$, respectively and that a_i, b_i converge strongly to a_∞, b_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$, respectively. Then $a_i f_i + b_i g_i$ L^p-converges strongly to $a_\infty f_\infty + b_\infty g_\infty$ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$, $\{g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be L^p-approximate sequences of f_∞, g_∞, respectively. Then Proposition 3.11 yields that $\{a_i f_{i,j} + b_i g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ is a L^p-approximate sequence of $a_\infty f_\infty + b_\infty g_\infty$. Therefore the assertion follows from Proposition 3.24. □

Proposition 3.27. *Let $g_i \in L^q(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i\le\infty} ||g_i||_{L^q} < \infty$. Assume that $p < \infty$, f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ and that g_i L^q-converges strongly to g_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$. Then*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_i d\upsilon_i = \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty.$$

Proof. Let $\{g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be an L^q-approximate sequence of g_∞. Propositions 3.16 and 3.17 yield that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_{i,j} d\upsilon_i = \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty g_{\infty,j} d\upsilon_\infty$$

holds for every j. On the other hand, the Hölder inequality yields

$$\left|\int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_{i,j} d\upsilon_i - \int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_i d\upsilon_i\right| \le ||f_i||_{L^p}||g_i - g_{i,j}||_{L^q}.$$

Therefore by letting $i \to \infty$ and $j \to \infty$, we have the assertion. □

The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.27 and the triangle inequality. Compare with Remark 3.9:

Corollary 3.28. *Assume that $p < \infty$ and that f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$. Then we have the following:*

1. *f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*
2. *$|f_i|$ L^p-converges strongly to $|f_\infty|$ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*

Next we give a lower semicontinuity of L^p-norms with respect to the weak convergence:

Proposition 3.29. *If f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$, then $\liminf_{i\to\infty} ||f_i||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} \ge ||f_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(x_\infty))}$.*

Proof. If $p = \infty$, then the assertion follows directly from Lebesgue's differentiation theorem. Assume $p < \infty$. Since $(L^p)^* = L^q$, there exists $g_\infty \in L^q$ such that $||g_\infty||_{L^q} \le 1$ and

$$\int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty = ||f_\infty||_{L^p}.$$

Let $\{g_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be an L^q-approximate sequence of g_∞. Proposition 3.27 yields

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_{i,j} d\upsilon_i = \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty g_{\infty,j} d\upsilon_\infty.$$

On the other hand, since the Hölder inequality yields

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\left|\int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_{i,j} d\upsilon_i\right| \le \liminf_{i\to\infty}\left(||f_i||_{L^p}||g_{i,j}||_{L^q}\right) = \left(\liminf_{i\to\infty}||f_i||_{L^p}\right)||g_{\infty,j}||_{L^q},$$

by letting $j \to \infty$, we have the assertion. $\square$

On the other hand, Propositions 3.11 and 3.17 yield:

PROPOSITION 3.30. *Assume $p < \infty$. If f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$, then $\lim_{i\to\infty} ||f_i||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} = ||f_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(x_\infty))}$.*

Conversely, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 3.31. *Assume $p < \infty$. Then f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

1. *$\limsup_{i\to\infty} ||f_i||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))} \le ||f_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(x_\infty))}$.*
2. *f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*

PROOF. It suffices to check 'if' part. First assume $p < 2$. Let $\{f_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be an L^p-approximate sequence of f_∞. Then Clarkson's inequality [12, Theorem 2] for $p < 2$ yields

$$2\left(||f_{i,j}||_{L^p}^p + ||f_i||_{L^p}^p\right)^{q-1} \ge ||f_{i,j} + f_i||_{L^p}^q + ||f_{i,j} - f_i||_{L^p}^q.$$

Since $f_i + f_{i,j}$ converges weakly to $f_\infty + f_{\infty,j}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ as $i \to \infty$, by letting $i \to \infty$ and $j \to \infty$, Proposition 3.29 yields

$$2\left(||f_\infty||_{L^p}^p + ||f_\infty||_{L^p}^p\right)^{q-1} \ge 2^q||f_\infty||_{L^p}^q + \limsup_{j\to\infty}\left(\limsup_{i\to\infty}||f_{i,j} - f_i||_{L^p}^q\right).$$

Thus we have $\lim_{j\to\infty}(\limsup_{i\to\infty}||f_{i,j} - f_i||_{L^p}^q) = 0$, i.e., f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$. Similarly the assertion of the case $p \ge 2$ follows from Clarkson's inequality [12, Theorem 2] for $p \ge 2$. $\square$

The following result is a compatibility result for the case of L^∞-functions:

PROPOSITION 3.32. *Let $g_i \in L^\infty(B_R(x_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i\le\infty}||g_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$. Then g_i converges strongly to g_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ if and only if g_i $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to g_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ for some (or every) $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$.*

PROOF. It suffices to check "if" part. Assume that g_i $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to g_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ for some $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$. Since Proposition 3.17 and Corollary 3.28 yield that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))}\int_{B_r(z_i)}\left|g_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(z_i))}\int_{B_r(z_i)} g_i d\upsilon_i\right| d\upsilon_i$$
$$= \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))}\int_{B_r(z_\infty)}\left|g_\infty - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))}\int_{B_r(z_\infty)} g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right| d\upsilon_\infty$$

holds for every $r > 0$, where $z_i \to z_\infty$, we see that g_i converges strongly to g_∞ at every $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))}\int_{B_r(z_\infty)}\left|g_\infty - \frac{1}{\upsilon_\infty(B_r(z_\infty))}\int_{B_r(z_\infty)} g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty\right| d\upsilon_\infty = 0.$$

□

On the other hand, we recall the definition of L^p-convergence of functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology given by Kuwae-Shioya in [38, 40]. Note that without loss of generality we can assume that every ϵ_i-isometry $\psi_i : B_{R_i}(x_i) \to B_{R_i}(x_\infty)$ is a Borel map.

Definition 3.33. [40, Definition 3.14] Assume $p < \infty$.

(1) We say that f_i *L^p-converges to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya* if there exists $\{\phi_j\}_{j<\infty} \subset C^0(B_R(x_\infty))$ such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}(\limsup_{i\to\infty} ||f_i - \phi_j \circ \psi_i||_{L^p(B_R(x_i))}) = \lim_{j\to\infty} ||f_\infty - \phi_j||_{L^p(B_R(x_\infty))} = 0.$$

(2) We say that f_i *L^p-converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya* if

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(x_i)} f_i g_i d\upsilon_i = \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} f_\infty g_\infty d\upsilon_\infty$$

holds for every L^q-convergent sequence $g_i \to g_\infty$ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya.

We end this subsection by giving an equivalence between Kuwae-Shioya's formulation and our setting:

Corollary 3.34. *We have the following:*

(1) *f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ if and only if f_i L^p-converges to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya.*
(2) *f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ if and only if f_i L^p-converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$ in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya.*

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Remark 3.23 and Proposition 3.27. □

Remark 3.35. In [38, 40] Kuwae-Shioya proved a compactness result which corresponds to Proposition 3.19 for the case $p = 2$. For instance they showed that $\{L^p(B_R(x_i))\}_i$ satisfies an *asymptotic relation* (see [40, Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.27] for the precise definition and statement), and gave a compactness result for a sequence of CAT(0) spaces having an asymptotic relation. However in general, $L^p(B_R(x_i))$ is NOT a CAT(0)-space, more precisely, L^p-space is CAT(0) if and only if $p = 2$. Therefore we can not apply directly [40, Lemma 5.5] to our setting for $p \neq 2$.

Remark 3.36. Assume $p < \infty$. Then it is easy to check that if $(X_i, x_i, \upsilon_i) \equiv (X, x, \upsilon)$ and $\psi_i = id_X$ hold for every $i \le \infty$, then f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ with respect to the convergence $(X, x, \upsilon) \overset{(id_X, \epsilon_i, R_i)}{\to} (X, x, \upsilon)$ if and only if $||f_i - f_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(x))} \to 0$.

REMARK 3.37. By Proposition 3.11 and the same way as in Definition 3.25, we can give the definition of L^1-strong convergence of functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology and also show that it is equivalent to that in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya given in [40].

3.1.4. *Poincaré inequality and L^p-strong compactness.* In this subsection we will prove a compactness result about L^p-strong convergence. The following proposition is an essential tool to get it:

PROPOSITION 3.38. *Let (X, υ) be a proper geodesic metric measure space, $x \in X$ with $\upsilon(B_1(x)) = 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Assume that the following two conditions hold:*

1. *(The doubling property on (X, υ) for $\kappa = \kappa(r)$). For every $r > 0$ there exists $\kappa = \kappa(r) \ge 0$ such that $\upsilon(B_{2t}(y)) \le 2^{\kappa}\upsilon(B_t(y))$ holds for every $y \in X$ and every $t < r$.*
2. *(The weak Poincaré inequality of type $(1,p)$ on (X, υ) for $\tau = \tau(r)$). For every $r > 0$ there exists $\tau = \tau(r) > 0$ such that for every $y \in X$, every $t \le r$ and every $f \in H_{1,p}(B_t(x))$, we have*
$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(y))}\int_{B_t(y)}\left|f - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(y))}\int_{B_t(y)} f d\upsilon\right| d\upsilon \le \tau t \left(\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(y))}\int_{B_t(y)} |g_f|^p d\upsilon\right)^{1/p},$$
where g_f is the minimal generalized upper gradient for f (see [5, Theorem 2.10] *for the precise definition of g_f).*

Then for every $T > 0$, every $R > 0$, every $L \ge 1$ and every $f \in H_{1,p}(B_R(x))$ with $||f||_{H_{1,p}(B_R(x))} \le T$, there exists an L-Lipschitz function f_L on $B_{R/5}(x)$ such that $||f_L||_{H_{1,p}(B_{R/5}(x))} \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)$ and $||f - f_L||_{L^p(B_{R/5}(x))} \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)L^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha := \alpha(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, R) > 0$.

PROOF. Define $f \equiv 0$ on $X \setminus B_R(x)$. Let
$$K_L := \left\{z \in B_R(x); \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(z))}\int_{B_r(z)} |df|^p d\upsilon \le L^p \text{ for every } r \le R\right\}.$$

By the proof of [30, Lemma 3.1], we have $\upsilon(B_R(x) \setminus K_L) \le C(\kappa(R), T)L^{-p}$. On the other hand, the Poincaré inequality yields that
$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(w))}\int_{B_r(w)}\left|f - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(w))}\int_{B_r(w)} f d\upsilon\right| d\upsilon \le \tau(R) r L$$
holds for every $w \in K_L$ and every $r \le R$ with $B_r(w) \subset B_R(x)$. An argument similar to the proof of [5, Theorem 4.14] yields that there exists $\hat{K}_L \subset K_L \cap B_{R/5}(x)$ such that $\upsilon(K_L \cap B_{R/5}(x) \setminus \hat{K}_L) = 0$ and that $f|_{\hat{K}_L}$ is $C(\kappa(R), \tau(R))L$-Lipschitz. MacShane's lemma (c.f. (8.2) or (8.3) in [5]) yields that there exists a $C(\kappa(R), \tau(R))L$-Lipschitz function f_L

on X such that $f_L|_{\hat{K}_L} \equiv f|_{\hat{K}_L}$. Then [5, Corollary 2.25] yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{R/5}(x)} |df_L|^p dv &= \int_{B_{R/5}(x)\setminus \hat{K}_L} |df_L|^p dv + \int_{\hat{K}_L} |df_L|^p dv \\ &\le \int_{B_{R/5}(x)\setminus \hat{K}_L} C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p) L^p dv + \int_{\hat{K}_L} |df|^p dv \\ &\le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p) \upsilon(B_R(x) \setminus K_L) L^p + T^p \le C\left(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T\right).\end{aligned}$$

Fix $y_0 \in \hat{K}_L \cap B_{R/5}(x)$ with

$$f_L(y_0) = f(y_0) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(y_0))} \int_{B_r(y_0)} f dv.$$

Then for every $y \in B_R(x)$ we have $|f_L(y)| \le |f_L(y_0)| + C(\kappa(R), \tau(R))L$. On the other hand, the Poincaré inequality and a 'telescope argument' (see the proof of [5, Theorem 4.14]) yield

$$\left| f_L(y_0) - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_{R/5}(y_0))} \int_{B_{R/5}(y_0)} f dv \right| \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), R)L.$$

Therefore we have $||f_L||_{L^\infty(B_R(x))} \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), T, R)L$. In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{R/5}(x)} |f_L| dv &= \int_{B_{R/5}(x)\setminus \hat{K}_L} |f_L| dv + \int_{\hat{K}_L} |f| dv \\ &\le \upsilon(B_{R/5}(x) \setminus \hat{K}_L) C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), T, R)L + C(\kappa(R), p, T, R) \\ &\le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality [27, Theorem 1] yields that there exists $\hat{p} := \hat{p}(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p) > p$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_{R/5}(x))} \int_{B_{R/5}(x)} \left| f_L - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_{R/5}(x))} \int_{B_{R/5}(x)} f_L dv \right|^{\hat{p}} dv \right)^{1/\hat{p}} \\ &\le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), R, p) \left(\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_{R/5}(x))} \int_{B_{R/5}(x)} |df_L|^p dv \right)^{1/p} \le C\left(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R\right).\end{aligned}$$

In particular we have $||f_L||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_{R/5}(x))} \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)$. Similarly we have $||f||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_R(x))} \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)$. Therefore the Hölder inequality yields $||f - f_L||_{L^p(B_{R/5}(x))} \le (\upsilon(B_{R/5}(x) \setminus \hat{K}_L))^{1/\beta} ||f - f_L||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_{R/5}(x))} \le C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R) L^{-p/\beta}$, where β is the conjugate exponent of $\hat{p}/p > 1$. Therefore we have the assertion. $\square$

We are now in a position to give a compactness result about L^p-strong convergence. Compare with [40, Theorem 4.5]:

Proposition 3.39. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Assume that there exists $\{\tau = \tau(r)\}_{r>0} \subset \mathbf{R}$ such that the weak Poincaré inequality of type $(1, p)$ for τ holds on (X_i, υ_i) for every $i < \infty$. Then for every sequence $\{f_i\}_{i<\infty}$ of $f_i \in H_{1,p}(B_R(x_i))$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} ||f_i||_{H_{1,p}} < \infty$, there exist $f_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{f_{i(j)}\}_j$ of $\{f_i\}_i$ such that $f_{i(j)}$ L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $T := \sup_{i<\infty} ||f_i||_{H_{1,p}}$. Proposition 3.19 yields that there exist $f_\infty \in L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{f_{i(j)}\}_j$ of $\{f_i\}_i$ such that $f_{i(j)}$ converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$.

Claim 3.40. *Let $r > 0$ and $z_\infty \in B_R(x_\infty)$ with $B_{5r}(z_\infty) \subset B_R(x_\infty)$. Then $f_{i(j)}$ L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_r(z_\infty)$.*

The proof is as follows. By Proposition 3.38, for every $L \geq 1$ and every $j < \infty$, there exists an L-Lipschitz function $(f_{i(j)})_L$ on $B_r(z_{i(j)})$ such that $||f_{i(j)} - (f_{i(j)})_L||_{L^p(B_r(z_{i(j)}))} \leq C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)L^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha = \alpha(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p) > 0$ and $z_{i(j)} \to z_\infty$. By Proposition 3.3, without loss of generality we can assume that there exists an L-Lipschitz function $(f_\infty)_L$ on $B_r(z_\infty)$ such that $(f_{i(j)})_L \to (f_\infty)_L$ on $B_r(z_\infty)$. Then Proposition 3.29 yields $||f_\infty - (f_\infty)_L||_{L^p(B_r(z_\infty))} \leq \liminf_{j\to\infty} ||f_{i(j)} - (f_{i(j)})_L||_{L^p(B_r(z_{i(j)}))} \leq C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)L^{-\alpha}$. Since L is arbitrary, we have Claim 3.40.

Let $\hat{p}, \beta$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.38 and let $\{\overline{B}_{r_l}(w_l)\}_l$ be a countable pairwise disjoint collection with $B_{5r_l}(w_l) \subset B_R(x_\infty)$ and $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty) \setminus \bigcup_l B_{r_l}(w_l)) = 0$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let N_0 with $\upsilon_\infty(B_R(x_\infty) \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{N_0} B_{r_l}(w_l)) < \epsilon$. By the proof of Proposition 3.38 we have $||f_{i(j)}||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_R(x_{i(j)}))} \leq C(\kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)$ for every $j < \infty$. In particular the Hölder inequality yields

$$\left| \int_{B_R(x_{i(j)})} |f_{i(j)}|^p d\upsilon_{i(j)} - \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_{l,i(j)})} |f_{i(j)}|^p d\upsilon_{i(j)} \right|$$
$$\leq \left(\upsilon_{i(j)} \left(B_R(x_{i(j)}) \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{N_0} B_{r_l}(w_{l,i(j)}) \right) \right)^{1/\beta} ||f_{i(j)}||^p_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_R(x_{i(j)}))} < \Psi(\epsilon; \kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)$$

for every sufficiently large $j < \infty$, where $w_{l,i(j)} \to w_l$. Thus Claim 3.40 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x_{i(j)})} |f_{i(j)}|^p d\upsilon_{i(j)} &= \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_{l,i(j)})} |f_{i(j)}|^p d\upsilon_{i(j)} \pm \Psi(\epsilon; \kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_l)} |f_\infty|^p d\upsilon_\infty \pm \Psi(\epsilon; \kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R) \\ &\leq \int_{B_R(x_\infty)} |f_\infty|^p d\upsilon_\infty + \Psi(\epsilon; \kappa(R), \tau(R), p, T, R)\end{aligned}$$

for every sufficiently large $j < \infty$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have $\limsup_{j \to \infty} ||f_{i(j)}||_{L^p(B_R(x_{i(j)}))} \leq ||f_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(x_\infty))}$. Thus Proposition 3.31 yields that $f_{i(j)}$ L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(x_\infty)$. $\Box$

3.2. **Tensor fields.** Throughout this subsection we will always consider the following setting:

(1) $R > 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}, 1 < p \leq \infty$ and $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
(2) $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_{i<\infty}$ is a sequence of pointed n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifolds with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$.
(3) $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i<\infty}$ with $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$.

Let $k := \dim M_\infty$.

3.2.1. $L^1_{w-\mathrm{loc}}, L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}$*-tensor fields.*

Definition 3.41. We say that $T_\infty \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ *is a weakly locally* L^1*-tensor field* if $\langle T_\infty, \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \nabla r_{x_j} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_j} \rangle \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_R(m_\infty))$ for every $\{x_j\}_j \subset M_\infty$. We also say that $T_\infty \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ *is a locally* L^1*-tensor field* if $|T_\infty| \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_R(m_\infty))$.

Let us denote by $L^1_{w-\mathrm{loc}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ the set of weakly locally L^1-tensor fields of type (r, s) on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and by $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ the set of locally L^1-tensor fields of type (r, s) on $B_R(m_\infty)$. We end this subsection by giving the definition of the pointwise convergence of **(W)** for $L^1_{w-\mathrm{loc}}$-tensor fields as in Section 1:

Definition 3.42. Let $T_i \in L^1_{w-\mathrm{loc}}(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$. We say that T_i *converges weakly to* T_∞ *at* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$ if $\langle T_i, \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{j,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{j,i}} \rangle$ converges weakly to $\langle T_\infty, \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \nabla r_{x_j} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_j} \rangle$ at z_∞ for every $x_{l,i} \to x_l$ as $i \to \infty$.

3.2.2. L^∞*-tensor fields.* Let $T_i \in L^\infty(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$ with $\sup_{i \leq \infty} ||T_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$.

Definition 3.43. We say that T_i *converges strongly to* T_∞ *at* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$ if the following two conditions hold:

(1) T_i converges weakly to T_∞ at z_∞.
(2) $\{|T_i|^2\}_i$ is weakly upper semicontinuous at z_∞.

Compare with Definition 3.7, Proposition 3.12, Remark 3.13 and [30, Definition 4.4].

Proposition 3.44. *We see that* $\bigotimes_{i=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{i,j}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{i,j}}$ *converges strongly to* $\bigotimes_{i=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{i,\infty}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{i,\infty}}$ *at every* $z_\infty \in M_\infty$ *as* $j \to \infty$ *for every* $x_{i,j} \to x_{i,\infty}$.

Proof. For every $\hat{x}_{i,j} \to \hat{x}_{i,\infty}$, since

$$\left\langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{i,j}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{i,j}}, \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \nabla r_{\hat{x}_{i,j}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{\hat{x}_{i,j}} \right\rangle (w) = \prod_{i=1}^{r+s} \cos \angle x_{i,j} w \hat{x}_{i,j}$$

holds for a.e. $w \in M_j$, the assertion follows from Propositions 3.11, 3.14 and 3.17. $\Box$

The following is a main property of the strong convergence:

PROPOSITION 3.45. *Let* $\hat{r}, \hat{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $S_i \in L^\infty(T^{\hat{r}}_{\hat{s}} B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \leq \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \leq \infty} ||S_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$ *and* $A \subset B_R(m_\infty)$*. Assume that* S_i, T_i *converge strongly to* S_∞, T_∞ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*, respectively. Then we have the following:*

1. *If* $(r, s) = (\hat{r}, \hat{s})$*, then* $\langle S_i, T_i \rangle$ *converges strongly to* $\langle S_\infty, T_\infty \rangle$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*.*
2. $S_i \otimes T_i (\in L^\infty(T^{r+\hat{r}}_{s+\hat{s}} B_R(m_i)))$ *converges strongly to* $S_\infty \otimes T_\infty$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*.*
3. *If* $(r, s) = (\hat{r}, \hat{s})$*, then* $S_i + T_i$ *converges strongly to* $S_\infty + T_\infty$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*.*
4. *If* $\hat{r} \leq r$ *and* $\hat{s} \leq s$*, then* $T_i(S_i) (\in L^\infty(T^{r-\hat{r}}_{s-\hat{s}} B_R(m_i)))$ *converges strongly to* $T_\infty(S_\infty)$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*.*

PROOF. Let $\Lambda := \mathrm{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\})$ and $\hat{L} := \sup_i ||S_i||_{L^\infty}$. By an argument similar to the proof of [30, Lemma 3.15], for a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$ there exist $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \subset M_\infty$ and $\{C(a)\}_{a \in \Lambda} \subset \mathbf{R}$ with $|C(a)| \leq C(\hat{L}, n)$ such that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(z_\infty))} \int_{B_r(z_\infty)} \left| S_\infty - \sum_{a \in \Lambda} C(a) \bigotimes_{j=1}^{r} \nabla r_{x_{a(j)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j)}} \right|^2 d\upsilon = 0.$$

Thus (1) follows from Proposition 3.44 and an argument similar to the proof of [30, Theorem 4.4]. On the other hand, (2), (3), (4) follow directly from (1), Propositions 3.11 and 3.12. □

COROLLARY 3.46. *If* T_i *converges strongly to* T_∞ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$*, then* T_i *converges strongly to* T_∞ *at every* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$*.*

PROOF. It follows from Propositions 3.12, 3.17, Corollary 3.18 and Proposition 3.45. □

We now recall a main result of [30]:

PROPOSITION 3.47. [30, Corollary 4.5] *Let* f_i *be a Lipschitz function on* $B_R(m_i)$ *for every* $i \leq \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \leq \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i < \infty$*. Assume that* $f_i \in C^2(B_R(m_i))$ *holds for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\Delta f_i||_{L^2(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$*, and that* $f_i \to f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*. Then* $df_i \to df_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *(which means that* df_i *converges strongly to* df_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*).*

In Section 4 we will prove that the assumption of uniform L^2-bounds as in Proposition 3.47 can be replaced by *uniform* L^1*-bounds*. See Corollary 4.6. We will also easily check that this assumption of uniform L^1-bounds is sharp in some sense. See Remarks 4.7 and 4.8.

3.2.3. *L^p-tensor fields.* Let $1 < p \leq \infty$, $T_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$ with $L := \sup_{i \leq \infty} ||T_i||_{L^p} < \infty$ and let q is the conjugate exponent of p.

PROPOSITION 3.48. *Let* $\hat{r}, \hat{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $S_i \in L^\infty(T^{\hat{r}}_{\hat{s}} B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \leq \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \leq \infty} ||S_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$ *and* $A \subset B_R(m_\infty)$*. Assume that* S_i *converges strongly to* S_∞ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$ *and that* T_i *converges weakly to* T_∞ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*. Then we have the following:*

(1) *If* $(r,s)=(\hat{r},\hat{s})$, *then* $\langle S_i, T_i\rangle$ *converges weakly to* $\langle S_\infty, T_\infty\rangle$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$.
(2) $S_i \otimes T_i$ *converges weakly to* $S_\infty \otimes T_\infty$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$.
(3) *If* $\hat{r} \le r$ *and* $\hat{s} \le s$, *then* $T_i(S_i)$ *converges weakly to* $T_\infty(S_\infty)$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$.
(4) *If* $r \le \hat{r}$ *and* $s \le \hat{s}$, *then* $S_i(T_i)$ *converges weakly to* $T_\infty(S_\infty)$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$.

Proof. We first check (1). With the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 3.45, for a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left|S_\infty - \sum_{a\in\Lambda} C(a)\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j)}}\right|^2 d\upsilon < \epsilon$$

and

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)}\int_{B_t(z_l)}\left\langle T_i, \bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j),i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left\langle T_\infty, \bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j)}}\right\rangle d\upsilon\right| < \epsilon$$

hold for every $t < r$ and every $a \in \Lambda$. By an argument similar to the proof of [30, Theorem 4.4], we see that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)}\int_{B_t(z_l)}\left|S_l - \sum_{a\in\Lambda} C(a)\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j),l}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),l}}\right|^2 d\mathrm{vol} < \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L})$$

holds for every sufficiently large l, where $x_{a(j),l} \to x_{a(j)}$ and $z_l \to z_\infty$. Let

$$K_{t,l} := \left\{ w \in B_t(z_l); \left|S_l - \sum_{a\in\Lambda} C(a)\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j),l}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),l}}\right|(w) \le \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L})\right\}.$$

Then we have $\mathrm{vol}\, K_{t,l}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l) \ge 1 - \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, R)$. In particular we see that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)}\int_{B_t(z_l)}\left|S_l - \sum_{a\in\Lambda} C(a)\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j),l}} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),l}}\right|^q d\mathrm{vol} < \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, p)$$

holds for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$. Therefore the Hölder inequality and Proposition 3.44 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)}\int_{B_t(z_l)}\langle S_l, T_l\rangle d\mathrm{vol}\\ &=\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)}\int_{B_t(z_l)}\left\langle \sum_{a\in\Lambda} C(a)\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j),l}}\otimes\bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),l}}, T_l\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol}\pm\Psi(\epsilon; n, p, L, \hat{L})\\ &=\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left\langle \sum_{a\in\Lambda} C(a)\bigotimes_{j=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(j)}}\otimes\bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j)}}, T_\infty\right\rangle d\upsilon\pm\Psi(\epsilon; n, p, L, \hat{L})\\ &=\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\langle S_\infty, T_\infty\rangle d\upsilon\pm\Psi(\epsilon; n, p, L, \hat{L})\end{aligned}$$

holds for every sufficiently large l. Therefore we have (1). On the other hand, (2) follows directly from Proposition 3.16 and (1). If $\hat{r}\le r$ and $\hat{s}\le s$, then since

$$\left\langle T_j(S_j), \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r-\hat{r}}\nabla r_{x_{i,j}}\otimes\bigotimes_{i=r-\hat{r}+1}^{r-\hat{r}+s-\hat{s}} dr_{x_{i,j}}\right\rangle=\left\langle T_j, S_j\otimes\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{r-\hat{r}}\nabla r_{x_{i,j}}\otimes\bigotimes_{i=r-\hat{r}+1}^{r-\hat{r}+s-\hat{s}} dr_{x_{i,j}}\right)\right\rangle,$$

(3) follows directly from (2). Similarly we have (4). □

The following is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.18, Propositions 3.44 and 3.48:

Corollary 3.49. *If T_i converges weakly to T_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$, then T_i converges weakly to T_∞ at every $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$.*

We now give a compactness result for the weak convergence of L^p-tensor fields similar to Proposition 3.19:

Proposition 3.50. *Let $S_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i<\infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty}||S_i||_{L^p}<\infty$. Then there exist $S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{S_{i(j)}\}_j$ of $\{S_i\}_i$ such that $S_{i(j)}$ converges weakly to S_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*

Proof. We only give a proof of the case $p<\infty$ because the proof of the case $p=\infty$ is similar. Let $A := \{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a dense subset of M_∞ and $\Lambda := \mathrm{Map}(\{1,\ldots,r+s\}\to\mathbf{N})$. Proposition 3.19 yields that there exist a subsequence $\{i(j)\}_j$ and $\{F_a\}_{a\in\Lambda}\subset L^p(B_R(x_\infty))$ such that $\langle S_{i(j)}, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{a(l),i(j)}}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(l),i(j)}}\rangle$ converges weakly to F_a on $B_R(x_\infty)$ for every $x_{k,i(j)}\to x_k$ as $j\to\infty$. By the assumption and [30, Lemma 3.1], there exists $K_\infty\subset B_R(m_\infty)$ such that $\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty)\setminus K_\infty)=0$, $K_\infty\subset M_\infty\setminus\bigcup_i C_{x_i}$,

$$F_a(w_\infty)=\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(w_\infty))}\int_{B_r(w_\infty)}F_a d\upsilon$$

holds for every $a \in \Lambda$ and every $w_\infty \in K_\infty$, and that for every $z_\infty \in K_\infty$,

$$\limsup_{r \to 0} \left(\limsup_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(z_{i(j)})} \int_{B_r(z_{i(j)})} |S_{i(j)}|^p d\mathrm{vol} \right) < \infty$$

holds for some $z_{i(j)} \to z_\infty$ (see also the proof of Proposition 3.72).

Claim 3.51. *Let $z_\infty \in K_\infty$ and $\{C_i(a)\}_{a \in \Lambda, i=1,2} \subset \mathbf{R}$. Assume that there exists a finite subset $\hat{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ such that $C_i(a) = 0$ holds for every $a \in \Lambda \setminus \hat{\Lambda}$ and every $i = 1, 2$, and that*

$$\sum_{a,b \in \Lambda} (C_1(a) - C_2(b)) \prod_{l=1}^{r+s} \cos \angle x_{a(l)} z_\infty x_{b(l)} = 0$$

holds. Then $\sum_a C_1(a) F_a(z_\infty) = \sum_a C_2(a) F_a(z_\infty)$.

The proof is as follows. By the proof of [31, Theorem 4.3], we see that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(z_{i(j)})} \int_{B_r(z_{i(j)})} \left\langle S_{i(j)}, X^1_{i(j)} \right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(z_{i(j)})} \int_{B_r(z_{i(j)})} \left\langle S_{i(j)}, X^2_{i(j)} \right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} \right|$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(z_{i(j)})} \int_{B_r(z_{i(j)})} |S_{i(j)}|^p d\mathrm{vol} \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(z_{i(j)})} \int_{B_r(z_{i(j)})} |X^1_{i(j)} - X^2_{i(j)}|^q d\mathrm{vol} \right)^{1/q} \to 0$$

holds as $j \to \infty$ and $r \to 0$, where $X^m_{i(j)} = \sum_{a \in \Lambda} C_m(a) \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{a(l),i(j)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(l),i(j)}} \in L^\infty(T^r_s M_{i(j)})$. Therefore we have Claim 3.51.

Theorem 2.11, [30, Remark 4.3] and Claim 3.51 yield that there exists a unique $S_\infty \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ such that for every $a \in \Lambda$ we see that $\langle S_\infty, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{a(l)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(l)}} \rangle (w_\infty) = F_a(w_\infty)$ holds for a.e. $w_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$. In particular $S_\infty \in L^1_{w-\mathrm{loc}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$.

Claim 3.52. *$S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$.*

The proof is as follows. By Theorem 2.11, Remark 2.12 and Lebesgue's differentiation theorem, without loss of generality we can assume that there exist sequences $\{K_j\}_{j<\infty}$ of $K_j \subset K_\infty$ and $\{I_j\}_{j<\infty}$ of $I_j \subset \mathbf{N}$ such that $\sharp I_j = k (= \dim M_\infty)$, $\operatorname{Leb} K_j = K_j$, $K_\infty = \bigcup_j K_j$ and that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $z_\infty \in K_\infty$, there exists $j := j(z_\infty, \epsilon)$ such that $z_\infty \in K_j$ and that $\{\bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{a(l)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(l)}}(w_\infty)\}_{a \in \Lambda_j}$ is an ϵ-orthogonal basis on $(T^r_s M_\infty)_{w_\infty}$ for every $w_\infty \in K_j$, where $\Lambda_j := \operatorname{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to I_j)$. Thus Proposition 2.1 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_\infty|^2(w_\infty) &\leq (1 + \Psi(\epsilon; r, s, n)) \sum_{a \in \Lambda_j} \langle S_\infty, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{a(l)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(l)}} \rangle^2 (w_\infty) \\ &= (1 + \Psi(\epsilon; r, s, n)) \sum_{a \in \Lambda_j} (F_a(w_\infty))^2 \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $w_\infty \in K_j$, in particular, $|S_\infty|^p(w_\infty) \leq C(n, p, r, s) \sum_{a \in \Lambda_j} |F_a(w_\infty)|^p$.

Fix a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. A standard covering argument yields that there exists a countable pairwise disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i)\}_i$ such that $w_i \in K_{j(i)}$ where $j(i) := j(w_i, \epsilon)$, $\overline{B}_{5r_i}(w_i) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$, $\upsilon(B_{r_i}(w_i) \cap K_{j(i)})/\upsilon(B_{r_i}(w_i)) \ge 1 - \epsilon$ and that $K_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=N+1}^\infty \overline{B}_{5r_i}(w_i) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$ holds for every N. Fix N_0 with $\sum_{i=N_0+1}^\infty \upsilon(B_{5r_i}(w_i)) < \epsilon$. Let $K_\infty^\epsilon := \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0}(\overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i) \cap K_{j(i)})$. Note $\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty) \setminus K_\infty^\epsilon) \le (1+\Psi(\epsilon; n))\upsilon\left(K_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_0} \overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i)\right) < \Psi(\epsilon; n)$. Then Proposition 3.29 yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{K_\infty^\epsilon} |S_\infty|^p d\upsilon \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_l) \cap K_{j(l)}} |S_\infty|^p d\upsilon \\
&\le C(n,p,r,s) \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \sum_{a \in \Lambda_{j(l)}} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_l) \cap K_{j(l)}} |F_a|^p d\upsilon + \Psi(\epsilon; n, R) \\
&\le C(n,p,r,s) \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \sum_{a \in \Lambda_{j(l)}} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_{l,i(m)})} \left| \left\langle S_{i(m)}, \bigotimes_{t=1}^{r} \nabla r_{x_{a(t),i(m)}} \otimes \bigotimes_{t=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(t),i(m)}} \right\rangle \right|^p d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} + \Psi(\epsilon; n, R) \\
&\le C(n,p,r,s) \sum_{l=1}^{N_0} \int_{B_{r_l}(w_{l,i(m)})} |S_{i(m)}|^p d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} + \Psi(\epsilon; n, R) \\
&\le C(n,p,r,s) \int_{B_R(m_{i(m)})} |S_{i(m)}|^p d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} + \Psi(\epsilon; n, R)
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for every sufficiently large m, where $w_{l,i(m)} \to w_l$ as $m \to \infty$. Thus by the dominated convergence theorem, we have Claim 3.52.

Thus we have the assertion. $\square$

The next corollary follows from Proposition 3.50 and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.20:

COROLLARY 3.53. *Let* $S_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i<\infty} ||S_i||_{L^p} < \infty$, *and* $S_\infty \in L^1_{w-\mathrm{loc}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$. *Assume that* S_i *converges weakly to* S_∞ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$. *Then* $S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$.

REMARK 3.54. Similarly, we can prove the following: Let $\{(C_j, \phi_j)\}_j$ be a rectifiable coordinate system of (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$, $S_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} ||S_i||_{L^p} < \infty$, and $S_\infty \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$. Assume that the following hold:

1. $\langle S_\infty, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \rangle \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}$ holds for every j and every $a \in \mathrm{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\})$.
2. $\langle S_i, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),i} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),i} \rangle$ converges weakly to $\langle S_\infty, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \rangle$ at a.e. $z_\infty \in C_j$ for every j and every $a \in \mathrm{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\})$.

Then $S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$. Moreover, in Proposition 3.71 we will prove that S_i converges weakly to S_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.

Definition 3.55. Assume $p < \infty$. Let $S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ and $S_{i,j} \in L^\infty(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ and every $j < \infty$. We say that $\{S_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ *is an L^p-approximate sequence of S_∞* if the following three conditions hold:

(1) $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||S_{i,j}||_{L^\infty} < \infty$ for every j.
(2) $S_{i,j}$ converges strongly to $S_{\infty,j}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ as $i \to \infty$ for every j.
(3) $||S_\infty - S_{\infty,j}||_{L^p} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

Proposition 3.56. *Assume $p < \infty$. For every $S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ there exists an L^p-approximate sequence of S_∞.*

Proof. It is easy to check that $L^\infty(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ is dense in $L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$. Thus without loss of generality we can assume that $S_\infty \in L^\infty$. Let $\Lambda := \mathrm{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\})$. By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.48, there exists $K_\infty \subset B_R(m_\infty)$ such that $\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty) \setminus K_\infty) = 0$ and that for every $z_\infty \in K_\infty$ there exist $\{C(a, z_\infty)\}_{a \in \Lambda} \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $\{x_j(z_\infty)\}_{1 \le j \le k} \subset M_\infty$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $r(z_\infty, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for every $t < r(z_\infty, \epsilon)$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \left| S_l - \sum_{a \in \Lambda} C(a, z_\infty) \bigotimes_{j=1}^{r} \nabla r_{x_{a(j),l}(z_\infty)} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),l}(z_\infty)} \right|^p d\mathrm{vol} < \epsilon$$

holds for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$, where $z_l \to z_\infty$ and $x_{j,l}(z_\infty) \to x_j(z_\infty)$ as $l \to \infty$. Fix $j \in \mathbf{N}$. A standard covering argument yields that there exists a finite pairwise disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i)\}_{1 \le i \le N}$ such that $w_i \in K_\infty$, $r_i < r(w_i, j^{-1})$, $\overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$, and $\upsilon\left(K_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(w_i)\right) < j^{-1}$. Let $S_{l,j} := \sum_{a \in \Lambda, 1 \le i \le N} C(a, w_i) 1_{B_{r_i}(w_{i,l})} \bigotimes_{j=1}^r \nabla r_{x_{a(j),l}(w_i)} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{a(j),l}(w_i)}$, where $w_{i,l} \to w_i$ as $l \to \infty$. Then Proposition 3.44 yields that $\{S_{l,j}\}_{l,j}$ is an L^p-approximate sequence of S_∞. □

Proposition 3.45 yields:

Proposition 3.57. *Assume $p < \infty$. Let $S_\infty \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ and let $\{S_{i,j}\}_{i,j}, \{\hat{S}_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be L^p-approximate sequences of S_∞. Then*

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\limsup_{i \to \infty} ||S_{i,j} - \hat{S}_{i,j}||_{L^p} \right) = 0.$$

We now are in a position to give the definition of **(S)** for tensor fields as in Section 1:

Definition 3.58. Assume $p < \infty$. We say that T_i *L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ if

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left(\limsup_{i \to \infty} ||T_i - T_{i,j}||_{L^p} \right) = 0$$

for every (or some) L^p-approximate sequence $\{T_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ of T_∞.

By Proposition 3.45 and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 3.26 we have the following. See also Proposition 3.70.

Corollary 3.59. *Let* $S_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||S_i||_{L^p} < \infty$. *Assume that* S_i, T_i L^p*-converge strongly to* S_∞, T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*, respectively. Then* $S_i + T_i$ L^p*-converges strongly to* $S_\infty + T_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*

Remark 3.60. The Hölder inequality yields that if T_i L^p converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$, then T_i $L^{\hat{p}}$ converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ for every $1 < \hat{p} \le p$.

By Proposition 3.45 and an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.27, we have the following:

Proposition 3.61. *Let* $S_i \in L^q(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||S_i||_{L^q} < \infty$. *Assume that* $p < \infty$, T_i *converges weakly to* T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *and that* S_i L^q*-converges strongly to* S_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*. Then*

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle S_i, T_i \rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle S_\infty, T_\infty \rangle d\upsilon.$$

The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.61 and triangle inequality:

Proposition 3.62. *Assume that* $p < \infty$ *and that* T_i L^p*-converges strongly to* T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*. Then we have the following:*

1. T_i *converges weakly to* T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*
2. $|T_i|$ L^p*-converges strongly to* $|T_\infty|$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*

As a corollary of Propositions 3.30 and 3.62, we have the following:

Corollary 3.63. *Assume that* $p < \infty$ *and that* T_i L^p*-converges strongly to* T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*. Then* $\lim_{i \to \infty} ||T_i||_{L^p} = ||T_\infty||_{L^p}$*.*

We give a lower semicontinuity of L^p-norms with respect to the weak convergence:

Proposition 3.64. *If* T_i *converges weakly to* T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*, then* $\liminf_{i \to \infty} ||T_i||_{L^p} \ge ||T_\infty||_{L^p}$*.*

Proof. First assume $p < \infty$. Recall $T_\infty^{(p-1)}(x) := |T_\infty(x)|^{p-2} T_\infty(x)$. Since $|T_\infty^{(p-1)}(x)| = |T_\infty(x)|^{p-1}$, we see that $T_\infty^{(p-1)} \in L^q(T^r_s B_R(m_\infty))$ and

$$\int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle T_\infty, T_\infty^{(p-1)} \rangle d\upsilon = ||T_\infty||^p_{L^p} = ||T_\infty^{(p-1)}||^q_{L^q}$$

hold. Let $\{\hat{T}_{i,j}\}_{i,j}$ be an L^q-approximate sequence of $T_\infty^{(p-1)}$. Then Proposition 3.48 and the Hölder inequality yield that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle T_i, \hat{T}_{i,j} \rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle T_\infty, \hat{T}_{\infty,j} \rangle d\upsilon,$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle T_\infty, \hat{T}_{\infty,j}\rangle dv = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle T_\infty, T_\infty^{(p-1)}\rangle dv = ||T_\infty||^p_{L^p},$$

$$\int_{B_R(m_i)}\langle T_i, \hat{T}_{i,j}\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \le ||T_i||_{L^p}||\hat{T}_{i,j}||_{L^q}$$

hold, $||\hat{T}_{i,j}||_{L^q} \to ||\hat{T}_{\infty,j}||_{L^q}$ holds as $i\to\infty$, and that $||\hat{T}_{\infty,j}||_{L^q} \to ||T_\infty^{(p-1)}||_{L^q} = ||T_\infty||^{p/q}_{L^p}$ holds as $j\to\infty$. Therefore we have

$$||T_\infty||^{p/q}_{L^p}\liminf_{i\to\infty}||T_i||_{L^p} \ge ||T_\infty||^p_{L^p}.$$

Therefore we have the assertion for the case $p<\infty$.

Next assume $p=\infty$. By considering rescaled metrics $R^{-2}g_{M_i}$, without loss of generality we can assume that $\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\, B_R(m_i)=1$ holds for every $i\le\infty$. Then since $||T_i||_{L^\infty}\ge ||T_i||_{L^{\hat{p}}}$ holds for every $\hat{p}<\infty$, we see that $\liminf_{i\to\infty}||T_i||_{L^\infty}\ge ||T_\infty||_{L^{\hat{p}}}$ holds for every $\hat{p}<\infty$. Letting $\hat{p}\to\infty$ gives the assertion for the case $p=\infty$. □

Remark 3.65. It is easy to check that for $p<\infty$, if $(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}}) \equiv (M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ and $\psi_i\equiv id_{M_\infty}$ hold for every $i<\infty$, then T_i L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ with respect to the convergence $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon) \overset{(id_{M_\infty},\epsilon_i,R_i)}{\to} (M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ if and only if $||T_i - T_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(m_\infty))}\to 0$. Compare with Remark 3.36.

Proposition 3.66. *Assume $p<\infty$. Then T_i L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

1. $\limsup_{i\to\infty}||T_i||_{L^p}\le ||T_\infty||_{L^p}$.
2. *T_i converges weakly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*

Proof. First we recall Clarkson's inequalities:

Claim 3.67. *Let $v, u\in \mathbf{R}^l$.*

1. *If $p<2$, then $|u+v|^q+|u-v|^q\le 2(|u|^p+|v|^p)^{q-1}$.*
2. *If $p\ge 2$, then $|u+v|^p+|u-v|^p\le 2^{p-1}(|u|^p+|v|^p)$.*

The proof is as follows. It is known that Claim 3.67 holds for $l=2$. See for instance the proof of Clarkson's inequalities [12, Theorem 2]. Since there exists an isometric embedding linear map from $\mathrm{span}\{u,v\}$ to $\mathbf{R}^2$, we have Claim 3.67.

The next claim follows from Claim 3.67 and an argument similar to the proof of Clarkson's inequalities [12, Theorem 2]:

Claim 3.68. *Let $T, S\in L^p(T^r_sB_R(m_\infty))$.*

1. *If $p<2$, then $||T+S||^q_{L^p}+||T-S||^q_{L^p}\le 2(||T||^p_{L^p}+||S||^p_{L^p})^{q-1}$.*
2. *If $p\ge 2$, then $||T+S||^q_{L^p}+||T-S||^q_{L^p}\le 2^{p-1}(||T||^p_{L^p}+||S||^p_{L^p})$.*

Then Proposition 3.66 follows from Claim 3.68 and an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.31. □

Proposition 3.69. *Let* $S_i \in L^\infty(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||S_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$*. Then* S_i *converges strongly to* S_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *if and only if* S_i $L^{\hat{p}}$*-converges strongly to* S_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *for some (or every)* $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$*.*

Proof. It suffices to check 'if' part. Assume that S_i $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to S_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ for some $\hat{p}$. Then Propositions 3.32 and 3.62 yield that S_i converges weakly to S_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and that $|S_i|$ converges strongly to $|S_\infty|$ at a.e. $x_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$. Thus Propositions 3.11 gives that $|S_i|^2$ converges strongly to $|S_\infty|^2$ at a.e. $x_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$. Therefore the assertion follows from Proposition 3.17. $\square$

We now prove Theorem 1.2:

A proof of Theorem 1.2.

This is a direct consequence of Propositions 3.44 and 3.69. $\square$.

Proposition 3.70. *Let* $\hat{r}, \hat{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_{\ge 0}$ *and* $S_i \in L^\infty(T^{\hat{r}}_{\hat{s}} B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||S_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$*. Assume that* $p < \infty$*,* T_i L^p*-converges strongly to* T_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *and that* S_i *converges strongly* S_∞ *at every* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$*. Then we have the following:*

1. *If* $r = \hat{r}$ *and* $s = \hat{s}$*, then* $\langle S_i, T_i \rangle$ L^p*-converges strongly to* $\langle S_\infty, T_\infty \rangle$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*
2. $S_i \otimes T_i$ L^p*-converges strongly to* $S_\infty \otimes T_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*
3. *If* $\hat{r} \le r$ *and* $\hat{s} \le s$*, then* $T_i(S_i)$ L^p*-converges strongly to* $T_\infty(S_\infty)$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*
4. *If* $r \le \hat{r}$ *and* $s \le \hat{s}$*, then* $S_i(T_i)$ L^p*-converges strongly to* $S_\infty(T_\infty)$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.45. $\square$

We end this subsection by giving the following compatibility result which performs a crucial role in the next section.

Proposition 3.71. *Let* $\{(C_j, \phi_j)\}_j$ *be a rectifiable coordinate system of* (M_∞, υ) *associated with* $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$*, and* $A \subset B_R(m_\infty)$*. Assume that* $\langle T_i, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),i} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),i} \rangle$ *converges weakly to* $\langle T_\infty, \bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \rangle$ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in C_j \cap A$ *for every* j *and every* $a \in \mathrm{Map}(\{1, \ldots, r+s\} \to \{1, \ldots, k\})$*. Then* T_i *converges weakly to* T_∞ *at a.e.* $z_\infty \in A$*.*

Proof. Proposition 3.45 yields that $\bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),i} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),i}$ converges strongly to $\bigotimes_{l=1}^r \nabla \phi_{j,a(l),\infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}$ at a.e. $z_\infty \in C_j \cap A$ for every j and every a. Let $\hat{K}_{\hat{L}}$ be the set of $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$ satisfying that there exists $z_i \to z_\infty$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_i)} \int_{B_t(z_i)} |T_i|^p d\mathrm{vol} \le \hat{L}$$

holds for every $t \le 1$ and every $i \le \infty$. See also the proof of Proposition 3.72. Note that by the proof of Proposition 3.50 (or Proposition 3.72), we see that $\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty) \setminus \hat{K}_{\hat{L}}) \to 0$ holds as $\hat{L} \to \infty$. Let $\{x_i\}_{1 \le i \le r+s} \subset M_\infty$. By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.45, without loss of generality we can assume that for every j and a.e. $z_\infty \in C_j \cap A$ there exists $\{C(a,j,z_\infty)\}_a \subset \mathbf{R}$ with $|C(a,j,z_\infty)| \le C(n)$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r := r(z_\infty, j, \epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left|\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_l}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}dr_{x_l}-\sum_a C(a,j,z_\infty)\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}\right|^q d\upsilon<\epsilon$$

and

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty}\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\,B_t(z_i)}\int_{B_t(z_i)}\left\langle T_i,\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla\phi_{j,a(l),i}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}d\phi_{j,a(l),i}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol}\right.$$
$$\left.-\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left\langle T_\infty,\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}\right\rangle d\upsilon\right|<\epsilon$$

hold for every $t < r$ and every a. Moreover by Proposition 3.45 without loss of generality we can assume that for every $t < r$

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\,B_t(z_i)}\int_{B_t(z_i)}\left|\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}dr_{x_{l,i}}-\sum_a C(a,j,z_\infty)\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla\phi_{j,a(l),i}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}d\phi_{j,a(l),i}\right|^q d\mathrm{vol}<\epsilon$$

holds for every sufficiently large i. Then for every j, a.e. $z_\infty \in C_j \cap A \cap \hat{K}_{\hat{L}}$ and every $t < r(z_\infty, j, \epsilon)$, the Hölder inequality yields that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\,B_t(z_i)}\int_{B_t(z_i)}\left\langle T_i,\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}dr_{x_{l,i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol}$$
$$=\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\,B_t(z_i)}\int_{B_t(z_i)}\left\langle T_i,\sum_a C(a,j,z_\infty)\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla\phi_{j,a(l),i}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}d\phi_{j,a(l),i}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol}\pm\Psi(\epsilon;n,\hat{L})$$
$$=\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left\langle T_\infty,\sum_a C(a,j,z_\infty)\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}d\phi_{j,a(l),\infty}\right\rangle d\upsilon\pm\Psi(\epsilon;n,\hat{L})$$
$$=\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))}\int_{B_t(z_\infty)}\left\langle T_\infty,\bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_l}\otimes\bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s}dr_{x_l}\right\rangle d\upsilon\pm\Psi(\epsilon;n,\hat{L})$$

holds for every sufficiently large i, where $x_{l,i} \to x_l$. Thus T_i converges weakly to T_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in C_j \cap A \cap \hat{K}_{\hat{L}}$. Therefore we have the assertion. □

3.2.4. *Contraction.* Let $T_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $L := \sup_{i\le\infty}||T_i||_{L^p} < \infty$. Assume $p < \infty$.

In [8] Cheeger-Colding showed that the following four conditions (called *noncollapsing conditions*) are equivalent:

1. $\mathcal{R}_n \neq \emptyset$.
2. $\mathcal{R}_i = \emptyset$ for every $i < n$.
3. There exists $\nu > 0$ such that $\mathrm{vol}\,B_1(m_i) \ge \nu$ holds for every $i < \infty$.
4. $\dim_H M_\infty = \dim M_\infty = n$, where $\dim_H$ is the Hausdorff dimension.

Note that if a condition above holds, then $(M_i, m_i, \mathrm{vol}) \to (M_\infty, m_\infty, H^n)$, where H^n is the n-dimensional spherical Hausdorff measure. See [8, Theorems 5.9 and 5.11] for the details.

The following is an essential tool to prove (1) of Theorem 1.5:

Proposition 3.72. *Let $A \subset B_R(m_\infty)$. Assume that (M_∞, m_∞) is the noncollapsed limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ and that T_i converges weakly to T_∞ at a.e. $z_\infty \in A$. Then $C^a_b T_i$ converges weakly to $C^a_b T_\infty$ at a.e. $z_\infty \in A$ for every $0 \le a \le b$.*

Proof. We will give a proof of the case for $s = 0, a = 1, b = r = 2$ only because the proof of the other case is similar. Let $T_i \equiv 0$ on $M_i \setminus B_R(m_i)$. For every $\hat{L} \ge 1$, let $K_{\hat{L},i}$ be the set of $z_i \in B_R(m_i)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_i)} \int_{B_t(z_i)} |T_i|^p d\mathrm{vol} \le \hat{L}$$

holds for every $t \le 1$. Then [30, Lemma 3.1] yields $\mathrm{vol}\, K_{\hat{L},i}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i) \ge 1 - \Psi(\hat{L}^{-1}; n, R, L)$. Let $\hat{K}_{\hat{L},i}$ be a compact subset of $K_{\hat{L},i}$ with $\mathrm{vol}\, \hat{K}_{\hat{L},i}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i) \ge 1 - \Psi(\hat{L}^{-1}; n, R, L)$. Without loss of generality we can assume that there exists $\lim_{i\to\infty} \hat{K}_{\hat{L},i} \subset \overline{B}_R(m_\infty)$. Note that by [30, Proposition 2.3], we have $\upsilon(\lim_{i\to\infty} \hat{K}_{\hat{L},i})/\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty)) \ge 1 - \Psi(\hat{L}^{-1}; n, R, L)$.

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.11, there exist a sequence $\{K_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ of $K_j \subset M_\infty$ and $\{x_i^j\}_{1\le i\le n, j\in\mathbf{N}} \subset M_\infty$ with $\mathrm{Leb}\, K_j = K_j$ and $K_j \subset M_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_{x_i^j}$ such that $\upsilon(M_\infty \setminus \bigcup_j K_j) = 0$ and that for every $z_\infty \in \bigcup_j K_j$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $j := j(z_\infty, \epsilon)$ such that $z_\infty \in K_j$ and that $\{dr_{x_i^j}(w_\infty)\}_i$ is an ϵ-orthogonal basis on $T^*_{w_\infty} M_\infty$ for every $w_\infty \in K_j$. Let $K_{\hat{L}} := \lim_{i\to\infty} \hat{K}_{\hat{L},i} \cap \hat{K}_{\hat{L},\infty} \cap \bigcup_j K_j$.

Fix $\hat{L} \ge 1$, $z_\infty \in K_{\hat{L}} \cap A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let $j := j(z_\infty, \epsilon)$, $\{x_i := x_i^j\}_i$ as above. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that for every $t < r$ we see that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))} \int_{B_t(z_\infty)} |\langle dr_{x_i}, dr_{x_m}\rangle - \delta_{im}|\, d\upsilon < \Psi(\epsilon; n)$$

holds for every i, m, and that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))} \int_{B_t(z_\infty)} \sum_i T_\infty(dr_{x_i}, dr_{x_i}) d\upsilon - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \sum_i T_l(dr_{x_{i,l}}, dr_{x_{i,l}}) d\mathrm{vol} \right|$$
$$\le \epsilon$$

holds for every sufficiently large l, where $z_l (\in K_{\hat{L},l}) \to z_\infty$ and $x_{i,l} \to x_i$. Fix $t > 0$ with $t < r$. By Proposition 3.44 we see that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \left|\langle dr_{x_{i,l}}, dr_{x_{m,l}}\rangle - \delta_{im}\right| d\mathrm{vol} < \Psi(\epsilon; n)$$

holds for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$. Let $A_{t,l} := \{y \in B_t(z_l); |\langle dr_{x_{i,l}}, dr_{x_{j,l}}\rangle(y) - \delta_{ij}| < \Psi(\epsilon; n)$ holds for every $i, j.\}$. Then we see that $\mathrm{vol}\, A_{t,l}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(z_l) \ge 1 - \Psi(\epsilon; n)$ holds for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$. The Hölder inequality yields that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \sum_i T_l(dr_{x_{i,l}}, dr_{x_{i,l}}) d\mathrm{vol} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{A_{t,l}} \sum_i T_l(dr_{x_{i,l}}, dr_{x_{i,l}}) d\mathrm{vol} \right| \le \Psi(\epsilon; \hat{L}, n, p)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \operatorname{Tr} T_l d\mathrm{vol} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{A_{t,l}} \operatorname{Tr} T_l d\mathrm{vol} \right| \le \Psi(\epsilon; \hat{L}, n, p)$$

hold for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$. On the other hand, (1) of Proposition 2.1 yields

$$\left| \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{A_{t,l}} \sum_i T_l(dr_{x_{i,l}}, dr_{x_{i,l}}) d\mathrm{vol} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{A_{t,l}} \operatorname{Tr} T_l d\mathrm{vol} \right| \le \Psi(\epsilon; \hat{L}, n, p).$$

Since $\hat{L}$ and ϵ are arbitrary, we have the assertion. □

REMARK 3.73. Note that Proposition 3.72 does NOT hold for collapsing case. For instance if M_∞ is collapsed, then for every $z_\infty \in M_\infty$, $\operatorname{Tr} g_{M_i} (\equiv n)$ dose not L^p-converge weakly to $\operatorname{Tr} g_{M_\infty} (\equiv k)$ at z_∞.

On the other hand, for L^p-strong convergence we have the following without the non-collapsing assumption:

PROPOSITION 3.74. *Assume that T_i L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then $C^a_b T_i$ L^p-converges strongly to $C^a_b T_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ for every $0 \le a \le b$.*

PROOF. We will give a proof of the case for $s = 0, a = 1, b = r = 2$ only. By Definition 3.58 and Proposition 3.69, without loss of generality we can assume that $T_i \in L^\infty(B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $L_1 := \sup_{i \le \infty} ||T_i||_{L^\infty} < \infty$ and that T_i converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.

Let $k := \dim M_\infty$. Theorem 2.11 yields that there exist a sequence $\{K_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ of $K_j \subset M_\infty$ and $\{x^j_i\}_{1 \le i \le k, j \in \mathbf{N}} \subset M_\infty$ such that $\operatorname{Leb} K_j = K_j$, $K_j \subset M_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_{x^j_i}$, $\upsilon(M_\infty \setminus \bigcup_j K_j) = 0$ and that for every $z_\infty \in \bigcup_j K_j$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $j = j(z_\infty, \epsilon)$ such that $z_\infty \in K_j$ and that $\{dr_{x^j_i}(w_\infty)\}_i$ is an ϵ-orthogonal basis on $T^*_{w_\infty} M_\infty$ for every $w_\infty \in K_j$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $z_\infty \in \bigcup_j K_j$. Let $j := j(z_\infty, \epsilon)$ as above. Then an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.72 yields that there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(z_\infty))} \int_{B_t(z_\infty)} \left| \sum_{s,t}^k (T_\infty(dr_{x^j_s}, dr_{x^j_t}))^2 - |T_\infty|^2 \right| d\upsilon < \Psi(\epsilon; n, L_1)$$

holds for every $t < r$. Fix $t > 0$ with $t < r$. Then Proposition 3.45 yields that

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \left| \sum_{s,t}^k (T_l(dr_{x^j_{s,l}}, dr_{x^j_{t,l}}))^2 - |T_l|^2 \right| d\mathrm{vol} < \Psi(\epsilon; n, L_1)$$

holds for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$, where $x^i_{s,l} \to x^i_s$. Thus (2) of Proposition 2.1 and an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.72 yield that

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(z_l)} \int_{B_t(z_l)} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k T_l(dr_{x^j_{s,l}}, dr_{x^j_{s,l}}) - \operatorname{tr} T_l \right| d\mathrm{vol} < \Psi(\epsilon; n, L_1)$$

holds for every sufficiently large $l \le \infty$. Therefore we see that $\operatorname{Tr} T_l$ converges strongly to $\operatorname{Tr} T_\infty$ at z_∞. Thus we have the assertion. □

Remark 3.75. Let $R > 0$ and let A_i be a Borel subset of $B_R(m_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$ satisfying that 1_{A_i} converges strongly to 1_{A_∞} at a.e. $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$. For a sequence $\{S_i\}_{i \le \infty}$ of $S_i \in L^p(T^r_s A_i)$, we say that S_i *L^p-converges strongly to S_∞ on A_∞* if $1_{A_i} S_i$ L^p-converges strongly to $1_{A_\infty} S_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then we can get several properties for this convergence similar to that given in this section.

Remark 3.76. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and let $\{(Y_i, y_i, \upsilon_i)\}_{i \le \infty}$ be a sequence of (n, K)-Ricci limit spaces with $(Y_i, y_i, \upsilon_i) \to (Y_\infty, y_\infty, \upsilon_\infty)$, $R > 0$ and $T_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(y_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||T_i||_{L^p} < \infty$. Then similarly, we can also consider L^p-weak or L^p-strong convergence $T_i \to T_\infty$ and show several properties similar to that given in this section.

4. Applications.

4.1. Convergence of Sobolev functions.

In this subsection we consider the same setting in the previous section again:

1. $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the $(n, -1)$-Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i < \infty}$ with $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$.
2. $R > 0$, $1 < p \le \infty$.

Let $k := \dim M_\infty$. A main result of this subsection is Theorem 4.9.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X_i \in L^p(TB_R(m_i))$ for $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||X_i||_{L^p(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$. Assume that $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}}, B_R(m_i))$ for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i < \infty} ||\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_i||_{L^p} < \infty$, and that X_i converges weakly to X_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then we see that $X_\infty \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, B_R(m_\infty))$ and that $\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_i$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{div}^\upsilon X_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*

Proof. Proposition 3.19 yields that there exist a subsequence $\{i(j)\}_j$ and $h_\infty \in L^p(B_R(m_\infty))$ such that $\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_{i(j)}$ converges weakly to h_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Let f_∞ be a Lipschitz function on $B_R(m_\infty)$ with compact support. By [30, Theorem 4.2], without loss of generality we can assume that there exists a sequence $\{f_{i(j)}\}_{j < \infty}$ of Lipschitz functions $f_{i(j)}$ on $B_R(m_{i(j)})$ such that $\sup_j \mathbf{Lip} f_{i(j)} < \infty$, every $f_{i(j)}$ has compact support and that $(f_{i(j)}, df_{i(j)}) \to (f_\infty, df_\infty)$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Since

$$\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})} \langle \nabla f_{i(j)}, X_{i(j)} \rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = - \int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})} f_{i(j)} \mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_{i(j)} d\underline{\mathrm{vol}},$$

by letting $j \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle \nabla f_\infty, X_\infty \rangle dv = -\int_{B_R(m_\infty)} f_\infty h_\infty dv.$$

In particular we have $X_\infty \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^v, B_R(m_\infty))$ and $h_\infty = \mathrm{div}^v X_\infty$. The uniqueness of $\mathrm{div}^v X_\infty$ yields that $\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_i$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{div}^v X_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. □

Remark 4.2. In general, L^p-strong convergence $X_i \to X_\infty$ does NOT imply L^p-strong convergence $\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_i \to \mathrm{div}^v X_\infty$. We give a simple example: Let g_n be as in Remark 3.10 and put

$$f_n(t) := \int_0^t \int_0^s g_n(x) dx ds$$

on $(0,1)$. Then for every $1 < p < \infty$ we see that ∇f_n L^p-converges strongly to 0 on $(0,1)$ and that Δf_n does not L^p-converge strongly to 0 on $(0,1)$.

Remark 4.3. Let U be an open subset of M_∞, $X \in \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{div}^v, U)$ and f a Lipschitz function on U with compact support. Then we see that $fX \in \mathcal{D}^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{div}^v, U)$ and that $\mathrm{div}^v(fX) = f\mathrm{div}^v X + g_{M_\infty}(\nabla f, X)$ holds. Compare with Proposition 2.6. It is easy to check that if X has compact support, then

$$\int_U \langle X, \nabla g \rangle dv = -\int_U g \mathrm{div}^v X dv$$

holds for every locally Lipschitz function g on U.

The following theorem is a key result to prove Theorem 1.3:

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $X_i \in L^p(TB_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||X_i||_{L^p} < \infty$, $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$ *and* $h_i \in H_{1,\hat{p}}(B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i \le \infty} ||h_i||_{H_{1,\hat{p}}} < \infty$. *Assume that the following hold:*

1. $\hat{q} < p$, *where* $\hat{q}$ *is the conjugate exponent of* $\hat{p}$.
2. X_i *converges weakly to* X_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$.
3. $X_i \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}}, B_R(m_i))$ *holds for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_i||_{L^p} < \infty$.
4. h_i *converges weakly to* h_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$.

Then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla h_i \rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle X_\infty, \nabla h_\infty \rangle dv.$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $L := \sup_i(||X_i||_{L^p} + ||h_i||_{H_{1,\hat{p}}})$. Then [7, Theorem 6.33] yields that for every $i < \infty$ there exists a C^∞-function ϕ_i^ϵ on M_i such that $0 \le \phi_i^\epsilon \le 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_i^\epsilon) \subset B_{R-\epsilon}(m_i)$, $\phi_i^\epsilon|_{B_{R-2\epsilon}(m_i)} \equiv 1$ and $\mathbf{Lip}\phi_i^\epsilon + ||\Delta \phi_i^\epsilon||_{L^\infty} \le C(n, R, \epsilon)$. By Proposition 3.3, without loss of generality we can assume that there exists a Lipschitz function ϕ_∞^ϵ on

M_∞ such that $\phi_i^\epsilon \to \phi_\infty^\epsilon$ on M_∞. Note that Proposition 3.39 yields that h_i $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to h_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Corollary 3.26, Proposition 3.27 and Theorem 4.1 yield

$$\int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla(\phi_i^\epsilon h_i)\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = -\int_{B_R(m_i)} \mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} X_i \phi_i^\epsilon h_i d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}$$

$$\to -\int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \mathrm{div}^\upsilon X_\infty \phi_\infty^\epsilon h_\infty d\upsilon = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle X_\infty, \nabla(\phi_\infty^\epsilon h_\infty)\rangle d\upsilon.$$

On the other hand, Propositions 3.27, 3.48 and 3.61 yield

$$\int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla\phi_i^\epsilon\rangle h_i d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \to \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle X_\infty, \nabla\phi_\infty^\epsilon\rangle h_\infty d\upsilon.$$

Since

$$\int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla(\phi_i^\epsilon h_i)\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla\phi_i^\epsilon\rangle h_i d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} + \int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla h_i\rangle \phi_i^\epsilon d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}$$

holds for every $i \le \infty$, we have

$$\int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle X_i, \nabla h_i\rangle \phi_i^\epsilon d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \to \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle X_\infty, \nabla h_\infty\rangle \phi_\infty^\epsilon d\upsilon.$$

The Hölder inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{B_R(m_i)} \phi_i^\epsilon \langle X_i, \nabla h_i\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} - \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle X_i, \nabla h_i\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\right| \\
&\le \left(\int_{B_R(m_i)} |1-\phi_i^\epsilon|^{\hat{q}} |X_i|^{\hat{q}} d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\right)^{1/\hat{q}} \left(\int_{B_R(m_i)} |\nabla h_i|^{\hat{p}} d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\right)^{1/\hat{p}} \\
&\le \left(\int_{B_R(m_i)} |1-\phi_i^\epsilon|^{\hat{q}\alpha} d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\right)^{1/(\hat{q}\alpha)} \left(\int_{B_R(m_i)} |X_i|^p d\upsilon\right)^{1/p} L \\
&\le L^2 \left(\underline{\mathrm{vol}}(B_R(m_i) \setminus B_{R-2\epsilon}(m_i))\right)^{1/(\hat{q}\alpha)} < \Psi(\epsilon; n, L, R, p, \hat{p})
\end{aligned}$$

holds for every $i \le \infty$, where α is the conjugate exponent of $p/\hat{q} > 1$. Therefore since ϵ is arbitrary, we have the assertion. $\square$

COROLLARY 4.5. *Assume $p < \infty$. Let $h_i \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i\le\infty} ||h_i||_{H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$. Assume that h_i converges weakly to h_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then dh_i converges weakly to dh_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. In particular, $\liminf_{i\to\infty} ||dh_i||_{L^p(B_R(m_i))} \ge ||dh_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(m_\infty))}$.*

PROOF. Let $x_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$, $r > 0$ with $\overline{B}_r(x_\infty) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$, and let f_i be a harmonic function on $B_r(x_i)$ for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i < \infty$, where $x_i \to x_\infty$, and f_∞ a Lipschitz function on $B_r(x_\infty)$ with $f_i \to f_\infty$ on $B_r(x_\infty)$. Then Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 3.47 yield

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{B_r(x_i)} \langle df_i, dh_i\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_r(x_\infty)} \langle df_\infty, dh_\infty\rangle d\upsilon.$$

Thus Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 3.71 yield that dh_i converges weakly to dh_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. $\square$

The following corollary is a refinement of a main theorem of [30]. Compare with [30, Definition 4.4 and Corollary 4.5].

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let f_i be a Lipschitz function on $B_R(m_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i \le \infty} \mathbf{Lip} f_i < \infty$ and $f_i \to f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then we have the following:*

1. *If $\{|df_i|^2\}_{i \le \infty}$ is upper semicontinuous at $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$, then df_i converges strongly to df_∞ at z_∞.*
2. *If $f_i \in C^2(B_R(m_i))$ holds for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\Delta f_i||_{L^1(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$, then df_i converges strongly to df_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*

PROOF. (1) is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.5. (2) follows from (1) and [30, Proposition 4.9]. $\square$

The assumption of uniform L^1-bounds as in (2) of Corollary 4.6 is *sharp* in the following sense:

REMARK 4.7. Let g_n be a smooth function on $\mathbf{R}$ as in Remark 3.10 and

$$G_n(t) := \int_0^t g_n(s)ds$$

on $(0, 1)$. Then it is easy to check the following:

1. $\sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{Lip} G_n < \infty$.
2. $G_n \to 0$ on $(0, 1)$.
3. $||\Delta G_n||_{L^1((0,1))} \to \infty$.
4. dG_n converges weakly to 0 on $(0, 1)$.
5. For every $t \in (0, 1)$, dG_n dose NOT converges strongly to 0 at t.

REMARK 4.8. Let h_n be a smooth function on $[0, 1]$ satisfying that $h_n|_{[1/n+1/n^2,1]} \equiv 0$, $|h_n| \le 1$, $|\nabla h_n| \le n$ and that $h_n(s) = -ns + 1$ holds for every $s \in [0, 1/n]$. Put

$$H_n(t) := \int_0^t h_n(s)ds$$

on $(0, 1)$. Then it is easy to check the following:

1. $\sup_{n<\infty}(\mathbf{Lip} H_n + ||H_n||_{L^\infty} + ||\Delta H_n||_{L^1((0,1))}) < \infty$
2. $H_n \to 0$ on $(0, 1)$.
3. $||\Delta H_n||_{L^p((0,1))} \to \infty$ for every $p > 1$.
4. dH_n converges strongly to 0 on $(0, 1)$.

We now give a compactness result about Sobolev functions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Compare with Proposition 3.39.

THEOREM 4.9. *Assume* $p < \infty$. *Let* $h_i \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i<\infty} ||h_i||_{H_{1,p}} < \infty$. *Then there exist* $h_\infty \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_\infty))$ *and a subsequence* $\{h_{i(j)}\}_j$ *of* $\{h_i\}_i$ *such that* $h_{i(j)}$ L^p*-converges strongly to* h_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *and that* $dh_{i(j)}$ *converges weakly to* dh_∞ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$. *In particular* $\liminf_{j\to\infty} ||dh_{i(j)}||_{L^p} \ge ||dh_\infty||_{L^p}$.

PROOF. Let $T := \sup_{i<\infty} ||h_i||_{H_{1,p}}$. Proposition 3.39 yields that there exist $h_\infty \in L^p(B_R(m_\infty))$ and a subsequence $\{h_{i(j)}\}_j$ of $\{h_i\}_i$ such that $h_{i(j)}$ L^p-converges strongly to h_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.

CLAIM 4.10. *Let* $r > 0$ *and* $z_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$ *with* $B_{5r}(z_\infty) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$. *Then we see that* $h_\infty|_{B_r(z_\infty)} \in H_{1,p}(B_r(z_\infty))$ *and that* $dh_{i(j)}$ *converges weakly to* dh_∞ *on* $B_r(z_\infty)$.

The proof is as follows. Proposition 3.38 yields that for every $j < \infty$ and every $L \ge 1$, there exists an L-Lipschitz function $(h_{i(j)})_L$ on $B_r(z_{i(j)})$ such that $||h_{i(j)} - (h_{i(j)})_L||_{L^p(B_r(z_{i(j)}))} \le \Psi(L^{-1}; n, R, T)$ and $||(h_{i(j)})_L||_{H_{1,p}} \le C(n, R, T)$, where $z_{i(j)} \to z_\infty$. By Proposition 3.3, without loss of generality we can assume that there exists an L-Lipschitz function $(h_\infty)_L$ on $B_r(z_\infty)$ such that $(h_{i(j)})_L \to (h_\infty)_L$ on $B_r(z_\infty)$. Corollary 4.5 yields that $||(h_\infty)_L||_{H_{1,p}} \le \liminf_{j\to\infty} ||(h_{i(j)})_L||_{H_{1,p}} \le C(n, R, T)$ and $||h_\infty - (h_\infty)_L||_{L^p(B_r(z_\infty))} = \lim_{j\to\infty} ||h_{i(j)} - (h_{i(j)})_L||_{L^p(B_r(z_{i(j)}))} \le \Psi(L^{-1}; n, R, T)$ hold. Thus by letting $L \to \infty$, we have $h_\infty \in H_{1,p}(B_r(z_\infty))$. Then Claim 4.10 follows directly from Corollary 4.5.

By Claim 4.10, for every $r < R$ it is easy to check that $h_\infty|_{B_r(m_\infty)} \in H_{1,p}(B_r(m_\infty))$ and that $dh_{i(j)}$ converges to dh_∞ on $B_r(m_\infty)$. On the other hand, Corollary 4.5 yields $||dh_\infty||_{L^p(B_r(m_\infty))} \le \liminf_{j\to\infty} ||dh_{i(j)}||_{L^p(B_R(m_\infty))}$ for every $r < R$. In particular, we have $||dh_\infty||_{L^p(B_R(m_\infty))} < \infty$. Note that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x))}\int_{B_t(x)} \left| h_\infty - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x))}\int_{B_t(x)} h_\infty d\upsilon \right| d\upsilon \le tC(n,R)\left(\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x))}\int_{B_t(x)} |dh_\infty|^p d\upsilon\right)^{1/p}$$

holds for every $\overline{B}_t(x) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$. Thus (the proof of) [28, Theorem 1.1] yields $h_\infty \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_\infty))$. Therefore we have the assertion. $\square$

REMARK 4.11. As a corollary of Theorem 4.9 we have the following: Define $E_i^p : L^p(B_R(m_i)) \to \mathbf{R}_{\ge 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$E_i^p(f) := \int_{B_R(m_i)} |df|^p d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}$$

if $f \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))$, $E_i^p(f) \equiv \infty$ if otherwise. Then E_i^p *compactly converges to* E_∞^p in the sense of Kuwae-Shioya (see [40, Definition 4.5] for the precise definition). Kuwae-Shioya proved this result for the case $p = 2$. See [40, Corollary 6.3].

We end this subsection by giving the following 'Sobolev-embedding' type theorem:

Theorem 4.12. *Assume $p < \infty$. Let $f_i \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$ with $\sup_i ||f_i||_{H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$. If f_i, df_i L^p-converge strongly to f_∞, df_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$, respectively, then f_i $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ for some $\hat{p} := \hat{p}(n, R, p) > p$.*

Proof. Let $r < R$ and $L := \sup_i ||f_i||_{H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))}$. Since the space of locally Lipschitz functions on $B_R(m_\infty)$ in $H_{1,p}(B_R(m_\infty))$ is dense in $H_{1,p}(B_R(m_\infty))$, by [30, Theorem 4.2], without loss of generality we can assume that there exists a sequence $\{\hat{f}_i\}_{i<\infty}$ of Lipschitz functions $\hat{f}_i$ on $B_r(m_i)$ such that $\hat{f}_i, d\hat{f}_i$ L^p-converge strongly to $f_\infty|_{B_r(m_\infty)}, d(f_\infty|_{B_r(m_\infty)})$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$, respectively. Then the Poincaré inequality of type $(1, p)$ yields that

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(x_i)} \int_{B_t(x_i)} \left| f_i - \hat{f}_i - \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(x_i)} \int_{B_t(x_i)} (f_i - \hat{f}_i) d\mathrm{vol} \right| d\mathrm{vol}$$
$$\leq tC(n, R) \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_t(x_i)} \int_{B_t(x_i)} |\nabla f_i - \nabla \hat{f}_i|^p d\mathrm{vol} \right)^{1/p}$$

holds for every i, every $x_i \in B_r(m_i)$ and every $t > 0$ with $B_t(x_i) \subset B_r(m_i)$. Thus the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality [27, Theorem 1] yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(m_i)} \int_{B_r(m_i)} \left| f_i - \hat{f}_i - \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(m_i)} \int_{B_r(m_i)} (f_i - \hat{f}_i) d\mathrm{vol} \right|^{\tilde{p}} d\mathrm{vol} \right)^{1/\tilde{p}}$$
$$\leq C(n, R, p) \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol} B_r(m_i)} \int_{B_r(m_i)} |\nabla f_i - \nabla \hat{f}_i|^p d\mathrm{vol} \right)^{1/p}$$

for some $\tilde{p} := \tilde{p}(n, R, p) > p$. Thus by letting $i \to \infty$, we see that f_i $L^{\tilde{p}}$-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_r(m_\infty)$. Note that an argument similar to that above yields $\sup_i ||f_i||_{L^{\tilde{p}}(B_R(m_i))} \leq C(n, p, R, L)$. Let $\hat{p} := \hat{p}(n, R, p)$ with $p < \hat{p} < \tilde{p}$. Then the Hölder inequality yields $||f_i||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_R(m_i))} = ||f_i||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_r(m_i))} \pm \Psi(R - r; n, R, L, p)$ for every i. Thus we have $\lim_{i\to\infty} ||f_i||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_R(m_i))} = ||f_\infty||_{L^{\hat{p}}(B_R(m_\infty))}$. Therefore Proposition 3.31 yields the assertion. □

4.2. **p-Laplacian.** In this subsection we discuss a convergence of p-Laplacians with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. We will always consider the following setting similar to that in subsection 4.1: $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$ with $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$, $R > 0$, $1 < p < \infty$ and q is the conjugate exponent of p.

Proposition 4.13. *Let $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $T_i \in L^p(T^r_s B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \leq \infty$ with $\sup_{i\leq\infty} ||T_i||_{L^p} < \infty$. Assume that T_i L^p-converges strongly to T_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then $T_i^{(p-1)}$ L^q-converges strongly to $T_\infty^{(p-1)}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*

Proof. For $\hat{L} > 0$, let $K_{\hat{L}}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 3.72. Let $x_\infty \in K_{\hat{L}}$ satisfying that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(x_\infty))} \int_{B_r(x_\infty)} \left| |T_\infty|^{p-1} - (|T_\infty|(x_\infty))^{p-1} \right| d\upsilon = 0$$

holds. Let $c := |T_\infty|(x_\infty)$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r_0 = r_0(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x_\infty))}\int_{B_t(x_\infty)} \left||T_\infty|^{p-1} - c^{p-1}\right| d\upsilon < \epsilon$$

holds for every $t < r_0$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $t < r_0(\epsilon)$. Since $|T_i|^{p-1}$ $L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to $|T_\infty|^{p-1}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$, where $\hat{p} := p/(p-1) > 1$, we see that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{B_t(x_i)} \left||T_i|^{p-1} - c^{p-1}\right| d\mathrm{vol} < \epsilon$$

holds for every sufficiently large i, where $x_i \to x_\infty$. Let $\{x_{l,i}\}_{1\le l\le r+s, i\le\infty}$ be a collection of $x_{l,i} \in M_i$ with $x_{l,i} \to x_{l,\infty}$. If $c = 0$, then

$$\left|\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{B_t(x_i)} \left\langle T_i^{(p-1)}, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r} \nabla r_{x_{l,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,i}} \right\rangle d\mathrm{vol}\right| \le \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{B_t(x_i)} |T_i|^{p-1} d\mathrm{vol} < \epsilon$$

holds for every sufficiently large $i \le \infty$. In particular $T_i^{(p-1)}$ converges weakly to $T_\infty^{(p-1)}$ at x_∞.

Next we assume $c \neq 0$. Let $A(t,i) := \{y \in B_t(x_i); ||T_i(y)|^{p-1} - c^{p-1}| < \epsilon^{1/2}\}$. Then we see that $\mathrm{vol}\, A(t,i)/\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i) \ge 1 - \epsilon^{1/2}$ holds for every sufficiently large $i \le \infty$. On the other hand, the Hölder inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{B_t(x_i)}\left\langle T_i^{(p-1)}, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{A(t,i)}\left\langle T_i^{(p-1)}, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} \\
&\quad \pm \left(\frac{\mathrm{vol}(B_t(x_i)\setminus A(t,i))}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\right)^{1/\hat{q}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{B_t(x_i)}|T_i|^p d\mathrm{vol}\right)^{1/\hat{p}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{A(t,i)}\left\langle T_i^{(p-1)}, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, c, p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{A(t,i)} c^{p-2}\left\langle T_i, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, c, p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)}\int_{B_t(x_i)} c^{p-2}\left\langle T_i, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,i}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,i}}\right\rangle d\mathrm{vol} \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, c, p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x_\infty))}\int_{B_t(x_\infty)} c^{p-2}\left\langle T_i, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,\infty}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,\infty}}\right\rangle d\upsilon \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, c, p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x_\infty))}\int_{A(t,\infty)} c^{p-2}\left\langle T_\infty, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,\infty}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,\infty}}\right\rangle d\upsilon \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, c, p) \\
&= \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x_\infty))}\int_{B_t(x_\infty)}\left\langle T_\infty^{(p-1)}, \bigotimes_{l=1}^{r}\nabla r_{x_{l,\infty}} \otimes \bigotimes_{l=r+1}^{r+s} dr_{x_{l,\infty}}\right\rangle d\upsilon \pm \Psi(\epsilon; n, \hat{L}, c, p)
\end{aligned}$$

holds for every sufficiently large $i < \infty$, where $\hat{q}$ is the conjugate exponent of $\hat{p}$. Thus we see that $T_i^{(p-1)}$ converges weakly to $T_\infty^{(p-1)}$ at x_∞. Therefore Corollary 3.49 yields that $T_i^{(p-1)}$ converges weakly to $T_\infty^{(p-1)}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_i)}|T_i^{(p-1)}|^q d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} &= \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_i)}|T_i|^p d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \\
&= \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}|T_\infty|^p d\upsilon = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}|T_\infty^{(p-1)}|^q d\upsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

the assertion follows from Propositions 3.66. $\square$

Remark 4.14. Note that in general the L^p-weak convergence $T_i \to T_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ does NOT imply the L^q-weak convergence $T_i^{(p-1)} \to T_\infty^{(p-1)}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. For example let g_n be a smooth function on $\mathbf{R}$ as in Remark 3.10 and $\hat{g}_n := g_n + 1$. Then since $\hat{g}_n^{(2)} = (g_n)^2 + 2g_n + 1$, Remark 3.10 yields that $\hat{g}_n^{(2)}$ converges weakly to $1/3 + 1 = 4/3 (\neq 1)$ on $\mathbf{R}$.

For $f \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_\infty))$ with $(\nabla f)^{(p-1)} \in \mathcal{D}^q(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, B_R(m_\infty))$, let $\Delta_p^\upsilon f := -\mathrm{div}^\upsilon (\nabla f)^{(p-1)} \in L^q(B_R(m_\infty))$.

THEOREM 4.15. *Let* $f_i \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i\le\infty} ||f_i||_{H_{1,p}} < \infty$. *Assume that* $(\nabla f_i)^{(p-1)} \in \mathcal{D}^q(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, B_R(m_i))$ *holds for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\Delta_p^{\mathrm{vol}} f_i||_{L^q(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$ *and that* $f_i, \nabla f_i$ L^p*-converge strongly to* $f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$*, respectively. Then we see that* $(\nabla f_\infty)^{(p-1)} \in \mathcal{D}^q(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, B_R(m_\infty))$ *and that* $\Delta_p^{\mathrm{vol}} f_i$ *converges weakly to* $\Delta_p^\upsilon f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$.

PROOF. The assertion follows from Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.13. $\square$

We now are in a position to prove Theorem 1.6:

A proof of Theorem 1.6.

We start by introducing the following claim proved by Wu-Wang-Zheng in [59]:

CLAIM 4.16. [59, Lemma 2.2] *Let* $(X, \nu) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)}$ *and* $f \in H_{1,p}(X)$. *Then for* $t \in \mathbf{R}$ *the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $||f + t||_{L^p} = \min_{s\in\mathbf{R}} ||f + s||_{L^p}$.

(2)

$$\int_X (f+t)^{(p-1)} d\nu = 0.$$

Moreover there exists a unique $s_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ *such that* $||f + s_0||_{L^p} = \min_{s\in\mathbf{R}} ||f + s||_{L^p}$.

Denote s_0 as above by $s(f, X)$. As a corollary, we see that the set

$$\left\{ f \in H_{1,p}(X); f \not\equiv 0, \int_X f^{(p-1)} d\nu = 0 \right\}$$

is not empty if X is not a single point.

CLAIM 4.17. *Let* $(X, \nu) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(n, d, K)}$. *Assume that* X *is not a single point. Then there exists* $f \in H_{1,p}(X)$ *such that* $||f||_{L^p} = 1$, $||\nabla f||_{L^p}^p = \lambda_{1,p}(X) > 0$ *and*

$$\int_X f^{(p-1)} d\nu = 0.$$

The proof is as follows. Let $\{f_i\}_{i<\infty} \subset H_{1,p}(X)$ satisfying that $||f_i||_{L^p} \equiv 1$, $||\nabla f_i||_{L^p}^p \to \lambda_{1,p}(X)$ and

$$\int_X f_i^{(p-1)} d\nu \equiv 0.$$

By Theorem 4.9, without loss of generality we can assume that there exists $f \in H_{1,p}(X)$ such that f_i L^p-converges strongly to f on X and that ∇f_i converges weakly to ∇f on X. Thus we have $||f||_{L^p} = \lim_{i\to\infty} ||f_i||_{L^p} = 1$. Proposition 4.13 yields that $f_i^{(p-1)}$ L^q-converges strongly to $f^{(p-1)}$ on X. In particular we have

$$\int_X f^{(p-1)} d\nu = \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_X f_i^{(p-1)} d\nu = 0.$$

Thus by the definition of $\lambda_{1,p}(X)$, we have $||\nabla f||_{L^p}^p \geq \lambda_{1,p}(X)$. On the other hand, Proposition 3.64 yields $\liminf_{i\to\infty} ||\nabla f_i||_{L^p} \geq ||\nabla f||_{L^p}$. Thus we have $||\nabla f||_{L^p}^p = \lambda_{1,p}(X)$. Finally we will prove $\lambda_{1,p}(X) > 0$. Assume $\lambda_{1,p}(X) = 0$. Then since $||\nabla f||_{L^p} = 0$, the Poincaré inequality yields that f is a constant function. However since

$$||f||_{L^p} = 1 \text{ and } \int_X f^{(p-1)} d\nu = 0,$$

this is a contradiction. Therefore we have Claim 4.17.

Let $\{(X_i, \nu_i)\}_{i\leq\infty} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}(n,d,K)}$. Assume that $(X_i, \nu_i) \in \mathcal{M}(n,d,K)$ for every $i < \infty$ and that (X_i, ν_i) Gromov-Hausdorff converges to (X_∞, ν_∞). It suffices to check $\lim_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{1,p}(X_i) = \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty)$.

If X_∞ is a single point, then Naber-Valtorta's sharp estimates [46, Theorem 1.4] (or the proof of Corollary 4.19) yields $\lambda_{1,p}(X_i) \to \infty = \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty)$. Thus we assume that X_∞ is not a single point.

First we will check $\limsup_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{1,p}(X_i) \leq \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty)$. Let $f_\infty \in H_{1,p}(X_\infty)$ satisfying that $||f_\infty||_{L^p} = 1$, $||\nabla f_\infty||_{L^p}^p = \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty)$ and

$$\int_{X_\infty} f_\infty^{(p-1)} d\nu_\infty = 0.$$

Since the space of Lipschitz functions on X_∞ is dense in $H_{1,p}(X_\infty)$, by [30, Theorem 4.2] without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a sequence $\{f_i\}_{i<\infty}$ of Lipschitz functions f_i on X_i such that $f_i, \nabla f_i$ L^p-converge strongly to $f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty$ on X_∞, respectively. Then we have $|s(f_i, X_i)| = ||s(f_i, X_i) + f_i - f_i||_{L^p} \leq ||s(f_i, X_i) + f_i||_{L^p} + ||f_i||_{L^p} \leq 2||f_i||_{L^p} \leq 3$ for every sufficiently large $i < \infty$. Therefore without loss of generality we can assume that there exists $s_\infty \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $s(f_i, X_i) \to s_\infty$.

Claim 4.18. $s_\infty = 0$.

The proof is as follows. Since $s(f_i, X_i)$ is the minimizer, we have $||f_i + s(f_i, X_i)||_{L^p} \leq ||f_i||_{L^p}$. Letting $i \to \infty$ gives $||f_\infty + s_\infty||_{L^p} \leq ||f_\infty||_{L^p}$. Since

$$\int_{X_\infty} f_\infty^{(p-1)} d\nu_\infty = 0,$$

Claim 4.16 yields $||f_\infty||_{L^p} \leq ||f_\infty + s_\infty||_{L^p}$. Thus by the uniqueness of the minimizer as in Claim 4.16, we have Claim 4.18.

By Claim 4.18, we have

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{1,p}(X_i) \leq \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{||\nabla(f_i + s(f_i, X_i))||_{L^p}^p}{||f_i + s(f_i, X_i)||_{L^p}^p} = \frac{||\nabla f_\infty||_{L^p}^p}{||f_\infty||_{L^p}^p} = \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty).$$

Next we will show $\liminf_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{1,p}(X_i) \geq \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty)$. For every $i < \infty$, let $g_i \in H_{1,p}(X_i)$ satisfying that $||g_i||_{L^p} = 1$, $||\nabla g_i||_{L^p}^p = \lambda_{1,p}(X_i)$ and

$$\int_{X_i} g_i^{(p-1)} d\nu_i = 0.$$

Since $\limsup_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{1,p}(X_i) \le \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty) < \infty$, by Theorem 4.9 without loss of generality we can assume that there exists $g_\infty \in H_{1,p}(X_\infty)$ such that g_i L^p-converges strongly to g_∞ on X_∞ and that ∇g_i converges weakly to ∇g_∞ on X_∞. Thus we have $||g_\infty||_{L^p} = \lim_{i\to\infty} ||g_i||_{L^p} = 1$. Proposition 4.13 yields that $g_i^{(p-1)}$ L^q-converges strongly to $g_\infty^{(p-1)}$ on X_∞. In particular we have

$$\int_{X_\infty} g_\infty^{(p-1)} d\nu_\infty = \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{X_i} g_i^{(p-1)} d\nu_i = 0.$$

Thus we have $||\nabla g_\infty||_{L^p}^p \ge \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty)$. On the other hand, Proposision 3.64 yields

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \lambda_{1,p}(X_i) = \liminf_{i\to\infty} ||\nabla g_i||_{L^p}^p \ge ||\nabla g_\infty||_{L^p}^p \ge \lambda_{1,p}(X_\infty).$$

Therefore we have the assertion. $\square$

We end this subsection by giving the following two-sided bounds for $\lambda_{1,p}$. It is worth pointing out that in [44, 46, 56], Matei, Naber-Valtorta, and Valtorta give the sharp lower bounds on $\lambda_{1,p}$. See also [36, 43, 54, 57, 59, 60].

Corollary 4.19. *Let $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and let M be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with*

$$(\mathrm{diam}\, M)^2 \mathrm{Ric}_M \ge (n-1)K.$$

Then

$$0 < C_1(n,p,K) \le \frac{\lambda_{1,p}(M)}{(\mathrm{diam}\, M)^p} \le C_2(n,p,K) < \infty.$$

Proof. Let

$$C_1(n,p,K) := \min_{X \in \mathcal{M}(n,1,K) \setminus \mathcal{M}(n,1/2,K)} \lambda_{1,p}(X) \ \text{ and } \ C_2(n,p,\kappa) := \max_{X \in \mathcal{M}(n,1,K) \setminus \mathcal{M}(n,1/2,K)} \lambda_{1,p}(X).$$

For a rescaled metric $(\mathrm{diam}\, M)^{-2} g_M$, since $(M, (\mathrm{diam}\, M)^{-2} g_M, \underline{\mathrm{vol}}) \in \mathcal{M}(n,1,K) \setminus \mathcal{M}(n,1/2,K)$, we have $C_1(n,p,K) \le \lambda_{1,p}(M, (\mathrm{diam}\, M)^{-2} g_M) \le C_2(n,p,K)$. Since $\lambda_{1,p}(M, (\mathrm{diam}\, M)^{-2} g_M) = (\mathrm{diam}\, M)^{-p} \lambda_{1,p}(M, g_M)$, we have the assertion. $\square$

4.3. **Convergence of Hessians.**

In this subsection we will prove Theorem 1.3. Throughout this subsection we will always consider the following setting:

1. $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$ with $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$, and $R > 0$.
2. $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ is a weakly second order differential structure on (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$.

The following is a generalization of [30, Corollary 4.6]. Compare with Theorem 4.15:

Proposition 4.20. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $f_i \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_i))$ for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} ||f_i||_{H_{1,p}} < \infty$, and $f_\infty \in L^p(B_R(m_\infty))$. Assume that f_i converges weakly to f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and that $\nabla f_i \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}}, B_R(m_i))$ holds for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}} \nabla f_i||_{L^p} < \infty$. Then we have the following:*

1. *f_i L^p-converges strongly to f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*
2. *$f_\infty \in H_{1,p}(B_R(m_\infty))$ and $\nabla f_\infty \in \mathcal{D}^p(\mathrm{div}^\upsilon, B_R(m_\infty))$.*
3. *$\nabla f_i, \mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}}\nabla f_i$ converge weakly to $\nabla f_\infty, \mathrm{div}^\upsilon \nabla f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$, respectively.*

In particular if $p \geq 2$, then $f_\infty \in \mathcal{D}^2(\Delta^\upsilon, B_R(m_\infty))$ and $\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty = -\mathrm{div}^\upsilon \nabla f_\infty$.

Proof. It follows directly from Theorems 4.1 and 4.9. $\square$

Remark 4.21. We recall a continuity of eigenfunctions with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Let ϕ_∞ be an eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue λ_∞ with respect to the Dirichlet problem on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then there exist $\{\lambda_i\}_i \subset \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ and a sequence $\{\phi_i\}_{i<\infty}$ of eigenfunctions $\phi_i \in C^\infty(B_R(m_i))$ associated with the eigenvalues λ_i with respect to the Dirichlet problems on $B_R(m_i)$ such that $\lambda_i \to \lambda_\infty$ and that ϕ_i L^2-converges strongly to ϕ_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. In particular we see that $\Delta \phi_i$ L^2-converges strongly to $\Delta^\upsilon \phi_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. See [10, Theorem 7.11], [18, Lemma 5.17] and [38, Lemma 5.8] for the details. Note that in [31] these results played crucial roles to get Theorem 2.14. See also [18, 32, 33, 34, 35] for a convergence of heat kernels.

We now are in a position to prove Theorem 1.3:

A proof of Theorem 1.3.

Without loss of generality we can assume $K = -1$. Proposition 4.20 yields (1), $f_\infty \in \mathcal{D}^2(\Delta^\upsilon, B_R(m_\infty))$, (6) and that ∇f_i converges weakly to ∇f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.

Claim 4.22. *Let $R > 0$, $L > 0$, and let (M, m) be a pointed n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -(n-1)$, and f a C^2-function on $B_R(m)$ with $||f||_{H_{1,2}(B_R(m))} + ||\Delta f||_{L^2(B_R(m))} \leq L$. Then for every $r < R$ we see that $||\nabla f||_{L^{2p_0}(B_r(m))} + ||\mathrm{Hess}_f||_{L^2(B_r(m))} \leq C(L, n, r, R)$ holds for some $p_0 := p_0(n, R) > 1$.*

The proof is as follows. Let $r > 0$ with $r < R$. Since $|\nabla |\nabla f|^2| \leq 2|\mathrm{Hess}_f||\nabla f| \leq |\mathrm{Hess}_f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2$, the Poincaré inequality of type $(1,1)$ (see for instance [10, Theorem 2.15]) yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x)} \int_{B_t(x)} \left| |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x)} \int_{B_t(x)} |\nabla f|^2 d\mathrm{vol} \right| d\mathrm{vol} \\
&\leq t C(n, R) \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x)} \int_{B_t(x)} \left(|\mathrm{Hess}_f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 \right) d\mathrm{vol}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds for every $B_t(x) \subset B_r(m)$. Thus the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality [27, Theorem 1] yields that there exists $p_0 := p_0(n, R) > 1$ such that $p_0 < 2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_r(m)} \int_{B_r(m)} \left| |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_r(m)} \int_{B_r(m)} |\nabla f|^2 d\mathrm{vol} \right|^{p_0} d\mathrm{vol} \right)^{1/p_0} \\
&\leq r C(n, R) \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_r(m)} \int_{B_r(m)} \left(|\mathrm{Hess}_f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 \right) d\mathrm{vol}
\end{aligned}
$$

hold. On the other hand, by an argument similar to that in [30, Remark 4.2], we have $||\mathrm{Hess}_f||_{L^2(B_r(m))} \le C(L, n, r, R)$. Thus we have Claim 4.22.

Claim 4.23. *Let f be as in Claim 4.22, $\epsilon > 0$, $r < R$ and $\phi \in C^0(B_R(m))$ satisfying that $0 \le \phi \le 1$, $\phi|_{B_{r-\epsilon}(m)} \equiv 1$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\phi) \subset B_r(m)$. Then we have*

$$\left|\int_{B_r(m)} \phi |\nabla f|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} - \int_{B_r(m)} |\nabla f|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\right| < \Psi(\epsilon; L, n, r, R).$$

Because the Hölder inequality and Claim 4.22 yield

$$\left|\int_{B_r(m)} \phi |\nabla f|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} - \int_{B_r(m)} |\nabla f|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}\right| \le ||1-\phi||_{L^{q_0}(B_r(m))} ||\nabla f||^2_{L^{2p_0}(B_r(m))} \le \Psi(\epsilon; L, n, r, R),$$

where q_0 is the conjugate exponent of p_0.

Let $\epsilon > 0$, $r < R$, $L := \sup_{i<\infty}(||f_i||_{H_{1,2}(B_R(m_i))} + ||\Delta f_i||_{L^2(B_R(m_i))})$ and let ϕ_i be a $C(\epsilon, r)$-Lipschitz function on M_i for every $i \le \infty$ satisfying that $0 \le \phi_i \le 1$, $\phi_i|_{B_{r-\epsilon}(m_i)} \equiv 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_i) \subset B_r(m_i)$ and $\phi_i \to \phi_\infty$ on M_∞.

Claim 4.24. *We have*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{B_r(m_i)} \phi_i |\nabla f_i|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_r(m_\infty)} \phi_\infty |\nabla f_\infty|^2 d\upsilon.$$

The proof is as follows. Since $\phi_i \nabla f_i$ converges weakly to $\phi_\infty \nabla f_\infty$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$, Proposition 3.27, Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.3 yield

$$\int_{B_r(m_i)} \phi_i |\nabla f_i|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = -\int_{B_r(m_i)} f_i \mathrm{div}^{\underline{\mathrm{vol}}}(\phi_i \nabla f_i) d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \to -\int_{B_r(m_\infty)} f_\infty \mathrm{div}^{\upsilon}(\phi_\infty \nabla f_\infty) d\upsilon$$
$$= \int_{B_r(m_\infty)} \phi_\infty |\nabla f_\infty|^2 d\upsilon.$$

For every sufficiently large $i < \infty$, Claims 4.23 and 4.24 yield

$$\int_{B_r(m_i)} |\nabla f_i|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \le \int_{B_r(m_i)} \phi_i |\nabla f_i|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} + \Psi(\epsilon; L, n, r, R)$$
$$= \int_{B_r(m_\infty)} \phi_\infty |\nabla f_\infty|^2 d\upsilon + \Psi \le \int_{B_r(m_\infty)} |\nabla f_\infty|^2 d\upsilon + \Psi.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we have $\limsup_{i\to\infty} ||\nabla f_i||_{L^2(B_r(m_i))} \le ||\nabla f_i||_{L^2(B_r(m_\infty))}$. Therefore Proposition 3.66 yields that ∇f_i L^2-converges strongly to ∇f_∞ on $B_r(m_\infty)$. In particular, $|\nabla f_i|^2$ converges weakly to $|\nabla f_\infty|^2$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$.

Let $p_1 := p_1(n, R) > 1$ with $p_1 < p_0$ and $p_1/(2-p_1) < p_0$. Then Young's inequality yields

$$|\nabla |\nabla f_i|^2|^{p_1} \le 2^{p_1} |\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i}|^{p_1} |\nabla f_i|^{p_1} \le 2^{p_1} \left(\frac{p_1}{2} |\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i}|^2 + \frac{2-p_1}{2} |\nabla f_i|^{2p_1/(2-p_1)}\right).$$

Thus by Claim 4.22 we have $||\nabla |\nabla f_i|^2||_{L^{p_1}(B_r(m_i))} \le C(n, r, R, L)$ for every $i < \infty$. Therefore Theorem 4.9 yields (4), (5) and that $|\nabla f_i|^2$ L^{p_1}-converges strongly to $|\nabla f_\infty|^2$ on

$B_r(m_\infty)$. In particular $||\nabla f_i||_{L^{2p_1}(B_r(m_i))} \to ||\nabla f_\infty||_{L^{2p_1}(B_r(m_\infty))}$. Therefore by Proposition 3.66 we see that ∇f_i L^{2p_1}-converges strongly to ∇f_∞ on $B_r(m_\infty)$. Thus Theorems 4.9 and 4.12 yield (2) and (3).

Let $w_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$, $t > 0$ with $\overline{B}_t(w_\infty) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$, $w_i \to w_\infty$ and let g_i, h_i be Lipschitz functions on $B_t(w_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_{i \le \infty}(\mathbf{Lip} g_i + \mathbf{Lip} h_i) < \infty$ satisfying that $g_i, h_i \in C^2(B_t(w_i))$ hold for every $i < \infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty}(||\Delta g_i||_{L^2(B_t(w_i))} + ||\Delta h_i||_{L^2(B_t(w_i))}) < \infty$ and that $g_i, h_i \to g_\infty, h_\infty$ on $B_t(w_\infty)$, respectively. (4) yields $\langle df_\infty, dg_\infty\rangle \in H_{1,p_1}(B_s(w_\infty))$ for every $s < t$. In particular, $\langle df_\infty, dg_\infty\rangle$ is weakly Lipschitz. Thus f_∞ is weakly twice differentiable on $B_R(m_\infty)$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$, i.e., we have (7). Claim 4.22 yields $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\langle \nabla g_i, \nabla h_i\rangle||_{H_{1,2}(B_s(w_i))} < \infty$ for every $s < t$. Thus (3), (5) and Proposition 3.61 give

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_s(w_i)} \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla\langle \nabla g_i, \nabla h_i\rangle\rangle \, d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_s(w_\infty)} \langle \nabla f_\infty, \nabla\langle \nabla g_\infty, \nabla h_\infty\rangle\rangle \, d\upsilon$$

for every $s < t$. Similarly, (5), Propositions 3.47 and 3.61 yield that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_s(w_i)} \langle \nabla g_i, \nabla\langle \nabla f_i, \nabla h_i\rangle\rangle \, d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_s(w_\infty)} \langle \nabla g_\infty, \nabla\langle \nabla f_\infty, \nabla h_\infty\rangle\rangle \, d\upsilon$$

and

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_s(w_i)} \langle \nabla h_i, \nabla\langle \nabla g_i, \nabla f_i\rangle\rangle \, d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_s(w_\infty)} \langle \nabla h_\infty, \nabla\langle \nabla g_\infty, \nabla f_\infty\rangle\rangle \, d\upsilon$$

hold for every $s < t$. Since

$$2\langle \nabla_{\nabla g_i}\nabla f_i, \nabla h_i\rangle = \langle \nabla g_i, \nabla\langle\nabla f_i, \nabla h_i\rangle\rangle + \langle \nabla h_i, \nabla\langle \nabla g_i, \nabla f_i\rangle\rangle - \langle \nabla f_i, \nabla\langle \nabla g_i, \nabla h_i\rangle\rangle,$$

we see that $\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i}(\nabla g_i, \nabla h_i)$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}(\nabla g_\infty, \nabla h_\infty)$ on $B_s(w_\infty)$ for every $s < t$. Thus (8) and (9) follow from Remark 3.54 and Proposition 3.71. $\square$

Remark 4.25. We now give a remark about L^2-bounds of the gradient of a C^2-function on a manifold: Let $L > 0, R > 0$, and let (M, m) be a pointed n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $\mathrm{Ric}_M \ge -(n-1)$, and f a C^2-function on $B_R(m)$ with $||f||_{L^2(B_R(m))} + ||\Delta f||_{L^2(B_R(m))} \le L$. Then for every $r < R$ we have $||\nabla f||_{L^2(B_r(m))} \le C(n, L, r, R)$.

The proof is as follows. By [7, Theorem 6.33], there exists a smooth function ϕ on M such that $0 \le \phi \le 1$, $\phi|_{B_r(m)} \equiv 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\phi) \subset B_R(m)$ and $|\Delta\phi| + |\nabla\phi| \le C(n, r, R)$ hold. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(m)} |df|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \le \int_{B_R(m)} |d(\phi f)|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} &= \int_{B_R(m)} \phi f \Delta(\phi f) d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \\ &= \int_{B_R(m)} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle d\phi^2, df^2\rangle + f^2\phi\Delta\phi + \phi^2 f\Delta f\right) d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \\ &\le -\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m)} f^2\Delta\phi^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} + C(n, L, r, R) \le C(n, L, r, R).\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.3 it is not difficult to check the following:

COROLLARY 4.26. *Let* $f_i^1, f_i^2 \in L^2(B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i \le \infty$. *Assume that* $f_i^1, f_i^2 \in C^2(B_R(m_i))$ *hold for every* $i < \infty$ *with* $\sup_{j \in \{1,2\}, i < \infty}(||f_i^j||_{L^2(B_R(m_i))} + ||\nabla f_i^j||_{L^\infty(B_R(m_i))} + ||\Delta f_i^j||_{L^2(B_R(m_i))}) < \infty$ *and that* f_i^j *converges weakly to* f_∞^j *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *for every* $j = 1, 2$. *Then we have the following:*

1. $\sup_{i \le \infty}(||[\nabla f_i^1, \nabla f_i^2]||_{L^2(B_r(m_i))} + ||\nabla_{\nabla f_i^1} \nabla f_i^2||_{L^2(B_r(m_i))}) < \infty$ *holds for every* $r < R$.
2. $\nabla_{\nabla f_i^1} \nabla f_i^2$, $[\nabla f_i^1, \nabla f_i^2]$ *converge weakly to* $\nabla_{\nabla f_\infty^1} \nabla f_i^2$, $[\nabla f_\infty^1, \nabla f_\infty^2]$ *on* $B_r(m_\infty)$ *for every* $r < R$, *respectively.*

The following corollary is about an existence of a good cutoff function on M_∞ which is a generalization of [7, Theorem 6.33] to limit spaces:

COROLLARY 4.27. *Let* $r > 0$ *with* $r < R$. *Then there exists a* $C(n, r, R)$*-Lipschitz function* $\hat{\phi}_\infty$ *on* M_∞ *such that* $0 \le \hat{\phi}_\infty \le 1$, $\hat{\phi}_\infty|_{B_r(m_\infty)} \equiv 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\phi}_\infty) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$, $\hat{\phi}_\infty \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta^\upsilon, M_\infty)$ *and that* $\hat{\phi}_\infty$ *is weakly twice differentiable on* M_∞ *with* $||\mathrm{Hess}_{\hat{\phi}_\infty}||_{L^2(M_\infty)} + ||\Delta^\upsilon \hat{\phi}_\infty||_{L^\infty(M_\infty)} \le C(n, r, R)$.

PROOF. [7, Theorem 6.33] and [30, Remark 4.2] yield that for every $i < \infty$ there exists a smooth $C(n, r, R)$-Lipschitz function $\hat{\phi}_i$ on M_i such that $0 \le \hat{\phi}_i \le 1$, $\hat{\phi}_i|_{B_r(m_i)} \equiv 1$, $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\phi}_i) \subset B_R(m_i)$, $\hat{\phi}_i \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta^\upsilon, M_i)$ and $||\mathrm{Hess}_{\hat{\phi}_i}||_{L^2(M_i)} + ||\Delta \hat{\phi}_i||_{L^\infty(M_i)} \le C(n, r, R)$. By Proposition 3.3, without loss of generality we can assume that there exists a $C(n, r, R)$-Lipschitz function $\hat{\phi}_\infty$ on M_∞ such that $\hat{\phi}_i \to \hat{\phi}_\infty$ on M_∞. Then Theorem 1.3, Propositions 3.64 and 4.20 yield that $\hat{\phi}_\infty$ satisfies the desired conditions. $\square$

4.4. **A Bochner-type inequality for general case.**

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.4:

A proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let $\tau > 0$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_\infty) \subset B_{R-2\tau}(m_\infty)$, $L := \mathbf{Lip}\phi_\infty + ||\phi_\infty||_{L^\infty}$, and $\epsilon > 0$ with $\epsilon << \tau$. [30, Theorem 4.2] yields that for every $i \le \infty$ and every $j < \infty$, there exist a $C(n, L)$-Lipschitz function $\phi_{i,j}$ on $B_R(m_i)$ and an open subset $\Omega_j \subset B_R(m_\infty)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_{i,j}) \subset B_{R-\tau}(m_i)$, $(\phi_{i,j}, d\phi_{i,j}) \to (\phi_{\infty,j}, d\phi_{\infty,j})$ on Ω_j as $i \to \infty$, $\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty) \setminus \Omega_j) < j^{-1}$ and that $||\phi_{\infty,j} - \phi_\infty||_{L^\infty(B_R(m_\infty))} + ||d\phi_{\infty,j} - d\phi_\infty||_{L^2(B_R(m_\infty))} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. [7, Theorem 6.33] yields that for every $j < \infty$ there exists a smooth $C(n, \tau)$-Lipschitz function $\hat{\phi}_j$ on M_j such that $||\Delta \hat{\phi}_j||_{L^\infty} \le C(n, \tau, R)$, $0 \le \hat{\phi}_j \le 1$, $\hat{\phi}_j|_{B_{R-2\tau}(m_j)} \equiv 1$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\hat{\phi}_j) \subset B_{R-\tau}(m_j)$. Note that there exists $\epsilon_j \to 0$ such that for every j, there exists j_0 such that $\phi_{i,j} + \epsilon_j \hat{\phi}_i \ge 0$ holds on $B_R(m_i)$ for every $i \ge j_0$. Let $g_{i,j} := \phi_{i,j} + \epsilon_j \hat{\phi}_i$. Then Propositions 3.19 and 3.50 yield that there exists a subsequence $\{i(j)\}_j$ such that $g_{i(j),j} \ge 0$ and that $(g_{i(j),j}, dg_{i(j),j}) \to (\phi_\infty, d\phi_\infty)$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Let $\phi_{i(j)} := g_{i(j),j}$. Then

Bochner's formula yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\langle d\phi_{i(j)}, d|df_{i(j)}|^2\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \geq & \int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\phi_{i(j)}|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_{i(j)}}|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \\
& + \int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\left(-\phi_{i(j)}(\Delta f_{i(j)})^2 + \Delta f_{i(j)}\langle d\phi_{i(j)}, df_{i(j)}\rangle\right) d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \\
& + K(n-1)\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\phi_{i(j)}|df_{i(j)}|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(5) of Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.61 yield

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\langle d\phi_{i(j)}, d|df_{i(j)}|^2\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle d\phi_\infty, d|df_\infty|^2\rangle d\upsilon.$$

(9) of Theorem 1.3, Propositions 3.11 and 3.48 yield that $(\phi_{i(j)})^{1/2}\mathrm{Hess}_{f_{i(j)}}$ converges weakly to $(\phi_\infty)^{1/2}\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. In particular by Proposition 3.64 we have

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\phi_{i(j)}|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_{i(j)}}|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \geq \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}|^2 d\upsilon.$$

On the other hand, Proposition 3.11 and Corollary 3.26 yield that $(\phi_{i(j)})^{1/2}\Delta f_{i(j)}$ L^2-converges strongly to $(\phi_\infty)^{1/2}\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. In particular we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\phi_{i(j)}(\Delta f_{i(j)})^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty(\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty)^2 d\upsilon.$$

(3) of Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.27 yield that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\Delta f_{i(j)}\langle d\phi_{i(j)}, df_{i(j)}\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty\langle d\phi_\infty, df_\infty\rangle d\upsilon$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_{i(j)})}\phi_{i(j)}|df_{i(j)}|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon$$

hold. Thus we have the assertion. □

Remark 4.28. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.4, since $|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i}|^2 \geq (\Delta f_i)^2/n$ for every $i < \infty$, and $|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}|^2 \geq (\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f_\infty)^2/k$, where $k := \dim M_\infty$, by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.4 we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle d\phi_\infty, d|df_\infty|^2\rangle d\upsilon \geq & \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty\frac{(\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty)^2}{n}d\upsilon \\
& + \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\left(-\phi_\infty(\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty)^2 + \Delta^\upsilon f_\infty\langle d\phi_\infty, df_\infty\rangle\right) d\upsilon \\
& + K(n-1)\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle d\phi_\infty, d|df_\infty|^2\rangle d\upsilon \geq &\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty\frac{(\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}}f_\infty)^2}{k}d\upsilon \\ &+\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\left(-\phi_\infty(\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty)^2+\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty\langle d\phi_\infty, df_\infty\rangle\right)d\upsilon \\ &+K(n-1)\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Proposition 4.1 and Remark 4.3 yield

$$\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\left(-\phi_\infty(\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty)^2+\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty\langle d\phi_\infty, df_\infty\rangle\right)d\upsilon = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty \mathrm{div}^\upsilon(\phi_\infty\nabla f_\infty)d\upsilon.$$

Moreover if $f_i \in C^3(B_R(m_i))$ holds for every $i<\infty$ with $\sup_{i<\infty}||\nabla\Delta f_i||_{L^2(B_R(m_i))}<\infty$, then Theorem 4.9 yields that $\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty \in H_{1,2}(B_R(m_\infty))$ and that $\nabla\Delta f_i$ converges weakly to $\nabla\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Therefore we have

$$\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty \mathrm{div}^\upsilon(\phi_\infty\nabla f_\infty)d\upsilon = -\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty\langle\nabla\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty\rangle d\upsilon.$$

In particular for $r<R$ and ϕ_∞ as in Corollary 4.27, we have the following Γ_2-*condition*:

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\Delta^\upsilon\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon \geq -\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty\langle\nabla\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty,\nabla f_\infty\rangle d\upsilon + K(n-1)\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon.$$

See also [2, 23, 24, 42].

4.5. **Noncollapsing case.** We now prove Theorem 1.5:

A proof of Theorem 1.5.

First assume that (M_∞, m_∞) is the noncollapsed limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$. Then (9) of Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.72 yield that $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i})$ converges weakly to $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty})$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Therefore (3) of Theorem 1.3 yields $-\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}) = \Delta^\upsilon f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Thus we have (1). Similarly, it is easy to check (2) by Proposition 3.74. □

We give a Bochner-type inequality for noncollapsed limit spaces:

COROLLARY 4.29. *Let (M_∞, m_∞) be the noncollapsed (n,K)-Ricci limit space of a sequence $\{(M_i,m_i)\}_{i<\infty}$. Then with the same assumption as in Theorem 1.4, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle d\phi_\infty, d|df_\infty|^2\rangle dH^n \geq &\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}|^2 dH^n \\ &+\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}}f_\infty \mathrm{div}^{g_{M_\infty}}(\phi_\infty\nabla f_\infty)dH^n \\ &+K(n-1)\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|df_\infty|^2 dH^n.\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It follows from Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and Proposition 2.6. □

REMARK 4.30. We calculate the Hessians of important warping functions given by Cheeger-Colding in [7]. Let $(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}}) \overset{(\psi_i, \epsilon_i, R_i)}{\to} (M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ and $k := \dim M_\infty$.

Splitting. Assume that $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \ge -\delta_i$ with $\delta_i \to 0$, and that there exists a line $l : \mathbf{R} \to M_\infty$ with $l(0) = m_\infty$ which means that l is an isometric embedding. Let $R > 0$, $r_i < R_i$ with $r_i \to \infty$ and $z_i \in B_{R_i}(m_i)$ with $\overline{\psi_i(z_i), l(r_i)} \to 0$. Define the harmonic function $\mathbf{b}_i$ on $B_{100R}(m_i)$ by $\mathbf{b}_i|_{\partial B_{100R}(m_i)} \equiv r_{z_i} - r_{z_i}(m_i)$. Then we see that $\sup_i \mathbf{Lip}(\mathbf{b}_i|_{B_R(m_i)}) < \infty$, $\mathbf{b}_i$ converges to the Busemann function $\mathbf{b}_\infty$ of l on $B_R(m_\infty)$, $d\mathbf{b}_i \to d\mathbf{b}_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and that

$$\lim_{i \to 0} \int_{B_R(m_i)} |\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = 0$$

holds. See [7, Theorem 6.64] for the proof. Therefore (9) of Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.66 yield that $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_\infty} \equiv 0$ and that $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}$ L^2-converges strongly to $\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_\infty}$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. In particular (2) of Theorem 1.5 yields $\Delta^{\upsilon}\mathbf{b}_\infty = \Delta^{g_{M_\infty}}\mathbf{b}_\infty \equiv 0$.

Suspension. Assume that $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \ge n - 1$ and $\mathrm{diam} M_\infty = \pi$. Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i \le \infty}$ be a sequence of eigenfunctions ϕ_i on M_i associated with the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(M_i)$ with respect to the Dirichlet problem on M_i with $\phi_i \to \phi_\infty$ on M_∞ and $||\phi_i||_{L^2(M_i)} = 1$. See Remark 4.21. Then we see that $\sup_i \mathbf{Lip}\phi_i < \infty$, $(\phi_i, d\phi_i) \to (\phi_\infty, d\phi_\infty)$ on M_∞ and that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{M_i} |\mathrm{Hess}_{\phi_i} + \phi_i g_{M_i}|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = 0$$

holds. See the proof of [13, Lemma 1.4], [7, Theorem 5.14] and [10, Theorem 7.9] for the details. Therefore we see that $\mathrm{Hess}_{\phi_i} + \phi_i g_{M_i}$ L^2-converges strongly to 0 on M_∞ and that $\mathrm{Hess}_{\phi_\infty} = -\phi_\infty g_{M_\infty}$ (note that Theorem 1.2 yields that if (M_∞, m_∞) is the noncollapsed limit of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_{i<\infty}$, then Hess_{ϕ_i} L^2-converges strongly to $\mathrm{Hess}_{\phi_\infty}$ on M_∞). Thus we have $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}}\phi_\infty = k\phi_\infty$. On the other hand, [10, Theorem 7.9] yields $\Delta^{\upsilon}\phi_\infty = n\phi_\infty$. In particular $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}}\phi_\infty = \Delta^{\upsilon}\phi_\infty$ holds on M_∞ if and only if M_∞ is the noncollapsed limit space of $\{M_i\}_i$. See also [1, 47] for examples of interesting singular limit spaces.

Cone. Assume that $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \ge -\delta_i$ with $\delta_i \to 0$ and that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{\mathrm{vol}\, \partial B_{100R}(m_i)}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_{100R}(m_i)} = \frac{\mathrm{vol}\, \partial B_{100R}(0_n)}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_{100R}(0_n)}$$

holds for some $R > 0$, where $0_n \in \mathbf{R}^n$. For every $i < \infty$, let f_i be the function on $\overline{B}_{100R}(m_i)$ satisfying that $\Delta f_i \equiv -1$ on $B_{100R}(m_i)$ and $f_i|_{\partial B_{100R}(m_i)} \equiv (100R)^2/2n$. Note that Cheng-Yau's gradient estimate [11] yields $\sup_i \mathbf{Lip}(f_i|_{B_R(m_i)}) < \infty$. Then [7, Theorem 4.91] yields that there exists a compact geodesic space X with $\mathrm{diam}\, X \le \pi$ such that $(B_R(m_\infty), m_\infty)$ is isometric to $(B_R(p_0), p_0)$, where $C(X)$ is the metric cone of X and p_0 is the pole of $C(X)$, $(f_i, df_i) \to \left(r_{p_0}^2/2n, d(r_{p_0}^2/2n)\right)$ on $B_R(p_0)$ and that

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{B_R(m_i)} \left|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i} + \frac{1}{n} g_{M_i}\right|^2 d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = 0$$

holds. Let $f_\infty := r_{p_0}^2/2n$. Then we see that $\mathrm{Hess}_{f_i} + g_{M_i}/n$ L^2-converges strongly to 0 on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and that $\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty} \equiv -g_{M_\infty}/n$. Note that (6) of Theorem 1.3 yields $\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty \equiv -1$. Thus we have $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f_\infty = (k/n)\Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$. In particular $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f_\infty = \Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$ holds on $B_R(m_\infty)$ if and only if (M_∞, m_∞) is the noncollapsed limit of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$. See also [8, Example 1.24] for an example of such collapsed limit spaces.

Remark 4.31. We now give an example of $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} f_\infty \neq \Delta^{\upsilon} f_\infty$. Let X_n be the quotient metric space $\mathbf{S}^2/\mathbf{Z}_n$ of $\mathbf{S}^2$ (with the canonical orbifold metric) by the action of $\mathbf{Z}_n$ generated by the rotation of angle $2\pi/n$ around a fixed axis. Then it is easy to check that every X_n is the Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of a sequence of compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $\{M_i\}_i$ with $K_{M_i} \geq 1$, where K_{M_i} is the sectional curvature of M_i. Since X_n Gromov-Hausdorff converges $[0, \pi]$ as $n \to \infty$, [13, Lemma 1.10] yields that there exist a Radon measure υ on $[0, 1]$ and a sequence of compact 2-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $\{M_i\}_i$ with $K_{M_i} \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_1(M_i) \to 2$ and $(M_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})$ Gromov-Hausdorff converges $([0, \pi], \upsilon)$. Then Remark 4.30 and [10, Theorem 7.9] yield that every first eigenfunction ϕ_∞ of Δ^{υ} satisfies $\Delta^{g_{M_\infty}} \phi_\infty \neq \Delta^{\upsilon} \phi_\infty$.

4.6. L^p-bounds on Ricci curvature and scalar curvature.

In this subsection we consider the following setting:

(1) $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the (n, K)-Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i<\infty}$ with $M_\infty \neq \{m_\infty\}$.
(2) $\mathcal{A}_{2nd}$ is a weakly second order differential structure on (M_∞, υ) associated with $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i<\infty}$.
(3) $\sup_{i<\infty} ||\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i}||_{L^p(B_R(m_i))} < \infty$ for some $1 < p \leq \infty$ and some $R > 0$.

Then by Proposition 3.50 there exists a weak convergent subsequence $\{\mathrm{Ric}_{M_{i(j)}}\}_j$. Thus furthermore we assume the following:

(4) There exists $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_\infty} \in L^p(T^0_2 B_R(m_\infty))$ such that Ric_{M_i} converges weakly to Ric_{M_∞} on $B_R(m_\infty)$.

We call Ric_{M_∞} *the Ricci tensor of* $B_R(m_\infty)$ *with respect to* $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_{i<\infty}$. In this setting we can get the following *Bochner-type formula*:

Theorem 4.32. *Let* $\{f_i\}_{i\leq\infty}$ *be as in Theorem 1.3. Furthermore we assume that there exists* $\hat{p} \geq 2q$ *with* $\hat{p} > 2$*, where* q *is the conjugate exponent of* p*, such that the following hold:*

(1) ∇f_i *$L^{\hat{p}}$-converges strongly to* ∇f_∞ *on* $B_r(m_\infty)$ *for every* $r < R$.
(2) Hess_{f_i} *L^2-converges strongly to* Hess_{f_∞} *on* $B_r(m_\infty)$ *for every* $r < R$.

Then

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\langle d\phi_\infty, d|df_\infty|^2\rangle d\upsilon = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty|\mathrm{Hess}_{f_\infty}|^2 d\upsilon + \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\Delta^\upsilon f_\infty \mathrm{div}^\upsilon(\phi_\infty\nabla f_\infty)d\upsilon + \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty \mathrm{Ric}_{M_\infty}(\nabla f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty)d\upsilon$$

holds for every Lipschitz function ϕ_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ with compact support.

Proof. Let $\tau > 0$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_\infty) \subset B_{R-\tau}(m_\infty)$ and let ϕ_i be a Lipschitz function on $B_R(m_i)$ for every $i \le \infty$ with $\sup_i \mathbf{Lip}\phi_i < \infty$, $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_i) \subset B_{R-\tau}(m_i)$ and $\phi_i \to \phi_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. By the proof of Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 3.74, it suffices to check the following:

Claim 4.33. *We have*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_R(m_i)}\phi_i \mathrm{Ric}_{M_i}(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_i)d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_R(m_\infty)}\phi_\infty \mathrm{Ric}_{M_\infty}(\nabla f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty)d\upsilon.$$

The proof is as follows. For every $x_i \to x_\infty$ and every $y_i \to y_\infty$, since $\langle \nabla f_i, \nabla r_{x_i}\rangle$ L^2-converges strongly to $\langle \nabla f_\infty, \nabla r_{x_\infty}\rangle$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$, we see that

$$\int_{B_t(w_i)}\langle \nabla f_i \otimes \nabla f_i, \nabla r_{x_i}\otimes \nabla r_{y_i}\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_t(w_i)}\langle \nabla f_i, \nabla r_{x_i}\rangle\langle \nabla f_i, \nabla r_{y_i}\rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \to \int_{B_t(w_\infty)}\langle \nabla f_\infty, \nabla r_{x_\infty}\rangle\langle \nabla f_\infty, \nabla r_{y_\infty}\rangle d\upsilon = \int_{B_t(w_\infty)}\langle \nabla f_\infty \otimes \nabla f_\infty, \nabla r_{x_\infty}\otimes \nabla r_{y_\infty}\rangle d\upsilon$$

holds for every $w_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$, every $t > 0$ with $\overline{B}_t(w_\infty) \subset B_R(m_\infty)$ and every $w_i \to w_\infty$. Thus $\nabla f_i \otimes \nabla f_i$ converges weakly to $\nabla f_\infty \otimes \nabla f_\infty$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$ for every $r < R$. On the other hand, for every $r < R$, since

$$\int_{B_r(m_i)}|\nabla f_i \otimes \nabla f_i|^{\hat{p}/2}d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} = \int_{B_r(m_i)}|\nabla f_i|^{\hat{p}}d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \to \int_{B_r(m_\infty)}|\nabla f_\infty|^{\hat{p}}d\upsilon = \int_{B_r(m_\infty)}|\nabla f_\infty \otimes \nabla f_\infty|^{\hat{p}/2}d\upsilon.$$

Proposition 3.66 yields that $\nabla f_i \otimes \nabla f_i$ $L^{\hat{p}/2}$-converges strongly to $\nabla f_\infty \otimes \nabla f_\infty$ on $B_r(m_\infty)$. Thus by Proposition 3.70 we see that $\phi_i \nabla f_i \otimes \nabla f_i$ $L^{\hat{p}/2}$-converges strongly to $\phi_\infty \nabla f_\infty \otimes$

∇f_∞ on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Therefore Proposition 3.61 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(m_i)} \phi_i \mathrm{Ric}_{M_i}(\nabla f_i, \nabla f_i) d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} &= \int_{B_R(m_i)} \langle \mathrm{Ric}_{M_i}, \phi_i df_i \otimes df_i \rangle d\underline{\mathrm{vol}} \\ &\to \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \langle \mathrm{Ric}_{M_\infty}, \phi_\infty df_\infty \otimes df_\infty \rangle d\upsilon \\ &= \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} \phi_\infty \mathrm{Ric}_{M_\infty}(\nabla f_\infty, \nabla f_\infty) d\upsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the assertion. □

Corollary 4.34. *Let $\{f_i\}_{i \le \infty}$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Assume that $p = \infty$ and that* Hess_{f_i} *L^2-converges strongly to* Hess_{f_∞} *on $B_r(m_\infty)$ for every $r < R$. Then we have the same conclusion as in Theorem 4.32.*

Proof. It follows from (3) of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 4.32. □

We end this subsection by discussing the *scalar curvature of* M_∞:

Definition 4.35. Let $s_{M_\infty} := \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Ric}_{M_\infty}) \in L^p(B_R(m_\infty))$. We say that s_{M_∞} *is the scalar curvature of $B_R(m_\infty)$ with respect to $\{(M_i, m_i, \underline{\mathrm{vol}})\}_i$.*

Corollary 4.36. *Assume that M_∞ is the noncollapsed limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$. Then the scalar curvatures s_{M_i} of M_i converges weakly to s_{M_∞} on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.72. □

References

[1] M. Anderson, Metrics of positive Ricci curvature with large diameter, Manuscripta Math. 68 (1990), 405-415.

[2] D. Bakry, On Sobolev and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for Markov semigroups, New trends in stochastic analysis (Charingworth, 1994), World Sci. Publ. River Edge, NJ, 1997, pp. 43-75.

[3] D. Bakry and Z. Qian, Some new results on eigenvectors via dimension, diameter, and Ricci curvature, Adv. Math. 155 (2000), 98-153.

[4] Y. Burago, M. Gromov and G. Perelman, A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 47 (1992) 3-51.

[5] J. Cheeger, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 428-517.

[6] J. Cheeger, Degeneration of Riemannian metrics under Ricci curvature bounds, Lezioni Fermiane, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2001.

[7] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products, Ann. of Math. 144 (1996), 189-237.

[8] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, I, J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), 406-480.

[9] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, II, J. Differential Geom. 54 (2000), 13-35.

[10] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, III, J. Differential Geom. 54 (2000), 37-74.

[11] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 333-354.

[12] J. A. Clarkson, Uniformly convex spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1936), 396-414.

[13] T. H. Colding, Shape of manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Invent. Math. 124 (1996), 175-191.

[14] T. H. Colding, Ricci curvature and volume convergence, Ann. of Math. 145 (1997), 477-501.

[15] T. H. Colding and W. P. Minicozzi II, Liouville theorems for harmonic sections and applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 51 (1998), 113-138.

[16] T. H. Colding and A. Naber, Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications, Ann. of Math. 176 (2012), 1173-1229.

[17] T. H. Colding and A. Naber, Lower Ricci Curvature, Branching and Bi-Lipschitz Structure of Uniform Reifenberg Spaces, http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2184, preprint.

[18] Y. Ding, Heat kernels and Green's functions on limit spaces, Commun. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), 475-514.

[19] H. Federer, Geometric measure theory, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1969.

[20] K. Fukaya, Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds and eigenvalues of the laplace operator, Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 517-547.

[21] K. Fukaya, Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds and its applications, Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, 143-238, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 18-I, Academic Press, Boston, MA, (1990).

[22] M. Fukushima, Dirichlet forms and Markov processes, North Holland (Amsterdam) 1980.

[23] N. Gigli, On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications, http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.6622, preprint.

[24] N. Gigli, K. Kuwada and S. Ohta, Hear flow on Alexandrov spaces, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 66 (2013), 307-331.

[25] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Reprint of the 1998 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2001).

[26] M. Gromov, Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces, Birkhauser Boston Inc, Boston, MA, 1999, Based on the 1981 French original [MR 85e:53051], With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu, and S. Semmes, Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.

[27] P. Hajłasz and P. Koskela, Sobolev meets Poincaré, C. R. Acad Sci. Paris 320 (1995), 1211-1215.

[28] T. Heikkinen, P. Koskela and H. Tuominen, Sobolev-type spaces from generalized Poincaré inequalities, Studia Math. 181 (2007), 1-16.

[29] S. Honda, Bishop-Gromov type inequality on Ricci limit spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 63 (2011), 419-442.

[30] S. Honda, Ricci curvature and convergence of Lipschitz functions, Commun. Anal. Geom. 19 (2011), 79-158.

[31] S. Honda, A weakly second differentiable structure on rectifiable metric measure spaces, arXiv:1112.0099, preprint.

[32] A. Kasue, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds and Laplace operators, I, Ann. Inst. Fourier 52 (2002), 1219-1257.

[33] A. Kasue, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds and Laplace operators, II, Potential Anal. 24 (2006), 137-194.

[34] A. Kasue and H. Kumura, Spectral convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 4 (1994), 147-179.

[35] A. Kasue and H. Kumura, Spectral convergence of Riemannian manifolds II, Tohoku Math. J. 2 (1996), 71-120.

[36] S. Kawai and N. Nakauchi, The first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian on a compact Riemannian manifold, Nonlinear Anal. 55 (2003), 33-46.

[37] K. Kuwae, Y. Machigashira and T. Shioya, Sobolev spaces, Laplacian, and heat kernel on Alexandrov spaces. Math. Z. 238 (2001), no. 2, 269-316.

[38] K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, Convergence of spectral structures: a functional analytic theory and its applications to spectral geometry, Commun. Anal. Geom. 11 (2003), 599-673.

[39] K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, Sobolev and Dirichlet spaces over maps between metric spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 555 (2003), 39-75.

[40] K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, Variational convergence over metric spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360 (2008), 35-75 (electronic).

[41] A. Lê, Eigenvalue problems for the p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 64 (2006), 1057-1099.

[42] M. Ledoux, The geometry of Markov diffusion generators, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 9 (2000), no. 2, 305-366.

[43] W. Lin-Feng, Eigenvalue estimate of the p-Laplace operator, Lobachevskii J. Math. 30 (2009), 235-242.

[44] A.-M. Matei, First eigenvalue for the p-Laplace operator, Nonlinear Anal. 39 (2000), 1051-1068.

[45] C. B. Morrey Jr., Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations, Springer, New York, 1966.

[46] A. Naber and D. Valtorta, Sharp estimates on the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian with negative Ricci lower bound, arXiv:1208.3507, preprint.

[47] Y. Otsu, On manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with large diameter, Math. Z. 206 (1991), 255-264.

[48] Y. Otsu, Almost everywhere existence of second differentiable structure of Alexandrov spaces, preprint.

[49] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces, J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), 629-658.

[50] G. Perelman, A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded from below II, preprint.

[51] G. Perelman, DC-structure on Alexandrov space, preprint.

[52] H. Rademacher, Uber partielle und totale Differenzierbarkeit I, Math. Ann. 79 (1919), 340-359.

[53] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, Lectures on Differential Geometry, International Press, 1995.

[54] H. Takeuchi, On the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian in a Riemannian manifold, Tokyo J. Math. 21 (1998), 135-140.

[55] L. M. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proc. of the Center for Mathematical Analysis 3, Australian National University, 1983.

[56] D.Valtorta, Sharp estimate on the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 4974-4994.

[57] D.Valtorta, On the p-Laplace operator on Riemannian manifolds, arXiv:1212.3422, preprint.

[58] L. Veron, Some Existence and Uniqueness Results for Solution of Some Quasilinear Elliptic Equations on Compact Riemannian Manifolds, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, 62, P.D.E., Budapest (1991), 317-352.

[59] J.-Y. Wu, E.-M. Wang and Y. Zheng, First eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator along the Ricci flow, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 38 (2010), 27-55.

[60] H. Zhang, Lower bounds for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator on compact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, Adv. Geom. 7 (2007), 145-155.
[61] H.-C. Zhang and X.-P. Zhu, Yau's gradient estimate on Alexandrov spaces, J. Differential Geom. 91 (2012), 445-522.

FACULTY OF MATHMATICS
KYUSHU UNIVERSITY
744, MOTOOKA, NISHI-KU,
FUKUOKA 819-0395
JAPAN
E-mail address: `honda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`